

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SNAP, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2019-00714
Patent No. 8,825,084

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	OVERVIEW OF THE '084 PATENT	2
III.	OVERVIEW OF THE PRIOR ART	6
	A. Winkler	6
	B. Altman	9
	C. Lemmela	10
	D. Crowley	13
IV.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL	14
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	14
	A. “determine” at least one “action spot” (claims 1 and 9)	15
	B. within a “predetermined distance” from the current location of the first mobile device (claims 1 and 9)	21
VI.	THE LEMMELA GROUNDS ARE DEFICIENT	22
	A. The Lemmela-Crowley Combination Fails To Disclose The Claimed Requirement To “Determine At Least One Action Spot” As Recited In Independent Claims 1 And 9	22
	B. The Petition Fails To Show That Lemmela Teaches The Limitation Of Independent Claim 1 Of A “Server Configured To Receive Data Indicative Of A Current Location Of A First Mobile Device”	30
	C. The Petition Fails To Show That Lemmela “Transmit[s] ... An Indication Of An Activity Level At The At Least One Action Spot” (Claim 1) or “Display[s] A Level Of Activity Associated With The At Least One Action Spot” (Claim 9)	35
	D. The Petition Fails To Show That Lemmela Transmits “The Indication” Of Activity Level “Identifying A Relative Level Of	

Documenting Action Occurring At The At Least One Action Spot”
(Claim 6).....38

E. The Lemmela-Crowley Combinations Of Grounds 2-3 Are Based
Upon Hindsight Assertions39

F. The Proposed Combination of Ground 3 Fails To Provide The
“Displaying A Graphical Item ... Identifying A Direction” Limitation
Of Independent Claim 941

VII. THE WINKLER GROUND IS DEFICIENT.....44

A. The Winkler-Altman Combination Fails To Disclose The Claimed
Requirement to “Determine At Least One Action Spot” As Recited In
Independent Claims 1 And 9.....45

1. The Petition’s inconsistent mapping of Winkler to Claims 1 and
9 deprive Patent Owner and the Board of a fair opportunity to
assess Petitioner’s combination and ignore all requirements for
“the at least one action spot”45

2. The Petition fails to show that any individual map element
disclosed in the Winkler-Altman combination is an action spot
corresponding to “a location where at least one second mobile
device has engaged in at least one documenting action”50

3. The Petition fails to show that the Winkler-Altman system sets
a “predetermined distance” before determining “the at least one
action spot”53

B. The Petition’s Proposed Modification To Winkler’s System Is
Factually And Legally Flawed56

1. The Petition fails to address how/why a POSITA would have
combined Winkler’s distinct embodiments to achieve one map
element that would provide all requirements of the claimed
“action spot”56

2. The Petition’s Winkler-Altman combination conflates distances
from a map element with distances from a current location of a
first mobile device.....58

3. The Petition’s hindsight motivations to combine Altman with Winkler are deficient.....59

C. The Winkler-Altman Combination Fails To Provide The “Displaying A Graphical Item ... Identifying A Direction” Limitation Of Independent Claim 960

VIII. CONCLUSION.....61

LIST OF EXHIBITS

- EX2001 Declaration of Patrick McDaniel, Ph.D.
- EX2002 Corrected Final Ruling on Claim Construction/*Markman* Hearing, *Blackberry Limited v. Snap Inc.*, Case Nos. CV 18-1844-GW & 18-2693-GW (C.D. Cal. April 5, 2019) (“*Markman* Order”)
- EX2003 Second Declaration of Patrick McDaniel, Ph.D.
- EX2004 Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Samrat Bhattacharjee (November 18, 2019)
- EX2005-EX2007 [RESERVED]
- EX2008 Garmin: Updating Maps on Your Garmin Device (May 2010), available at https://www8.garmin.com/documents/instructions/Garmin_Map_Update_Guide.pdf (retrieved November 24, 2019)
- EX2009 CNET Article: How To Update Your GPS Maps TomTom Edition (July 14, 2010), available at <https://www.cnet.com/pictures/how-to-update-your-gps-maps-tomtom-edition-photos> (retrieved November 24, 2019) (slideshow pictures reproduced in a single PDF)

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.