Transcript of Patrick D. McDaniel, Ph.D. Conducted on March 6, 2020

Conducted	on March 6, 2020
1	3
1 UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1 APPEARANCES
2 BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	2 FOR THE PETITIONER:
3 χ	3 MR. DAVID OKANO
4 SNAP INC., : Case IPR2019-00715	4 ATTORNEY AT LAW
5 PETITIONER, : U.S. PATENT NO. 8,326,327	5 PAUL HASTINGS
6 v. : Case IPR2019-00714	6 875 15TH STREET, N.W.
7 BLACKBERRY LIMITED, : U.S. PATENT NO. 8,825,084	7 WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005
8 PATENT OWNER. :	8 davidokano@paulhastings.com
9x	9
10	10 FOR THE PATENT OWNER:
11	11 MR. NICHOLAS W. STEPHENS
12 DEPOSITION OF	12 ATTORNEY AT LAW
13 PATRICK D. MCDANIEL, PH.D.	13 FISH & RICHARDSON PC
14 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA	14 60 SOUTH 6TH STREET
15 FRIDAY, MARCH 6, 2020	15 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402
16 8:40 A.M.	16 nstephens@fr.com
17	17
18	18 * * * * *
19	19
20 Job No.: 289667	20
21 Pages: 1 - 202	21
22 Reported By: Myrina A. Kleinschmidt, RMR, CRR, CRC	22
2	4
DEPOSITION OF PATRICK D. McDANIEL, Ph.D., HELD	1 CONTENTS
2 AT THE OFFICES OF FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C.	2 PAGE
3	3 EXAMINATION BY MR. OKANO 6
4 60 SOUTH 6TH STREET	4 EXAMINATION BY MR. STEPHENS 196
5 MINNEAPOLIS, MINNESOTA 55402	5
6 (612) 335-5070	6 EXHIBITS
7	7 (Attached to transcript.)
3	8
9 PURSUANT TO NOTICE, BEFORE MYRINA A.	9 EXHIBIT/DESCRIPTION PAGE
10 KLEINSCHMIDT, RMR, CRR, CRC, NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND	10
11 FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA, COUNTY OF HENNEPIN	11 Exhibit 1 Petitioner's Amended Notice 9
12	12 of Deposition of Patrick
13	13 McDaniel - Case
14	14 IPR2019-00715 (3 pgs)
15	15 Exhibit 2 Petitioner's Amended Notice 9
16	16 of Deposition of Patrick
17	17 McDaniel - Case
18	18 IPR2019-0074 (3 pgs)
19	19 Exhibit 3 Deposition transcript of 147
20	20 Patrick McDaniel, Ph.D.,
21	21 held on Feb 5, 2019, in Re:
22	22 Blackberry limited v. Snap
	22 Diackberry limited v. Snap
	I I



Transcript of Patrick D. McDaniel, Ph.D. Conducted on March 6, 2020

Conducted of	1 1/10/10 0, 2020
5	1 A I do.
1 Inc, Case No. 2 2:18-CV-02693-GW(KSx)	
3 (Transcript pages 1 -	Q What is your home address?
4 98/4-per-page format)	3 A 1480 Chestnut Ridge Drive, State College,
5074 per page rotimaty	4 PA 16803.
6 PREVIOUSLY MARKED EXHIBITS PAGE	5 Q And, Dr. McDaniel, I understand you have
7 Exhibit 1001	6 been deposed a number of times. Are you familiar
8 Exhibit 1004113	7 with the ground rules or do you want me to go
9 Exhibit 1005122	8 over
10 Exhibit 2002146	9 A I'm familiar with the ground rules.
11 Exhibit 2003	10 Q Okay. If there's a point where you ever
12 Exhibit 2007 55	11 need me to clarify something, I'm happy to do so,
13	12 but otherwise, I'm not going to go over them at
14	13 this point.
15	14 A All right.
16 * * * * *	MR. OKANO: To begin, I think we can
17	16 stipulate on record, Counsel, that this deposition
18	17 will be used for both IPR 2019-0074 (sic) and
19	18 MR. STEPHENS: 00714?
20	19 MR. OKANO: Yeah, 714 and 00715.
21	20 MR. STEPHENS: Yes, we agree.
22	21 BY MR. OKANO:
	22 Q Okay. And then just going forward so we
	22 Q Okdy. Find their just going forward so we
PROCEEDINGS	1 don't say, like, a thousand numbers during every
2 WHEREUPON, the following proceedings were duly	
3 had:	3 understand that I'm referring to U.S. Patent
4 (The oath was administered by the	4 No. 8,326,327?
1	. 1
C WITCHESC DECRONGE I 1	
	6 Q And this is being challenged in 7 IPR2019-00715, and so if I say "the 715 IPR," will
7 MR. OKANO: David Okano for Paul Hastings	
8 and Snap Inc.	8 you understand I'm referring to IPR2019-00715?
9 MR. STEPHENS: Nick Stephens from Fish &	9 A I'll try to remember that.
10 Richardson for BlackBerry.	10 Q Okay. I'm not going to try to use any
11 PATRICK D. McDANIEL, Ph.D.,	11 slipup of and confuse you.
12 a witness in the above-entitled proceedings,	12 A Yeah, yeah.
after having been first duly sworn,	13 Q It's equally likely I will be confused, so
deposed under oath as follows:	14 we'll just try to refer to the '327 patent. And
15 EXAMINATION	15 same for the '084 patent, when I refer to the '084
16 BY MR. OKANO:	16 patent, will you understand I'm referring to U.S.
17 Q Dr. McDaniel, would you mind stating and	17 Patent No. 8,825,084, which is being challenged in
18 spelling your name for the record?	18 IPR2019-00714?
19 A Patrick McDaniel, P-a-t-r-i-c-k,	19 A Yes.
20 M-c-D-a-n-i-e-l.	20 Q And if I say the 714 IPR, again, I'll
21 Q And, Dr. McDaniel, you are under oath.	21 probably also say the '084 patent, but will you
22 You know what that means?	22 understand I'm referring to the 2019-00714?



11

12

A Yes.

2 Q And I understand you are familiar with

3 these patents and, generally, if I'm asking a

4 question, I'm intending it to apply to both the

5 '084 or the '327 patent, unless I specify one or

6 the other.

7 A Understood.

8 (Deposition Exhibit Number 1 marked for

9 identification by the court stenographer.)

10 (Deposition Exhibit Number 2 marked for

11 identification by the court stenographer.)

12 BY MR. OKANO:

13 Q So, Dr. McDaniel, the court reporter has

14 given you what has been marked as Exhibits 1 and

15 2. These are amended deposition notices of you

16 for the 714 and the 715 IPRs. Have you seen these 17 before?

18 A I may have.

19 Q And these are amended notices of

20 deposition for today. So turning to this

21 deposition, who did you meet with in preparing for

22 the deposition today?

10

MR. STEPHENS: Caution the witness not to

2 divulge any communications with counsel.

3 A I met with counsel Nick Stephens and

4 Mike -

5 MR. STEPHENS: Michael Hawkins.

6 A Michael Hawkins.

7 BY MR. OKANO:

Q Did you meet with any nonattorneys?

9 A No.

10 Q About how much time -- again, not seeking

11 to elicit any privileged information, about how

12 much time did you spend preparing for this

13 deposition?

14 A Probably 15 – well, probably more like 25 15 hours, something like that.

15 hours, something like that.

16 Q And without -- again, without revealing 17 any privileged information, what did you do to

18 prepare for today's deposition?

19 A I met with counsel to discuss the issues,

20 I read the patents and my declarations and

21 reviewed the materials considered.

22 Q Did you review any documents -- or, I

1 guess, when you say "the materials considered,"

2 did you review any materials that were not listed

3 in the exhibit list to your declarations?

4 A I think I looked at some of my previous

5 materials from the litigation just to kind of

6 refresh my memory. And I think I misspoke. I did

7 talk to some Quinn Emanuel attorneys, not

8 necessarily to form any opinions here, but I just

9 touched base with them for about 15 minutes a 10 couple of days ago.

11 Q Do you remember who you spoke with at

12 Quinn Emanuel?

13 A I can't get you the name. I don't know

14 why I'm having trouble with names today.

15 Q Jeff Nardinelli?

16 A Yeah, Jeff, Jeff Nardinelli, yeah. I

17 can't believe I forgot.

18 Q Anyone else?

19 A Patrick Schmidt, I believe, was on the

20 phone as well.

21 Q Jim Asperger?

22 A No, I don't think so.

1 Q And you said you spoke with them for about

2 15 minutes?

3 A Yeah, 15 or 20.

Q And when you talk about the material from

5 the district court, what in particular did you

6 review?

7 MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form.

8 Objection. Privileged to the extent it requires

9 divulging what you talked about.

10 THE WITNESS: Are you instructing me not

11 to answer?

MR. STEPHENS: You can discuss what you

13 reviewed, but nothing that you talked with counsel

14 about.

15 THE WITNESS: Understood.

16 A I reviewed some of my declarations for

17 that case.

18 BY MR. OKANO:

19 Q And the conversation you had with the

20 Quinn Emanuel attorneys a few days ago, was that

21 the only time you've spoken to them about this,

22 these IPRs, since you filed your --



15

16

Transcript of Patrick D. McDaniel, Ph.D. Conducted on March 6, 2020

13

A Yes.

2 Q The second declaration in this case?

3 A That's correct.

Q Okay. Let's turn to your declarations,

and these are Exhibit 2003 in both the 714 and the

715 IPR.

A I have them.

8 Q They should be in front of you.

A Yeah, I have them right here.

10 MR. OKANO: And, Counsel, I don't think we

11 need to mark --

12 MR. STEPHENS: That's fine.

13 MR. OKANO: And I can give you copies as

14 well, once I locate them.

15 MR. STEPHENS: I don't need copies.

MR. OKANO: You don't need copies? Okay. 16

17 MR. STEPHENS: No, that's fine.

MR. OKANO: If you want them, I will

19 provide them.

20 MR. STEPHENS: Perfect.

21 BY MR. OKANO:

Q So let's turn to paragraph -- I guess page

14

1 11 of your -- let's start with the 715 IPR. This

2 is the '327 patent, Exhibit 2003.

3 A I'm there.

Q And here it's a section titled "Materials

Considered." Do you see that?

6 A Yes.

O And this Materials Considered was made in

8 connection with the declaration you provided to

9 support the patent owner's response for the '327

10 patent, correct?

A That is correct.

12 MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form.

13 BY MR. OKANO:

14 Q So I'm looking at paragraph 16, "Some

15 materials that I've reviewed in preparing this

16 declaration include the following documents," and

17 then you list a number of documents. Do you see

18 that?

A Yes. 19

Q So other than the materials identified in

21 the bullet points that follow, what other

22 materials did you consider in forming your

1 opinions that are expressed in Exhibit 2003?

A The materials that are listed here on

3 paragraph 16, claims specification and prosecution

4 history of the '327 patent, the petition, the '715

5 petition we've been discussing. I can't think of

6 anything else other than these.

Q So you can't recall any other materials or

8 documents you relied on, other than what is listed

9 in paragraph 16 and the following bullet points,

10 in preparing your declaration?

A Not as I sit here today.

12 Q And then I'm going to -- let's turn to

13 Exhibit 2003 in the 714 IPR in which the '084

14 patent was at issue, and I'm going to have the

15 same question. Let's turn to page -- it's page 10

16 this time.

17 A I'm there.

Q Paragraph 16 of Exhibit 2003. Other than

19 the materials identified in paragraph 16 and the

20 following bullet points, what other materials, if

21 any, did you consider in forming the opinions

22 expressed in Exhibit 2003?

A I can't think of any beyond what's listed

2 here.

1

3 MR. STEPHENS: Could we pause for a

4 moment?

MR. OKANO: Yeah.

MR. STEPHENS: The realtime is not 6

following for me.

(Off the record.) 8

9 BY MR. OKANO:

Q Okay. Since we're looking at the '084

11 declaration, why don't we just continue with this

12 one for a while.

13 A Sure.

14 Q Actually, let's look at the '084 patent

15 instead.

A Sure. 16

Q So I understand you provided a number of 18 opinions on action spots, right, the term "action

19 spots" --

20 A That's correct.

Q -- as that is recited by claims of the

22 '327 and '084 patents?



17

18

1

19

A Yes.

2 Q Let's turn to claim 1 of the '084 patent,

3 and this is Exhibit 1001, and I'll provide that to

4 you, but we don't need to attach it to the record.

5 MR. OKANO: And, Counsel, I assume -- do

6 you want a copy of the patents?

7 MR. STEPHENS: I'm okay. Thank you.

8 BY MR. OKANO:

9 Q Okay. So let's look at claim 1 of the 10'084 patent, and are you there?

11 A I'm there.

12 Q Okay. And there is the second limitation
13 of the claim, begins with or cites "determine at
14 least one action spot within a predetermined
15 distance from the current location of the first
16 mobile device, the at least one action spot
17 corresponding to a location where at least one
18 second mobile device has engaged in at least one
19 documenting action, the documenting action
20 including at least one of capturing images,
21 capturing videos and transmitting messages." Do
22 you see that?

1 paragraph 35 through 42.

Q So what, you know, in your own -- with your declaration in front of you, I'm just asking,

4 what does it mean to determine an action spot?

5 A It's to look at – well, so I'll just go

6 to paragraph 39, specifically claims 1, 10, 13 of

7 the '327 the patent recite language to determine

8 at least one action spot in the context of the

9 definition provided on column 2, 63 through 65.

10 This requires that the determination of "a

11 location or event where at least one activity is

12 occurring relative to the current location of

13 another mobile device," and it goes on from there.

14 Q Where does it -- turning to claim 1 of the 15 '084 patent, where is the -- where are you getting 16 the language "is occurring" from?

17 A Well, it's coming from the definition of 18 determining the action spot from, for example, 19 column 2, 63 through 65, as well as the...

Q Well, we can look at the -- we can look 21 at -- if you're referring to 2, 63 to 65, we can 22 look at the '327 patent.

20

A Yes.

O And there's a similar limitation in the

3 independent claims of the '327 patent, right?

4 MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form.

5 A The claim 1 -- well, do we want to just --

6 do you want to maybe narrow that down? Do you

7 want to --

8 BY MR. OKANO:

9 Q There's a similar limitation requiring 10 determining an action spot?

11 A Correct.

12 Q Yeah, it doesn't need to be -- not a trick 13 question.

14 So what does it mean to determine an 15 action spot?

16 MR. STEPHENS: Objection. Form.

17 A It's laid out in my declaration. For 18 example — well, I'm actually — if we're talking 19 about the '084 —

20 BY MR. OKANO:

21 O That's fine. You can use either one.

22 A It's laid out in the '327, starting around

A Yeah, sorry. I'm just going back and

2 forth on you. I'm sorry.

Q No, that's fine. I mean, these are 4 similar.

A It's the same.

6 Q It's not an issue for me. We can look at 7 the '327 patent.

8 A Okay. I see what you're saying.

9 Q And does that language talk about 10 determining an action spot or just an action spot, 11 at column 2, 63 through 65, of the '327 patent?

12 A Well, it says — it says what's required 13 when determining an action spot.

14 Q Where in the specification at 2, 63 15 through 65, does it talk about what's required 16 when determining an action spot?

17 A This is for something to be an action spot 18 under the definition provided by the inventors. 19 This says that it has to be "an event where at

20 least one activity is occurring relative to

21 current location of another mobile device."

Q And so your interpreting refers -- what



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

