

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

APPLE INC.

Petitioner

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC

Patent Owner

---

IPR2019-00701

PATENT 8,018,877

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION**

**PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107(a)**

## Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION .....	1
II.	THE '877 PATENT .....	1
III.	THE PETITION IMPROPERLY REDUDANTLY CHALLENGES THE CLAIMS AT ISSUE.....	3
IV.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS.....	6
V.	LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART .....	7
VI.	PETITIONER DOES NOT PROVE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF UNPATENTABILITY FOR ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM.....	7
A.	Claim Construction.....	8
B.	Kirmse Does Not Disclose “transmitting a request to a server to allocate a network address and port associated with the server to use in a data exchange session with a participating mobile device” (Redundant Ground 1) (Independent Claims 1, 8, 15) .....	8
C.	Chambers and RSIP Does Not Disclose “transmitting a request to a server to allocate a network address and port associated with the server to use in a data exchange session with a participating mobile device” (Redundant Ground 2) (Independent Claims 1, 8, 15).....	10
D.	A POSITA Would Not Have Combined Cordenier and TURN (Ground 3).....	15
E.	The Petition fails to Prove Obviousness of Any Dependent Claim .....	21
VII.	THE CONSTITUTIONALITY OF <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW IS THE SUBJECT OF A PENDING APPEAL .....	21

VIII. CONCLUSION.....21

## I. INTRODUCTION

Uniloc 2017 LLC (“Uniloc” or “Patent Owner”) submits this Preliminary Response to Petition IPR2019-00701 for *Inter Partes* Review (“Pet.” or “Petition”) of United States Patent No. 8,018,877 (“the ’877 patent” or “EX1001”) filed by Apple, Inc. (“Petitioner”). The instant Petition is procedurally and substantively defective for at least the reasons set forth herein.

## II. THE ’877 PATENT

The ’877 patent is titled “Mobile conferencing method and system.” The ’877 patent issued September 13, 2011, from U.S. Patent Application No. 13/079,767 filed April 4, 2011, which is a continuation of application No. 12/691,594, filed on January 21, 2010, now Pat. No. 7,940,704, which is a continuation of application No. 11/091,242, filed on March 28, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,672,255, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 10/935,342, filed on September 7, 2004, now Pat. No. 7,764,637, which is a continuation-in-part of application No. 10/817,994, filed on April 5, 2004, now Pat. No. 7,961,663, and a continuation-in-part of application No. 11/042,620, filed on January 24, 2005, now Pat. No. 7,773,550.

The inventors of the ’877 patent observed that, at the time, mobile instant messaging (“IM”) had just begun to become available and was not as easy to use in the mobile environment as it was in the desktop environment. In particular, the then

IM paradigm was encumbered by the constraint that one can only communicate with those who are currently (i) online, (ii) logged on to same IM service such as AOL's Instant Messenger (AIM), Yahoo! Messenger or MSN Messenger, and (iii) included as a "buddy" on one's "buddy list." And while at the time there were also peer-to-peer instant messaging systems, those peer-to-peer techniques also had their limitations. Specifically, with pure peer-to-peer IM techniques, it was more difficult to implement a commercially viable IM system that efficiently incorporated the capability to communicate in a real-time messaging session with more than two devices (i.e., adding conferencing capabilities to an IM system). Additionally, to the extent service providers dynamically allocated private IP addresses (rather than allocate public Internet IP addresses) to mobile devices through Network Address Translation (NAT) or any other network address allocation techniques, peer-to-peer IM techniques generally would only work within the private network of the service provider since the private IP addresses allocated to a mobile device would not be properly resolved by a receiving mobile device residing on a separate private network with a separate service provider. EX1001, 1:30-2:18.

According to the invention of the '877 patent, a method and system is provided for establishing a real-time session-based IM system or data exchange system between mobile devices over a digital mobile network system that supports data packet-based communications. One such method for of initiating a data

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.