

Filed on behalf of: Snap Inc.

By: Yar R. Chaikovsky (Snap-Blackberry-PH-IPR@paulhastings.com)
Chad Peterman (Snap-Blackberry-PH-IPR @paulhastings.com)
David Okano (Snap-Blackberry-PH-IPR@paulhastings.com)
Paul Hastings LLP

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SNAP INC.,
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2019-00715
U.S. Patent No. 8,326,327

PETITIONER'S REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. The Board properly interpreted “action spot” in context of the full claim limitation.....	2
III. Ground 1: Response arguments rely on mischaracterization of <i>Winkler</i> and the Petition.....	8
A. Patent Owner mischaracterizes <i>Winkler</i> ’s disclosure as presenting distinct embodiments and ignores <i>Winkler</i> ’s teaching that features may be used in tandem	8
B. Patent Owner’s assertion that Petitioner does not explain how a single map element may comprise an action spot mischaracterizes the Petition and relies on an incomplete understanding of <i>Winkler</i>	10
C. <i>Winkler</i> ’s method for dynamically modifying map elements teaches map elements that can be a claimed “action spot”	12
D. The Petition describes how and why a POSITA would have been motivated to combine <i>Winkler</i> and <i>Altman</i>	21
IV. Grounds 2-4: The Response relies on mischaracterizations of the references, Petition, and ’327 patent.....	22
A. The Response imports limitations into the claimed “activity level” and <i>Lemmela</i> ’s disclosure.....	22
B. Patent Owner imports new limitations into the claimed “graphical item identifying a direction” and creates distinctions unsupported by the ’327 patent or instituted grounds.....	25
C. A POSITA would have been motivated to combine <i>Lemmela</i> and <i>Crowley</i> (ground 2), <i>Lemmela</i> , <i>Crowley</i> , and <i>Winkler</i> (ground 3), and <i>Lemmela</i> , <i>Crowley</i> , and <i>Waldman</i> (ground 4)	27
V. Conclusion	30

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page(s)

Cases

<i>Argentum Pharm. LLC v. Research Corp. Technologies, Inc.</i> , IPR2016-00204, 2017 WL 1096590 (PTAB March 22, 2017)	12
<i>CSR, PLC v. Skullcandy, Inc.</i> , 594 F. Appx. 672 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	9
<i>Gen. Elec. Co. v. United Techs. Corp.</i> , IPR2016-01287, 2017 WL 6731569 (PTAB Dec. 29, 2017)	12, 24
<i>In re Magnum Oil Tools</i> , 829 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	13
<i>Intellectual Ventures II LLC v. Ericsson Inc.</i> , 685 F. Appx. 913 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	13, 23, 29
<i>Intelligent Bio-Systems, Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge, Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	13
<i>SAS Inst., Inc. v. ComplementSoft, LLC</i> , 825 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	5

LIST OF EXHIBITS

No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,326,327
1002	Declaration of Dr. Samrat Bhattacharjee
1003	CV of Dr. Samrat Bhattacharjee
1004	U.S. Patent No. 8,750,906 (“Winkler”)
1005	U.S. Patent Application Publication 2008/0250337 (“Lemmela”)
1006	U.S. Patent Application Publication 2007/0281716 (“Altman”)
1007	File History of U.S. Patent No. 8,326,327
1008	U.S. Patent No. 7,593,740 (“Crowley”)
1009	RESERVED
1010	Complaint for Patent Infringement, Case No. 2:18-cv-02693, CD CA
1011	U.S. Patent Application Publication 2011/0199479 (“Waldman”)
1012	Declaration of Chad J. Peterman
1013	U.S. Patent No. 9,507,778 (“Jaffe”)
1014	U.S. Patent No. 10,454,995 to Eyal et al. (“Eyal”)
1015	<i>Nokia 770 Internet Tablet with Linux</i> . May 25, 2005. https://www.gsmarena.com/nokia_770_internet_tablet_with_linux-news-124.php
1016	<i>2005 Nokia N770 Internet Overview and Unboxing (PalmOS Linux Device)</i> . August 31, 2016. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HIB9p7-MsdQ
1017	<i>Star Trek meets Linux on Nokia 770 LCARS PADD</i> . October 22, 2006. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YwXBPjLdJnU
1018	RESERVED

1019	RESERVED
1020	Rebuttal Declaration of Dr. Samrat Bhattacharjee
1021	<i>Getting your location with Maps: iPhone and iPod Touch Essential Training from lynda.com.</i> June 24, 2010. https://www.youtube.com/watch?time_continue=31&v=RVjYSAakpmY&feature=emb_title .
1022	Transcript of Deposition of Patrick McDaniel, <i>Blackberry Limited v. Snap Inc.</i> , Case Nos. CV 18-2693-GW (C.D. Cal. February 5, 2019)
1023	Declaration of Patrick McDaniel Regarding Claim Construction, Case Nos. CV 18-2693-GW (C.D. Cal. January 17, 2019)
1024	Transcript of Deposition of Patrick McDaniel, IPR2019-00714 and IPR2019-00715 (March 6, 2020)
1025	Federal Communications Commission, Fourteenth Report (May 10, 2010)
1026	Joint Claim Construction and Prehearing Statement, <i>Blackberry Limited v. Snap Inc.</i> , Case Nos. CV 18-1844-GW & 18-2693-GW (C.D. Cal. February 14, 2019)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.