

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE  
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----x  
APPLE INC., :  
Petitioner, : CASE NOS:  
V. : IPR2019-00819  
MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY, : IPR2019-00820  
Patent Owner, :  
-----x

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO STRIKE  
Conducted Virtually  
Wednesday, May 27, 2020  
12:30 p.m. PST

Reported By: Patrick J. Heard  
Job No.: 300751  
Pages: 1 - 18

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22

APPEARANCES

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER:

DANIEL S. BLOCK, ESQUIRE  
MICHAEL D. SPECHT, ESQUIRE  
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX, PLLC  
1100 New York Avenue, Northwest  
Washington, DC 20005  
202.371.2600

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

JAMES T. CARMICHAEL, ESQUIRE  
STEPHEN T. SCHREINER, ESQUIRE  
CARMICHAEL IP, PLLC  
8000 Towers Crescent Drive  
13th Floor  
Tysons Corner, Virginia 22182  
703.646.9250

PLANET DEPOS

1 JUDGE HAMANN: Good afternoon.

2 This call is in IPR2019-00819 and -00820,  
3 Apple Inc. v MPH Technologies Oy.

4 I'm Judge Hamann.

5 Also on the line are Judges Jivani and  
6 Margolies.

7 I'd like to begin by petitioner identifying  
8 who is on the line on his behalf.

9 MR. BLOCK: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

10 This is Daniel Block of the law firm of Sterne  
11 Kessler on behalf of Apple.

12 With me today on the phone is Michael Specht,  
13 also of Sterne Kessler. I'll be doing the argument.

14 JUDGE HAMANN: Anyone else for petitioner?

15 MR. BLOCK: And, Your Honor, one other point.  
16 There is a court reporter on the line as well.

17 JUDGE HAMANN: Okay. I'd ask that the parties  
18 arrange to have a transcript filed as soon as  
19 reasonable.

20 MR. BLOCK: Okay.

21 JUDGE HAMANN: And for the patent owner,  
22 please identify who is on the line on the court's

1 behalf.

2 MR. SCHREINER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

3 This is Steven Schreiner from Carmichael IP on  
4 behalf of MPH Technologies.

5 I'm joined by my colleague, James Carmichael,  
6 of Carmichael IP, also representing MPH Technologies.

7 JUDGE HAMANN: And forgive me. Schreiner?

8 MR. SCHREINER: I'm sorry. Schreiner,  
9 S-c-h-r-e-i-n-e-r.

10 JUDGE HAMANN: Thank you. Sorry about that.

11 So that's everyone for the parties who have  
12 been identified?

13 MR. SCHREINER: Correct.

14 MR. BLOCK: Yes, Your Honor, for petitioner.

15 JUDGE HAMANN: Okay. My understanding is  
16 we're here at petitioner's request. So let me have  
17 petitioner begin.

18 MR. BLOCK: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 And again, Daniel Block for Apple Inc.

20 Your Honor, as I already now mentioned, we're  
21 requesting a motion to strike in this case. It's a  
22 narrow motion to strike. It's a narrow motion to

1 strike just for a single section of the sur reply,  
2 Section 2.

3 And if Your Honors don't feel that's  
4 appropriate, in the alternative, we'd ask for an  
5 additional sur-sur reply, a short sur-sur reply to  
6 address the new arguments that patent owner raised in  
7 the sur reply.

8 Your Honors, the Trial Practice Guide says a  
9 sur reply should be in the scope of the reply. And it  
10 actually gives, I think, a very appropriate example in  
11 here, which is on page 81 of the Trial Practice Guide.

12 And it says, "For example, where reply clearly  
13 relies on a new theory not included in the prior  
14 briefing, striking the portion of the brief containing  
15 that theory may be appropriate."

16 And that's precisely the situation that we  
17 have here.

18 MPH is raising a brand new theory in their sur  
19 reply that a person of ordinary skill in the art would  
20 not combine the two references because of some alleged  
21 security flaw.

22 And in fact, if you look at the sur reply -- I

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.