

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, LLC
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00768
Patent RE46,137

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Shorthand	Description
2001	Fleckenstein	Declaration of William W. Fleckenstein, Ph.D, PE (CA#1666)
2002	Chambers1	Declaration of Michael Chambers, filed in IPR2019-00708 as EX1005
2003		Not used
2004	ChambersDepo.	Transcript of Michael Chamber's November 21, 2019 Deposition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PETITIONER’S GROUND 2 AND 3 OBVIOUSNESS CHALLENGES REQUIRING GIROUX FAIL	1
A.	Petitioner’s Motivation Would Not Have Led a POSITA to Giroux.....	3
B.	The “Urging” Claims: 8-11, 16, 17, 31, 41, and 42	6
1.	The Prior Art Does Not Teach a Biased-Closed Sleeve	7
(a)	Grounds 2 and 3: Giroux’s FIG. 3 Does Not Amount to a Disclosure of a Sleeve Urged to Its Initial Position.....	7
(i)	Petitioner/Mr. Chambers’ Assertions About the Configuration of Piston 110	8
(ii)	Petitioner Hasn’t Established a POSITA Would View Giroux’s Piston 110 as Contended.....	11
(b)	Ground 3: An Urged-Closed Sleeve Is Neither Admitted Prior Art Nor Within the General Knowledge of a POSITA.....	23
(c)	Grounds 2 and 3: Petitioner’s Other Obviousness Rationales Fail	25
(i)	Petitioner’s “Combine Prior Art Elements to Yield a Predictable Result” Argument Fails	25
(ii)	Petitioner Fails to Establish That a POSITA Would Have Been Motivated by a Concern with Premature Movement of Patel ’427’s Actuator Mandrel	26
(iii)	A POSITA Concerned with Premature Actuation Would Not Have Used Sleeve Biasing.....	34

..

(iv) Petitioner’s Obvious-to-Try Rationale Is Defective37

(d) Grounds 2 and 3: There Is No Motivation for Biasing the Claims Requiring Biasing and a Shear Pin/Structure Given Mr. Chambers’s Admission.....37

III. PETITIONER’S ALLEGED SIMULTANEOUS INVENTION EVIDENCE CANNOT SAVE ITS OBVIOUSNESS CHALLENGES40

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Arendi S.A.R.L. v. Apple Inc.</i> , 832 F.3d 1355 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	24, 25
<i>In re Daniel</i> , 17 C.C.P.A. 605 (C.C.P.A. 1929).....	19, 20, 21
<i>Dynamic Drinkware, LLC v. Nat’l Graphics, Inc.</i> , 800 F.3d 1375 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	40
<i>Hockerson-Halberstadt, Inc. v. Avia Group Int’l</i> , 222 F.3d 951 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	12, 17
<i>K/S HIMPP v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC</i> , 751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	24
<i>In re Kahn</i> , 441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006)	6, 34
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	26, 37
<i>In re Mraz</i> , 59 C.C.P.A. 866 (C.C.P.A. 1972).....	19
<i>Nautilus, Inc. v. Icon Health & Fitness, Inc.</i> , Case IPR2017-01408, Paper 51 (Dec. 3, 2018).....	26
<i>Ex parte Nguyen</i> , Appeal 2018-001801, slip op. (P.T.A.B. Oct. 22, 2018).....	18, 20, 21, 22, 23
<i>Nystrom v. Trex Co.</i> , 424 F.3d 1136 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	12
<i>Pacific Market Int’l, LLC v. Ignite USA, LLC</i> , Case IPR2016-01875, Paper 32 (March 27, 2018).....	34
<i>Par Pharm., Inc. v. Novartis AG</i> , Case IPR2016-00084, Paper 73 (Jan. 11, 2018).....	36

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.