UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC., Petitioner

V.

MPH TECHNOLOGIES OY, Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00821 U.S. Patent No. 8,037,302

DECLARATION OF DAVID GOLDSCHLAG, PH.D.

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Qualifications	3
II.	My Understanding of Claim Construction	5
III.	My Understanding of Obviousness	6
IV.	Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art	8
V.	Overview of the '302 Patent	
VI.	Background of the Technologies Disclosed in the '302 Patent	15
	A. Security Issues in Mobile IP	
VII.	The '302 Patent Claims	19
	A. "a first secure connection"/ "a second secure connection"	
	[Claim 1.a]	19
VIII.	Analysis	21
	A. First Ground: The Combination of Ahonen and Ishiyama	
1.	Ahonen	21
2.	Overview of the Combination of Ahonen and Ishiyama	26
3.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 1 obvious	32
4.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 2 obvious	48
5.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 3 obvious	52
6.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 4 obvious	53
7.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 5 obvious	54
8.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 6 obvious	56
9.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claims 7, 9, 10, and 13 obvious.	58
10.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 8 obvious	61
11.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 11 obvious	63
12.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 12 obvious	64
13.	Ahonen in view of Ishiyama renders claim 16 obvious	65
	B. Second Ground: The Combination of Ahonen, Ishiyama	
	and Gupta	67
1.	Overview of the Combination of Ahonen and Ishiyama	67
2.	Overview of the Combination of Ahonen, Ishiyama, and Gupta	67
3.	Ahonen and Ishiyama in view of Gupta renders claims 14-15 obviou	s70
IX.	Conclusion	72



- I, David Goldschlag, Ph.D., declare as follows:
- 1. I have been retained on behalf of Apple, Inc. for the above-captioned *inter partes* review proceeding. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 8,037,302 ("the '302 patent"), titled "Method and System for Ensuring Secure Forwarding of Messages," and that the '302 patent is currently assigned to Mobility Patent Holding MPH Oy.
- 2. I have reviewed and am familiar with the specification of the '302 patent issued on October 11, 2011. I will cite to the specification using the following format: Ex. 1001, '302 patent, 1:1-10. This example citation points to the '302 patent specification at column 1, lines 1-10, which is being provided as Exhibit 1001.
- 3. I have reviewed and am familiar with the following prior art used in the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the '302 patent:
- PCT Patent Publication No. WO 01/54379 to Ahonen ("Ahonen").

 Ahonen is provided as Ex. 1004.
- U.S. Patent No. 6,904,466 to Ishiyama et al. ("Ishiyama"). Ishiyama is provided as Ex. 1005.
- "Complete Computing," by Gupta et al. ("Gupta"). Gupta is provided as Ex. 1006.



- 4. I am familiar with the technology-at-issue as of the September 2001 timeframe.
- 5. To the best of my knowledge, the above-mentioned documents and materials are true and accurate copies of what they purport to be. An expert in the field would reasonably rely on them to formulate opinions such as those set forth in this declaration.
- 6. I understand that Gupta was originally presented as part of the Second International Conference for Worldwide Computing and Its Applications (WWCA'98) held in Tsukuba, Japan on March 4-5, 1998. *See* Ex. 1006, Gupta, 0001; *see also* Ex. 1013, Mullins Decl., ¶45-47, Attachment 1A. Conferences such as WWCA '98 were typically open to the interested public, and I have no reason to believe otherwise in this case. The papers presented at the conference would typically be published in conference proceedings and distributed to attendees of the workshop without restriction.
- 7. I have been asked to provide my technical review, analysis, insights, and opinions regarding the above-noted references that form the basis for the grounds of rejection set forth in the Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of the U.S. Patent No. 8,037,302.



I. Qualifications

- 8. My qualifications are stated more fully in my curriculum vitae, attached as Exhibit 1009. Here, I provide a brief summary of my qualifications:
- 9. I have extensive education and work experience in the field of computer security. I received a B.S. degree in Computer Science from Wayne State University in 1985, then received a Ph.D. degree in Computer Science from the University of Texas at Austin in 1992. In my Ph.D. program, I studied formal methods and automated theorem proving. My Ph.D. thesis focused on methodologies for increasing the confidence one may have that computer systems behave as desired, including functionality, security, and safety.
- 10. I have conducted significant research and published significant papers in the field of computer security. For example, I have published 34 papers in the field of computer security, including papers on verification of computer programs, verification of computer hardware, novel techniques for smartcard security for cable and satellite TV systems, techniques for privacy in electronic transactions, techniques for secure lotteries that do not depend on the trustworthiness of the lottery operator, and several papers on Onion Routing. Onion Routing, now called Tor, is a system for privacy and anonymity on the internet. I and my co-inventors



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

