```
1
                   UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                  CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 2
 3
 4
      BLACKBERRY LIMITED, a Canadian
 5
 6
      corporation,
 7
                  Plaintiff
 8
9
                                          ) CASE NO.
     v.
10
                                          ) 2:18-CV-02693-GW(KSx)
      SNAP INC., a Delaware corporation, )
11
                  Defendant.
12
13
           VIDEOTAPED Deposition of PATRICK MCDANIEL, PH.D.,
14
      taken at the offices of PAUL HASTINGS LLP, 875 15th
      Street NW, Washington, D.C., beginning at 9:06 a.m.,
15
      on TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2019, before Nancy J. Martin,
16
17
      a Registered Merit Reporter, Certified Shorthand Reporter.
18
19
20
21
      JOB NO. 3215691
22
      PAGES 1 - 98
                                                            Page 1
```



1 APPEARANCES:				1	WASHINGTON, D.C., TUESDAY, FEBRUARY 5, 2019; 9:06 A.M.
2				2	
	3 Representing Snap				THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We are
4				4	going on the record at 9:06 a.m. on February 05, 2019.
5		HAD J. PETERMAN, ESQ. rk Avenue		5	Please note that the microphones are sensitive and may
6				6	pick up whispering, private conversations, cellular
7	6 New York, New York 10166				interference. Please turn off all cell phones or
8	. ()				place them away from the microphones as they can
9					interfere with the deposition audio. Audio and video
10					recording will continue to take place unless all
11	Representing Blackberry				parties agree to go off the record.
12					This is Unit No. 1 of the video recorded
	BY: JEFFREY W. NARDINELLI, ESQ.				deposition of Mr. Patrick McDaniel taken by counsel
13	50 California Street				for plaintiff in the matter of Blackberry Limited, a
14	22nd Floor				
15	San Francisco, California 94111				Canadian corporation, vs. Snap, Inc., a Delaware
16	(415) 875-6600				corporation filed in the United States District Court,
17	jeffnardinelli@quinnemanuel.com				Central District of California. Case
18					No. C18-cv-0693-GW (KSx).
19				19	This deposition is being held at Paul
20					Hastings, LLP, located at 875 15th Street, Northwest,
21	,				Washington, D.C.
22			Page 2	22	My name is Orson Breitway from the firm Page 4
			1 450 2		1 ago -
1	INDEX				Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer.
2	PAGE				The court reporter is Nancy Martin from the firm
3					Veritext Legal Solutions.
4	BY MR. PETERMAN 5			4	Counsel and all present in the room will now
5				5	state their appearance and affiliations for the
6				6	record.
7	EXHIBITS			7	MR. PETERMAN: Chad Peterman from Paul
8	NUMBER	DESCRIPTION	PAGE	8	Hastings on behalf of the defendant, Snap. And just
9	Exhibit 1	Notice of Deposition	6	9	for the record, the deposition is being taken by
10		ī			defendants.
	Exhibit 2	Declaration of Patrick	8	11	MR. NARDINELLI: Jeff Nardinelli, Quinn
12		McDaniel, Ph.D. Regarding	~		Emanuel, on behalf of Blackberry and the witness.
13		Claim Construction		13	•
14		Cimili Constitution			please swear in the witness.
	Exhibit 3	U.S. Patent 8,326,327	10	15	
16	LAIIIUIL J	0.5. I atom 0,520,527	10		
	Evhibit 4	IIC Detant 0 005 004	11	16	, ,
	Exhibit 4	U.S. Patent 8,825,084	11	17	3 /
18	D 1 11 -	E 1415 G 25 2 1 1	72	18	
	Exhibit 5	Exhibit C of Defendants'	73	19	
20		Preliminary Proposed Claim		20	
21		Constructions		21	BY MR. PETERMAN:
22			ъ -	22	Š.
			Page 3		Page 5

- 1 A. Good morning.
- 2 Q. Would you please state your name for the
- 3 record.
- 4 A. Patrick McDaniel.
- 5 Q. And what is your home address?
- 6 A. 1480 Chestnut Ridge Drive, State College,
- 7 Pennsylvania, 16803.
- 8 Q. Have you been deposed before?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. Approximately how many times?
- 11 A. I think this might be my 16th or 17th time.
- 12 Q. Okay. So it's fair to say that you're
- 13 familiar with the general procedures of a deposition?
- 14 A. Yes, I am.
- 15 Q. Are there any of the rules that you'd like me
- 16 to further educate you on?
- 17 A. No, I don't think so.
- 18 Q. Is there any reason that you can't provide
- 19 truthful testimony today?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 (Deposition Exhibit 1 was marked for
- 22 identification.)

1 "no" to the best of your ability.

- THE WITNESS: Can you be a little more
- 3 specific?
- 4 (Deposition Exhibit 2 was marked for
- 5 identification.)
- 6 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 7 Q. You submitted a declaration in connection
- 8 with this case; correct?
- 9 A. I have.
- 10 Q. Are you planning on providing any additional
- 11 opinions that are outside of the declaration in this
- 12 litigation?
- 13 A. I believe so, yes.
- 14 Q. And what are those opinions that you're
- 15 planning to provide in litigation?
- 16 A. I suspect that I provide some opinions
- 17 relating to validity and infringement, perhaps, down
- 18 the road.
- 19 Q. And have you formed opinions relating to
- 20 validity and infringement of the patents?
- 21 A. Not yet.
- Q. Do you use the Snapchat application?

Page 8

1 BY MR. PETERMAN:

- O. I've premarked as Exhibit 1 from the court
- 3 reporter the notice of your deposition. It's in front
- 4 of you.
- 5 A. I have it.
- 6 Q. Do you understand that you're here testifying
- 7 in connection with a patent litigation between
- 8 Blackberry and Snap?
- 9 A. Yes, I am.
- 10 Q. In connection with the litigation, have you
- 11 provided an expert report?
- 12 A. I've provided a declaration.
- 13 Q. Okay. And do you understand that today's
- 14 deposition is as a result of that declaration?
- 15 A. I do.
- 16 Q. Okay. You can put Exhibit 1 aside.
- 17 Are you planning on providing any additional
- 18 opinions beyond what's in your declaration?
- MR. NARDINELLI: And I'll caution the witness
- 20 not to reveal the substance of any communications with
- 21 counsel.
- But you can answer that question "yes" or

1 A. No.

Page 6

- 2 Q. Are you planning on attending any trial that
- 3 occurs in this litigation?
- 4 A. I suspect so.
- 5 Q. Are you planning on attending the Markman
- 6 hearing in this litigation?
- 7 A. I don't know.
- 8 Q. And what did you do to prepare for this
- 9 deposition today?
- 10 A. Beyond preparing the declaration?
- 11 Q. Correct.
- 12 A. I read the declaration and the patents.
- 13 MR. NARDINELLI: Let me just stop you. Don't
- 14 go into any kind of specific detail of what we did
- 15 during our prep. Just stick to, you know, who you met
- 16 with and when.
- 17 Go ahead.
- 18 THE WITNESS: I also reviewed the patent
- 19 histories and some of the extrinsic evidence that's
- 20 cited, and I met with counsel.
- 21 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 22 Q. Did you review Snap's proposed claim

Page 9



3 (Pages 6 - 9)

Page 7

- 1 constructions?
- 2 A. I saw them.
- 3 Q. And did you consider the construction that
- 4 Snap has proposed?
- 5 A. No, I haven't formed an opinion.
- 6 Q. Other than your lawyers, did you speak with
- 7 anyone to prepare for today's deposition?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. In front of you already are two documents,
- 10 two patents that have been premarked. Exhibit 2 is
- 11 the U.S. Patent 8,326,327.
- 12 And Exhibit 3 is the U.S. Patent 8,825,084.
- 13 Do you see them?
- 14 A. I think --
- 15 Q. I'm sorry. Scratch that entire read-in.
- In front of you I have Exhibit 2, which is
- 17 your declaration; correct?
- 18 A. Yes. Correct.
- 19 Q. Is that declaration the declaration that you
- 20 provided in connection with this litigation?
- 21 (Deposition Exhibit 3 was marked for
- 22 identification.)

1 Q. And the second patent is premarked as

- 2 Exhibit 4. It's U.S. Patent 8,825,084; correct?
- 3 A. That's correct.
- Q. In this deposition if I say, "the '327
- 5 patent," will you understand that to be U.S. Patent
- 6 No. 8,326,327?
- 7 A. I will. I think I have an extra copy of the
- 8 '084.
- 9 Q. If I say, "the '084 patent," will you
- 10 understand that I'm referring to Exhibit 4, U.S.
- 11 Patent 8,825,084?
- 12 A. Yes, sir.
- 13 Q. And if I call the patents "the Action Spot
- 14 patents," will you understand that I'm referring to
- 15 both Exhibits 3 and 4?
- 16 A. Yes.
- 17 Q. Were you asked to provide opinions on all
- 18 terms of the Action Spot patents or only certain
- 19 terms?

Page 10

- 20 MR. NARDINELLI: Well, let me -- sorry.
- 21 Can you read back the question.
- 22 (Record read.)

Page 12

- 1 (The witness reviewed Exhibit 2.)
- THE WITNESS: I've been battling a stomach
- 3 flu for a couple of days. So if I have to stop and
- 4 I'm choking up here, I'm not trying to stall. I'm
- 5 just feeling pretty rough.
- 6 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 7 Q. Sure. I do appreciate you coming out and
- 8 hope you feel better, but just let me know if --
- 9 A. Yeah, I know. I don't think it's going to be 10 an issue.
- 11 It appears to be.
- 12 Q. And in Exhibit 2 is it fair to say that it
- 13 mainly concerns two patents?
- 14 A. It concerns claim construction issues related
- 15 to two patents.
- 16 Q. And the first patent is U.S. Patent
- 17 8,326,327, which is in front of you premarked as
- 18 Exhibit 3.
- 19 A. It is.
- 20 (Deposition Exhibit 4 was marked for
- 21 identification.)
- 22 BY MR. PETERMAN:

- 1 MR. NARDINELLI: I'll object based on
- 2 privilege and instruct you not to answer that question
- 3 the way it's phrased.
- 4 Go ahead.
- 5 THE WITNESS: Can you try again?
- 6 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 7 Q. Were you asked to provide claim construction
- 8 opinions on all terms of the Action Spot patent, or
- 9 were only certain terms identified to you?
- 10 MR. NARDINELLI: Same objection and same
- 11 instruction.
- 12 I'll instruct you not to answer the question
- 13 on privilege grounds.
- 14 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 15 Q. Are there any claim construction opinions
- 16 that you intend to provide that are not included
- 17 within your expert declaration?
- 18 A. I don't believe so.
- 19 Q. Have you reviewed any other patents asserted
- 20 by Blackberry in this litigation?
- 21 MR. NARDINELLI: Objection. Privilege.
- I'll instruct you not to answer that

Page 13



4 (Pages 10 - 13)

Page 11

1 question.

2 BY MR. PETERMAN:

- 3 Q. Were your opinions with respect to claim
- 4 construction that you expressed in this declaration
- 5 informed by any other patents that Blackberry has
- 6 asserted in this litigation?
- A. My opinions expressed in this declaration
- 8 were formed by my analysis of the claims and the
- 9 specifications, the patent histories, and the
- 10 extrinsic evidence, as well as my experience.
- 11 Q. So let's turn to your declaration, Exhibit 2.
- 12 A. Sure.
- 13 Q. Specifically, I'll direct you to Page 6.
- 14 A. I'm there.
- 15 Q. Page 6 contains a section regarding the
- 16 "Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art"; correct?
- 17 A. That's correct.
- 18 Q. And in Paragraph 27 you state your opinion
- 19 that "a person of ordinary skill in the art relevant
- 20 to the Action Spots Patents at the time of the
- 21 invention would have had a bachelor of science degree
- 22 in Computer Engineering/Computer Science or similar

Page 14

1 yes.

1

2

8 correct?

A. That's correct.

9

10

12

14

16

18

21

22

11 date?

13 filed.

17 filed.

15 2012 date?

A. No.

Q. You go on to state in Paragraph 27 of your

3 declaration that your "opinion of a person of ordinary

6 August 2010, or sometime later up until and including 7 the October 9, 2012 patent date of the '084 patent";

Q. What's the significance of the August 2010

A. That's the date at which the '327 patent was

Q. And what's the significance of the October 9,

A. That's the date of when the '084 patent was

Q. Is it your opinion that the claim terms that

19 are common between the '327 and the '084 patent should

THE WITNESS: For the terms that I reviewed,

MR. NARDINELLI: Object to form.

4 skill in the art remains the same regardless of

5 whether the time of the invention is found to be

- 2 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 3 Q. Are there any differences between the

20 be construed the exact, same way?

- 4 specification for the '327 patent and the '084 patent?
- 5 A. The only difference, I believe, is a
- 6 notification of the continuation on the '084. I think
- 7 the specifications are exactly the same.
- 8 Q. And for your claim construction analysis, did
- 9 you rely on one specification more than the other
- 10 specification?
- 11 A. No.
- 12 Q. Let's look at the background section of your
- 13 report, which begins on Page 6.
- 14 A. I'm there.
- 15 Q. What do you believe is the invention that's
- 16 claimed by the Action Spot patents?
- 17 MR. NARDINELLI: Object to form.
- 18 THE WITNESS: So I can speak broadly, as I
- 19 have done here in this section, about the invention.
- 20 My declaration is focused on reviewing specific terms.
- 21 So I can present this as my broad understanding of the
- 22 invention at large. I'm not sure how to answer that

Page 17

Page 16

1 subject matter, or at least approximately two years of

- 2 work or research experience in the fields of computer
- 3 software, networking, and/or user experience design,
- 4 or an equivalent subject matter"; correct?
- 5 A. Correct.
- 6 Q. How did you arrive at the level of skill in
- 7 the art you expressed there in Paragraph 27 of your
- 8 declaration?
- 9 (The witness reviewed Exhibit 2.)
- 10 THE WITNESS: This was based on my
- 11 experience, as well as my understanding of what it
- 12 means to be skilled in the art.
- 13 BY MR. PETERMAN:
- 14 Q. And what is your understanding of what it
- 15 means to be skilled in the art?
- 16 A. Somebody that's able to read, interpret, and
- 17 practice a patent as written.
- 18 Q. And in August of 2010, would you have been
- 19 considered a person who was skilled in the art?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 Q. Did you consider the claims under any other
- 22 level of skill in the art?

Page 15



5 (Pages 14 - 17)

DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

