

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SNAP, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2019-00714
Patent No. 8,326,327

PATENT OWNER'S NOTICE OF APPEAL

Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 141(c) and 319, and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a), notice is hereby given that Patent Owner Blackberry Limited hereby appeals to the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit from the Final Written Decision in Case No. IPR2019-00714 entered September 1, 2020 (Paper 33) (“Final Written Decision”) by the Patent Trial and Appeal Board (“the Board”), and from all underlying orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions related thereto and included therein. This appeal is timely under 35 U.S.C. § 142 and Rule 15(a)(1) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure.

In accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(3)(ii), the expected issues on appeal include, but are not necessarily limited to:

1. The Board’s decision that claims 1, 2, 5, and 6 of U.S. Patent No. 8,825,084 (Ex. 1001) were shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2008/0250337 by S. Lemmela et al. (Ex. 1005, “Lemmela”) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,593,740 to D. Crowley and A. Rainert (Ex. 1008, “Crowley”);
2. The Board’s decision that claims 9, 10, 12, 13, and 15 were shown to be unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as obvious over Lemmela in view of Crowley and in further view of U.S. Patent No. 8,750,906 to D. Winkler et al. (Ex. 1004, “Winkler”);

3. The Board’s construction of the term “action spot” in all claims under review, including the Board’s interpretation of that construction and its application to the prior art;
 4. The constitutionality of the appointment of the Administrative Patent Judges who presided over this *inter partes* review;
 5. The Board’s interpretation of the prior art;
 6. The Board’s legal errors in undertaking the aforementioned obviousness analysis;
 7. The Board’s findings that conflict with the evidence of record or are otherwise not supported by substantial evidence;
 8. The Board’s failure to consider evidence of record fully and properly;
- and
9. All other issues decided adversely to Patent Owner in any orders, decisions, rulings, and opinions underlying or supporting the Final Written Decision.

Per 35 U.S.C. § 142 and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(1), this notice is being filed with the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office and a copy is also being filed with the Board. Per Federal Circuit Rule 15(a)(1) and 37 C.F.R. § 90.2(a)(2), Patent Owner is also sending a paper copy of this Notice of Appeal to the Clerk of

the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit, and paying the fee set forth in
Federal Circuit Rule 42.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: October 30, 2020

/Michael T. Hawkins/
Michael T. Hawkins, Reg. No. 57,867
Nicholas Stephens, Reg. No. 74,320
Fish & Richardson P.C.
3200 RBC Plaza, 60 South Sixth St.
Minneapolis, MN 55402
T: (612) 337-5070
F: (612) 288-9696

Attorneys for Patent Owner

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to 37 CFR §42.6(e), the undersigned certifies that on October 30, 2020, a complete and entire copy of this Patent Owner's Notice of Appeal was provided via email to the Petitioners by serving the email correspondence address of record as follows:

Yar R. Chaikovsky
David Okano
Paul Hastings LLP
1117 S. California Avenue
Palo Alto, CA 94304
Email: Snap-Blackberry-PH-IPR@paulhastings.com

Chad Peterman
Paul Hastings LLP
200 Park Avenue
New York, NY 10166
Email: Snap-Blackberry-PH-IPR@paulhastings.com

/Jessica K. Detko/
Jessica Detko
Fish & Richardson P.C.
3200 RBC Plaza
60 South Sixth Street
Minneapolis, MN 55402
(612) 337-2516

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.