

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

WEATHERFORD INTERNATIONAL, LLC,
Petitioner,

v.

BAKER HUGHES OILFIELD OPERATIONS, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00708
Patent RE46,137

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

PATENT OWNER'S EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Shorthand	Description
2001	Fleckenstein	Declaration of William W. Fleckenstein, Ph.D, PE (CA#1666)
2002	Chambers2	Declaration of Michael Chambers, filed in IPR2019-00768 as EX1022
2003	Dictionary	Dictionary Definitions from Random House Webster's Unabridged Dictionary, 2d Ed. (1998)
2004	ChambersDepo.	Transcript of Michael Chambers's November 21, 2019 Deposition
2005	Stout	Pub. No. US 2012/0103628 A1 to Stout
2006	Stout Provisional	U.S. Provisional Patent App. No. 61/408,780 to Stout
2007	Saheta	U.S. Patent No. 8,783,353 B2 to Saheta et al.
2008	Patel '427	Pub. No. US 2009/0078427 A1 to Patel (EX1021 from IPR2019-00768)
2009	Davitt	U.S. Patent No. 4,105,044 to Davitt
2010	Holcombe	U.S. Patent No. 5,558,153 to Holcombe et al. (EX1023 from IPR2019-00768)
2011	Xu	U.S. Patent No. 7,703,510 B2 to Xu (EX1025 from IPR2019-00768)
2012	Patel '186	U.S. Patent No. 6,659,186 B2 to Patel (EX1026 from IPR2019-00768)
2013	ChambersDepo2	Transcript of Michael Chambers's April 7, 2020 Deposition
2014	RichardsDepo.	Transcript of William Mark Richards's April 9, 2020 Deposition

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Petitioner’s Claim Construction Arguments Fail	1
II.	Giroux’s Alternative Embodiment Does Not Anticipate	6
	A. It Is Not a Closed-to-Open Tool.....	6
	B. It Is Not an Urged-Closed Tool.....	8
III.	It Was Not Obvious To Use Giroux as a Toe Sleeve	9
	A. There Was No Logical Reason To Turn to Giroux.....	9
	B. Petitioner’s Remaining Arguments About POR Sections IV.B and VI Fail	11
IV.	Giroux Does Not Disclose Urging.....	13
V.	Petitioner Did Not Overcome Its Urging Failure	22
VI.	Petitioner’s New Tools Are Improper	24
	A. The New Tools Cannot Fill the Gap in Petitioner’s Challenges.....	24
	B. Moreover, the New Tools Are Not Printed Publications	25
	C. Petitioner Failed To Show the New Tools Prevent Premature Actuation	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>3M Innovative Props. Co. v. Avery Dennison Corp.</i> , 350 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	2, 3
<i>Applera Corp. v. Micromass UK Ltd.</i> , 186 F. Supp. 2d 487 (D. Del. 2002).....	2, 3
<i>Ex parte Bjorn</i> , Appeal 2018-001567, slip op. (P.T.A.B. Oct. 16, 2019).....	14, 15, 19
<i>Dexcom, Inc. v. Waveform Techs., Inc.</i> , IPR2016-01679, Paper 53 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 28, 2018).....	24
<i>Ex parte Elder</i> , Appeal 2016-004536, slip op. (P.T.A.B. May 16, 2018)	14
<i>Free Motion Fitness, Inc. v. Cybex Int’l, Inc.</i> , 423 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	2
<i>Gemtron Corp. v. Saint-Gobain Corp.</i> , 572 F.3d 1371 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	12
<i>KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	10, 11
<i>In re Meng</i> , 492 F.2d 843 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	17
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	7, 8
<i>Ex parte Nguyen</i> , Appeal 2018-001801, slip op. (P.T.A.B. Oct. 22, 2018).....	16, 17, 19
<i>In re NuVasive, Inc.</i> , 842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	22, 23
<i>Poly-America, L.P. v. API Indus., Inc.</i> , 839 F.3d 1131 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	3, 5

<i>Presidio Components Inc. v. Am. Tech. Ceramics Corp.</i> , IPR2015-01330, Paper 11 (P.T.A.B. Dec. 3, 2015)	14, 15, 16
<i>Redline Detection, LLC v. Star Envirotech, Inc.</i> , 811 F.3d 435 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	24
<i>Ex parte Roth</i> , Appeal 2010-009869, slip op. (B.P.A.I. Feb. 1, 2012).....	14
<i>In re Seid</i> , 161 F.2d 229 (C.C.P.A. 1947)	14
<i>Univ. of Maryland Biotech. Inst. v. Presens Precision Sensing GmbH</i> , 711 F. App'x 1007 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	12
<i>WBIP, LLC v. Kohler Co.</i> , 829 F.3d 1317 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	9
<i>In re Wolfensperger</i> , 302 F.2d 950 (C.C.P.A. 1962)	13, 17, 20
<i>Yeda Research v. Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc.</i> , 906 F.3d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	11
Rules and Regulations	
37 C.F.R. § 42.23(b)	20
37 C.F.R. § 42.65(a).....	27
77 Fed. Reg. 48,756	24

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.