```
1
                  CASE NO. IPR 2019-00820
2
    APPLE INC.
                         )
                         )
3
    VS.
                        ) PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
    MPH TECHNOLOGY'S OY
4
5
        6
                     TELEPHONIC HEARING
7
                      NOVEMBER 18, 2022
         8
9
         BE IT REMEMBERED THAT at 12:00 p.m., on
10
    Friday, the 18th day of November 2022, the
11
    above-entitled matter came on for hearing via telephone
12
    and the following proceedings were reported by Janalyn
13
    Elkins, Certified Shorthand Reporter.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
2.2
2.3
2.4
2.5
                                            Page 1
```



```
1
                       APPEARANCES.
2
    FOR THE PETITIONER:
3
          DAVID O'BRIEN
         HONG SHI
4
          HAYNES & BOONE, LLP
          112 E. Pecan Street, Suite 1200
5
          San Antonio, Texas 78205
          David.Obrien@haynesboone.com
6
          Hong.shi@haynesboone.com
          (512) 867 - 8440
7
    FOR THE RESPONDENT:
8
          JAMES T. CARMICHAEL
          CARMICHAEL IP, PLLC
9
          8000 Towers Crescent Drive, 13th Floor
          Tysons, Virginia 22182
10
          Jim@carmichaelip.com
          (703) 646-9255
11
12
    PTAB JUDGES:
13
          JOHN D. HAMANN
          KEVIN C. TROCK
1 4
          STACY B. MAGOLIES
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
2.3
24
2.5
                                             Page 2
```



1	JUDGE HAMANN: This is a case 2018
2	IPR 2019-00820, Apple Inc. vs. MPH Technology's Oy. I'm
3	Judge Hamann. Also on the panel is Judge Margolies and
4	Trock. I'd like to begin with introductions of the
5	parties.
6	Who is on the line on behalf of Petitioner,
7	please?
8	MR. O'BRIEN: Thank you, Your Honor. This
9	is David O'Brien for Petitioner, Apple, Inc. With me is
10	my colleague, Hong Shi.
11	JUDGE HAMANN: And for the patent owner,
12	who is on the line, please?
13	MR. CARMICHAEL: Hello, Judge Hamann. This
14	is James Carmichael for patent owner MPH.
15	JUDGE HAMANN: Thank you. And did any of
16	the parties arrange for a court reporter?
17	MR. O'BRIEN: We did, Your Honor, Apple
18	that is, and the court reporter is on the line as we
19	speak.
20	JUDGE HAMANN: Thank you. Let me suggest
21	that when it is ready, a copy of any transcript of this
22	call gets submitted in these cases.
23	MR. O'BRIEN: Certainly, Your Honor. Would
24	you like that by email or should we file it in the case
25	as an exhibit?

Page 3



1 That's a good point. JUDGE HAMANN: 2 can't recall whether I've got it as a paper exhibit 3 before. It shouldn't be by email. Let me get back to you whether it would be paper exhibit. I think I'll do 4 that at the end of the call. But usually a copy should be sent to the board. 6 With that, obviously the purpose of this call relates to the remand of these cases and potential 8 9 procedure to proceed at this point. I know from my 10 understanding the email that was sent to the board the 11 parties said met and conferred. I don't know if they reached any agreement or if they're still in 12 13 disagreement of this new relation that we may need to decide. 14 15 Let me begin with Petitioner and let you 16 address that. 17 MR. O'BRIEN: Certainly, Your Honor. Yes, 18

MR. O'BRIEN: Certainly, Your Honor. Yes, you're correct, we've actually met and conferred in person, which is something that we don't do too frequently in this practice.

But unfortunately, we have not reached agreement. We've, I think exchanged views on the topic. I think the upshot, and Mr. Carmichael can supplement and add as needed, but I think our perspective is that some process and procedure are due. I believe that

Page 4



19

2.0

21

22

23

24

25

Τ	MPH's perspective is that the board can simply proceed
2	to a final decision without hearing from the parties.
3	We did go through in our meet and confer
4	each of the 11 factors or 11 questions that I think you
5	might ask us during this hearing.
6	And in those cases, I think we had some
7	positions and I believe the other party's position is,
8	since no further interaction in their view is required,
9	the answer is that all the questions are moot.
10	With that, I can go into sort of our
11	position. But I think that's the that's setting the
12	table for where we are.
13	JUDGE HAMANN: Thanks, Mr. O'Brien. And
14	certainly patent owner will be able to respond before
15	that. But let's step through what Petitioner's proposal
16	will be and the reason for that. And I'll note that we
17	obviously are aware of it appears the statutory claims
18	were filed in this case.
19	So what's left, typically the case, how
20	that impacts for both cases, but how that impacts
21	Petitioner's proposal would be helpful to understand.
22	MR. O'BRIEN: And I'll walk through that,
23	Your Honor.
24	So these cases, they do return to the board
25	after the patentability determinations were vacated, of



Page 5

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

