

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

SNAP, INC.,  
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,  
Patent Owner

---

Case No. IPR2019-00715  
Patent No. 8,326,327

---

**PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE '327 PATENT .....	2
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART .....	6
	A.    Winkler .....	6
	B.    Altman .....	9
	C.    Lemmela .....	10
	D.    Crowley .....	13
	E.    Waldman .....	14
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL .....	14
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION .....	14
	A.    “determine” at least one “action spot” (claims 1, 10, and 13) .....	15
	B.    within a “predetermined distance” from the current location of the mobile device (claims 1, 10, and 13) .....	21
VI.	THE LEMMELA GROUNDS ARE DEFICIENT .....	22
	A.    The Lemmela-Crowley Combination Fails To Disclose The Claimed Requirement For “Determin[e]/[ing] At Least One Action Spot” As Recited In Independent Claims 1, 10, and 13 .....	23
	B.    The Petition Fails To Show That Lemmela “Provide[s] An Indication Of Activity Level At The At Least One Action Spot” (Claim 1) or “Mark[s] The Graphical Item According To An Activity Level With At Least One Action Spot” (Claim 13) .....	30
	C.    The Petition Fails To Show That Lemmela’s System Provides An Indication Of Activity Level That Is Based Upon A Number Of Actions “Within A Predetermined Distance From The At Least One Action Spot” (Claims 3 And 15) .....	33

D. The Lemmela-Crowley Combinations Of Grounds 2-4 Are Based Upon Hindsight Assertions .....35

E. The Proposed Combination of Ground 3 Fails To Provide The “Displaying A Graphical Item ... Identifying A Direction” Limitation Of Independent Claim 10 .....37

F. The Petition’s Proposed Combination Based On Lemmela, Crowley, And Waldman Is Unsupported And Improperly Rooted In Hindsight (Claims 9 And 20) .....40

VII. THE WINKLER GROUND IS DEFICIENT .....43

A. The Winkler-Altman Combination Fails To Disclose The Claimed Requirement For “Determin[e]/[ing] At Least One Action Spot” As Recited In Independent Claims 1, 10, and 13 .....43

1. The Petition’s inconsistent mapping of Winkler to Claims 1, 10, and 13 deprive Patent Owner and the Board of a fair opportunity to assess Petitioner’s combination and ignore all requirements for “the at least one action spot” .....43

2. The Petition fails to show that any individual map element disclosed in the Winkler-Altman combination is an action spot corresponding to “a location where at least one second mobile device has engaged in at least one documenting action” .....49

3. The Petition fails to show that the Winkler-Altman system sets a “predetermined distance” before determining “the at least one action spot” .....52

B. The Petition’s Proposed Modification To Winkler’s System Is Factually And Legally Flawed .....55

1. The Petition fails to address how/why a POSITA would have combined Winkler’s distinct embodiments to achieve one map element that would provide all requirements of the claimed “action spot” .....55

2. The Petition’s Winkler-Altman combination conflates distances from a map element with distances from a current location of the mobile device .....57

3. The Petition’s hindsight motivations to combine Altman with Winkler are deficient.....60

C. The Winkler-Altman Combination Fails To Provide The “Displaying A Graphical Item ... Identifying A Direction” Limitation Of Independent Claim 10 .....61

VIII. CONCLUSION.....62

## LIST OF EXHIBITS

- EX2001 Declaration of Patrick McDaniel, Ph.D.
- EX2002 Corrected Final Ruling on Claim Construction/*Markman* Hearing, *Blackberry Limited v. Snap Inc.*, Case Nos. CV 18-1844-GW & 18-2693-GW (C.D. Cal. April 5, 2019) (“*Markman* Order”)
- EX2003 Second Declaration of Patrick McDaniel, Ph.D.
- EX2004 Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Samrat Bhattacharjee (November 18, 2019)
- EX2005 Final Ruling On Defendant Snap Inc.’s Motion For Summary Judgment Of Invalidity Under Section 101 Of U.S. Patent Nos. 8,825,084 And 8,326,327, *Blackberry Limited v. Snap Inc.*, Case Nos. CV 18-1844-GW & 18-2693-GW (C.D. Cal. October 1, 2019)
- EX2006 Disclosure Pursuant To 37 C.F.R. §42.11
- EX2007 US Appl. No. 12/870,676 (as filed)
- EX2008 Garmin: Updating Maps on Your Garmin Device (May 2010), available at [https://www8.garmin.com/documents/instructions/Garmin\\_Map\\_Update\\_Guide.pdf](https://www8.garmin.com/documents/instructions/Garmin_Map_Update_Guide.pdf) (retrieved November 24, 2019)
- EX2009 CNET Article: How To Update Your GPS Maps TomTom Edition (July 14, 2010), available at <https://www.cnet.com/pictures/how-to-update-your-gps-maps-tomtom-edition-photos> (retrieved November 24, 2019) (slideshow pictures reproduced in a single PDF)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.