

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2019-00819  
Patent 7,620,810

---

**REPLACEMENT PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE  
PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120**

**TABLE OF CONTENTS**

	<b>Page</b>
<b>I. INTRODUCTION .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. OVERVIEW OF THE '810 PATENT AND THE STATE OF THE ART .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>A. Technical Background .....</b>	<b>4</b>
<b>B. The Mobility Problem Addressed by the '810 Patent.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>C. The '810 Patent's Solution to the Mobility Problem .....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>III. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....</b>	<b>10</b>
<b>A. Claim Language.....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>B. Specification .....</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>C. Prosecution History.....</b>	<b>15</b>
<b>D. Disclosure of Security Gateway in Documents from the Prosecution History or Relied Upon by Petitioner .....</b>	<b>16</b>
<b>1. Murakawa.....</b>	<b>17</b>
<b>2. Ahonen .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>3. Frankel .....</b>	<b>19</b>
<b>4. RFC 1122 .....</b>	<b>22</b>
<b>5. RFC 2401 .....</b>	<b>23</b>
<b>IV. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 1, 4-5 AND 7 ARE PATENTABLE OVER THE COMBINATION OF ISHIYAMA AND MURAKAWA .....</b>	<b>24</b>
<b>A. Claim 1 is Patentable Over the Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa .....</b>	<b>27</b>

1. **The Petition Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches the “Security Gateway” in “At Least One Mobile Terminal and Another Terminal and a Security Gateway Therebetween” and Other Limitations .....27**
  - (a) **Multiple Publications from the Relevant Timeframe Confirm that a Correspondent Host as in Ishiyama is Not a Security Gateway as Claimed ....31**
  - (b) **Ishiyama’s Correspondent Host is Demonstrably Not a Security Gateway Because it is the Final Destination for the Packets It Receives.....33**
  - (c) **Ishiyama’s Description of IPSec Processing Using the Security Databases Proves that the Correspondent Host is Not a Security Gateway .....34**
  - (d) **Petitioner’s Theory, that the Correspondent Host Must be a Security Gateway Because IPSec Tunnel Mode is Used, is Incorrect .....36**
    1. **The Use of Tunnel Mode Does Not Mean that a Security Gateway is Present.....37**
    2. **The Use of Tunnel Mode Does not “Suggest” that a Correspondent Host is Actually a Security Gateway .....41**
  - (e) **Petitioner’s Argument in this Proceeding that the Correspondent Host is a Security Gateway is Contradicted by the Position Taken in IPR2019-00821.....43**
2. **The Petition Also Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Discloses the “Other Terminal” in “At Least One Mobile Terminal and Another Terminal and a Security Gateway Therebetween” and Other Limitations .....45**
3. **The Petition Also Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches “While at the Second Address, the Mobile**

	<b>Terminal Sending a Request Message to the Address of the Security Gateway to Change the Secure Connection to Be Defined Between the Second Address and the Address of the Security Gateway”</b> .....	<b>54</b>
<b>4.</b>	<b>The Petition Also Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches the “Mobile Terminal Sending a Secure Message . . . From the Second Address of the Mobile Terminal to the Other Terminal via the Security Gateway”</b> .....	<b>56</b>
<b>5.</b>	<b>The Petition Also Fails to Establish that the Prior Art Teaches the “Request Message and/or a Reply Message Being Encrypted and/or Authenticated by Using the Same SA Already Established”</b> .....	<b>61</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>Claims 4-5 Are Patentable over the Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa</b> .....	<b>63</b>
<b>C.</b>	<b>Claim 7 is Patentable Over the Combination of Ishiyama and Murakawa</b> .....	<b>64</b>
<b>V.</b>	<b>GROUND 2: CLAIMS 2-3 ARE PATENTABLE OVER THE COMBINATION OF ISHIYAMA, MURAKAWA AND AHONEN</b> ....	<b>69</b>
<b>A.</b>	<b>Overview of Ahonen</b> .....	<b>69</b>
<b>B.</b>	<b>The Combination of Ishiyama, Murakawa and Ahonen Fails to Teach Sending a “Reply Back to the Mobile Terminal . . . From the Security Gateway” (Claim 2) or a “Reply Message to the Mobile Terminal at the Second Address to Confirm the Address Change” (Claim 3)</b> .....	<b>70</b>
<b>VI.</b>	<b>GROUND 3: CLAIM 6 IS PATENTABLE OVER THE COMBINATION OF ISHIYAMA, MURAKAWA AND FORSLOW</b> .	<b>73</b>
<b>VII.</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b> .....	<b>73</b>

**TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	<b>Page(s)</b>
<b>CASES</b>	
<i>Continental Can Co. v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991) .....	37
<i>David Netzer Consulting Eng’r LLC v. Shell Oil Co.</i> , 824 F.3d 989 (Fed. Cir. 2016) .....	11
<i>Graham v. John Deere Co.</i> , 383 U.S. 1 (1966).....	24
<i>In re Robertson</i> , 169 F.3d 743 (Fed. Cir. 1999) .....	37, 43
<i>InTouch Techs., Inc. v. VGO Commc’ns, Inc.</i> , 751 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2014) .....	53
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 127 S.Ct. 1727 (2007).....	25
<i>Nidec Motor Corp. v. Zhongshan Broad Ocean Motor Co.</i> , 851 F.3d 1270 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	43, 46
<i>Personal Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc.</i> , 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017) .....	52, 53, 54
<i>Pfizer, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 480 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2007) .....	25
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) .....	11
<i>Regents of Univ. of California v. Broad Institute, Inc.</i> , 903 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2018) .....	25

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.