

1 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP
2 James R. Asperger (Bar No. 83188)
3 jamesasperger@quinnemanuel.com
4 865 S. Figueroa St., 10th Floor
5 Los Angeles, CA 90017
6 Telephone: (213) 443-3000
7 Facsimile: (213) 443-3100

8 Kevin P.B. Johnson (Bar No. 177129)
9 kevinjohnson@quinnemanuel.com
10 555 Twin Dolphin Drive, 5th Floor
11 Redwood Shores, CA 94065
12 Telephone: (650) 801-5000
13 Facsimile: (650) 801-5100

14 BLACKBERRY CORPORATION
15 Edward R. McGah, Jr (SBN 97719)
16 Vice President, Deputy General Counsel – Litigation
17 41 Ticknor Place
18 Laguna Niguel, California 92677
19 Telephone: (+1) 650-581-4750

20 Attorneys for Plaintiff,
21 BlackBerry Limited

22 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
23 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

24 BLACKBERRY LIMITED, a)
25 Canadian corporation,)

26 Plaintiffs,)

27 v.)

28 FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware)
corporation, WHATSAPP INC., a)
29 Delaware corporation, and)
30 INSTAGRAM, INC., a Delaware)
corporation, and INSTAGRAM, LLC,)
31 a Delaware limited liability company)

32 Defendants,

33 SNAP INC., a Delaware corporation

34 Defendant.

CASE NO. 2:18-cv-01844 GW(KSx)
CASE NO. 2:18-cv-02693 GW(KSx)

**JOINT CLAIM
CONSTRUCTION AND
PREHEARING STATEMENT**

1 Pursuant to the Joint Status Hearing of January 10, 2019 (*See* Hearing Tr. at
 2 4:25-6:6, January 10, 2019), the Court’s Order (Dkt. 107), and S.P.R. 3.4, Plaintiff
 3 BlackBerry Limited (“BlackBerry”) and Defendants Facebook, Inc. (“Facebook”),
 4 WhatsApp, Inc. (“WhatsApp”), Instagram, LLC (“Instagram”), and Snap Inc.
 5 (“Snap”) (collectively, “Defendants”) hereby submit the Joint Claim Construction
 6 and Prehearing Statement for U.S. Patent Nos. 7,372,961 (“’961 Patent”), 8,279,173
 7 (“’173 Patent”), 8,209,634 (“’634 Patent”), 8,301,713 (“’713 Patent”), 8,429,236
 8 (“’236 Patent”), 8,677,250 (“’250 Patent”), and 9,349,120 (“’120 Patent”),
 9 8,301,713 (“’713 Patent”), 8,296,351 (“’351 Patent”), 8,676,929 (“’929 Patent”),
 10 8,825,084 (“’084 Patent), and 8,326,327 (“’327 Patent) (collectively, the “patents-
 11 in-suit” or the “Asserted Patents”).¹

12 **I. AGREED CONSTRUCTIONS (S.P.R. 3.4.1)**

13 The Parties stipulate to the constructions for the following terms:

Claim Term	Patent	Agreed Construction
“dynamic advertising information”	’351, ’929	“advertising information that regularly changes”
“static advertising information”	’351, ’929	“advertising information that relates to the identity of an advertiser or that does not often change”
“default advertising information”	’351, ’929	“advertising information that changes rarely”
“channel” / “memory location channel”	’351, ’929	“memory location”
“resumption message”	’713	“message after a period of interruption”

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

¹ The Asserted Patents may be categorized as Facebook-only patents (the ’120, ’250, ’173, ’961, and ’236 patents), Facebook and Snap patents (the ’713, ’351, ’929, and ’634 patents), and Snap-only patents (the ’327 and ’084 patents).

1 BlackBerry and the Facebook Defendants stipulate to the construction for the
2 following term from the Facebook only patents:

Claim Term	Patent	Agreed Construction
“recipient application”	’236	“software, hardware, component, or collection of components that processes status updates from a mobile communications device and generates an output based on the status updates”

3
4
5
6
7
8
9 BlackBerry and Snap stipulate to the construction for the following term from
10 the Snap only patents:

Claim Term	Patent	Agreed Construction
“action spot”	’327, ’084	“location or event where at least one activity is occurring relative to the current location of another mobile device”

11
12
13
14
15 **II. THE PARTIES’ PROPOSED CONSTRUCTIONS (S.P.R. 3.4.2)**

16 Appendix A (Terms in Dispute) sets forth proposed constructions of each
17 disputed term, together with an identification of all references from the specification
18 or prosecution history that support that construction, and an identification of any
19 extrinsic evidence known to the party on which it intends to rely either to support or
20 its proposed construction or to oppose any proposed construction, including, but not
21 limited to, as permitted by law, dictionary definitions, citations to learned treatises
22 and prior art, and testimony of percipient and expert witnesses. Terms in Appendix
23 A are not ranked in any fashion. Each disputed term is accompanied by each
24 parties’ statement as to the impact of the term.
25
26
27
28

1 **III. TOP TEN TERMS FOR CONSTRUCTION (S.P.R. 3.4.3)**

2 The parties jointly identify the following ten (10) claim terms as the most
 3 significant at this time to resolution of the case. (Tr. at 4:25-5:15; S.P.R. 3.4.3.)²
 4 Terms are not ranked in any fashion.

Claim Term	Patent	BlackBerry's Construction	Defendants' Construction
"wireless communication device"	'634	"small-screen wireless mobile device"	No construction required; in the alternative, "device that can communicate without wires"
"icon"	'634	"picture or symbol representing a computer application or function"	"graphical image"
"messaging correspondent"	'634	"distinct sender of an electronic message to the user of the wireless communication device"	"a person from whom messages may be received"
"predetermined duration of time"	'713	"duration of time determined in advance"	"a length of time set in advance before the first messaging communication is sent"
"proxy content server"	'351	"server that aggregates information from an information source for distribution to a device"	"a computer that receives information over a computer network and provides it to another device"

27 ² As noted below, the parties have different interpretations of the Court's request
 28 for ranked lists.

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	“meta tag for one or more advertisements to be displayed with the content information”	’929	“ <i>embedded control sequence inserted to indicate when advertising should be inserted for one or more advertisements to be displayed with the content information</i> ” ³	“ <i>meta tag for one or more advertisements to be displayed at the same time as the content information</i> ” “Meta tag” does not need to be construed and should be given its plain and ordinary meaning. To the extent it is construed as BlackBerry contends, a “meta tag” is “ <i>one or more characters containing information about a file, record type or other structure, where the characters and information cannot be viewed by a user</i> ”
13 14 15 16	“content information”	’351, ’929	Plain and ordinary meaning; alternatively “ <i>information other than advertising information</i> ”	“ <i>Information, other than advertising information and meta tags, which is displayed for viewing by the user</i> ”
17 18	“reducing mod q”	’961	Plain and ordinary meaning	“ <i>computing the remainder of dividing a value by q</i> ”
19 20 21 22 23 24	“determine / determining at least one action spot within a predetermined distance from the current location of the mobile device”	’084, ’327	Plain and ordinary meaning	“ <i>Determine / determining each action spot within a specific distance from the current location of the [first] mobile device, the specific distance being set prior to this determining step</i> ”

25
26
27
28

³ BlackBerry contends that the constituent term “meta tag” be construed as “embedded control sequence inserted to indicate when advertising should be inserted,” and the remainder of the phrase be given its plain and ordinary meaning.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.