

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00820
Patent 7,937,581

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR LIMITED BRIEFING POST-REMAND

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction.....1
II. Proposal for Post-Remand Proceedings2
III. Discussion.....3
IV. Conclusion4
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE.....5

PETITIONER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Updated: December 2, 2022

1001	U.S. Patent No. 7,937,581 to Vaarala et al. (“581 patent”)
1002	Declaration of Dr. David Goldschlag in Support of Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 7,937,581 (“Goldschlag Decl.”)
1003	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,937,581 (“Prosecution History”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,904,466 to Ishiyama, et al. (“Ishiyama”)
1005	U.S. Patent No. 7,028,337 to Murakawa (“Murakawa”)
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,976,177 to Ahonen (“Ahonen”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 6,954,790 to Forsl�w (“Forsl�w”)
1008	S. Frankel, Demystifying the IPsec Puzzle, Artech House, Inc., 2001 (“Frankel”)
1009	W. Stallings, IP Security - The Internet Protocol Journal – Volume 3, No. 1, March 2000 (“Stallings”)
1010	Mobility-aware IPsec ESP tunnels, Francis Dupont, IETF Draft Posted February 22, 2001 (“Dupont”)
1011	RFC2401 - S. Kent, and R. Atkinson, Security Architecture for the Internet Protocol, RFC2401, The Internet Society, November 1998 (“RFC 2401”)
1012	RFC793 - Transmission Control Protocol, Darpa Internet Program Protocol Specification, September 1981 (“RFC 793”)
1013	U.S. Patent No. 7,079,499 to Akhtar et al. (“Akhtar”)
1014	U.S. Patent No. 7,174,018 to Patil et al. (“Patil”)
1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,418,130 to Cheng et al. (“Cheng”)
1016	Curriculum Vitae of Dr. David Goldschlag
1017	Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF (Regarding RFC2401 and RFC793) (“Ginoza Decl.”)
1018	Declaration of Alexa Morris for IETF (Regarding “Mobility-aware IPsec ESP tunnels” by Dupont) (“Morris Decl.”)
1019	U.S. Patent No. 7,620,810 to Vaarala et al. (“Vaarala”)

1020	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 7,620,810 (“810 Prosecution History”)
1021	Transcript of the Deposition of Dr. George N. Rouskas, March 20, 2020 (“Rouskas Depo.”)
1022	Declaration of Dr. David Goldschlag in Support of Petitioner’s Reply to Patent Owner’s Response (“Second Goldschlag Decl.”)
1023	Teleconference Transcript, May 27, 2020
1024	Telephonic Hearing, November 18, 2022

I. INTRODUCTION

Parties have met and conferred and have subsequently participated in a teleconference with the Board as contemplated by Standard Operating Procedure 9 (“SOP 9”). During that SOP 9 conference, the Parties each argued their positions regarding necessary procedure on remand. Petitioner indicated, relative to the -00820 proceeding (and ‘581 patent), that the legal effect of Patent Owner’s statutory disclaimer as a request for adverse judgment required briefing.¹ The 18-

¹ See Exhibit 1024, 6-7. More specifically, Petitioner argued during the SOP 9 conference that the disclaimer of the sole remaining claim is to be construed as a request for adverse judgement under 37 C.F.R. 42.73(b)(2). *Id.* Patent Owner maintained the position that it had advocated during meet and confer, namely that adverse judgment was not indicated. *Id.* at 13-14. Specifically, Patent Owner argued during the SOP 9 conference that claims whose unpatentability had been affirmed and for which the Office still needed to issue a trial certificate constitute “remaining claims in trial” and thus precluded entry of adverse judgement. *Id.*, but see *Apple Inc. v. Corephotonics Ltd.*, IPR2018-01146, paper 45 (Feb. 11, 2022) (judgment on remand and in view of statutory disclaimer referenced by counsel during conference).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.