

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,
Petitioner

v.

UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,018,877
Filing Date: April 4, 2011
Issue Date: September 13, 2011
Title: Mobile Conferencing Method and System

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2019-00701

**DECLARATION OF DR. HENRY H. HOUH
UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 1.68**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Background and Qualifications	4
III. Materials Considered for this Declaration.....	12
IV. Understanding of the Law	12
V. Summary of Opinions.....	14
VI. Overview of the 877 Patent	15
A. Summary of the 877 Patent	15
B. Claims of the 877 Patent	15
C. Effective Filing Date	17
D. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	19
E. Claim Construction.....	22
VII. Ground 1: Kirmse in View of Chambers Renders Obvious Claims 1-20	24
A. Kirmse Overview	24
B. Chambers Overview	25
C. Analysis	25
VIII. Ground 2: Chambers in View of RSIP Renders Obvious Claims 1-20	42
A. Chambers Overview	42
B. RSIP Overview	42
C. Statement of Rationale for the Combination of Chambers and RSIP.....	43
D. Analysis	46
IX. Ground 3: Cordenier in View of TURN Renders Obvious Claims 1-3, 5- 10, 12-20	56
A. Cordenier Overview	56
B. TURN Overview	58
C. Statement of Rationale for Combining Cordenier and TURN.....	61
D. Analysis	64
X. Secondary Considerations	76
XI. Conclusion	76

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,018,877 to Lin
1002	Declaration of Dr. Henry Houh (this declaration)
1003	File History of U.S. Pat. No. 8,018,877 to Lin
1004	File History of U.S. Pat. No. 7,961,663 to Lin
1005	U.S. Pat. No. 6,699,125 (“ Kirmse ”)
1006	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. US 2003/0142654 (“ Chambers ”)
1007	European Pat. App. Pub. EP 1 385 323 A1 (“ Cordenier ”)
1008	Complaint for Patent Infringement dated February 22, 2018 (“ Uniloc Complaint ”)
1009	<i>Declaration by Alexa Morris with the exhibit “draft-rosenberg-midcom-turn-00.txt”, Traversal Using Relay NAT (TURN)</i>
1010	<i>Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF RFC 793: Transmission Control Protocol with the exhibit, RFC 793, “Transmission Control Protocol” (“RFC793”)</i>
1011	U.S. Pat. App. Pub. No. 2003/0217174 (“ Dorenbosch ”)
1012	U.S. Patent No. 7,961,663 to Lin (“ 663 Patent ”)
1013	<i>Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF RFC 3103: Realm Specific IP: Protocol Specification with exhibit, RFC 3103, “Realm Specific IP: Protocol Specification” (“RSIP”)</i>
1014	Certified Translation and Original of European Pat. App. Pub. EP 1 009 153 A1 (“ Alos ”)
1015	Declaration by Alexa Morris with the exhibit “draft-rosenberg-sipping-ice-00.txt,” Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Methodology for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) (“ ICE ”)
1016	U.S. Patent No. 7,969,925 to Lin (“ 925 Patent ”)
1017	<i>Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF RFC 1918: Address Allocation for Private Internets with exhibit, RFC 1918, “Address Allocation for Private Internets” (“NAT”)</i>

1018	U.S. Patent No. 8,539,552 (“ Grabelsky ”)
1019	Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF RFC 3489: STUN - Simple Traversal of User Datagram Protocol (UDP) Through Network Address Translators (NATs) with the exhibit, RFC 3489, “STUN - Simple Traversal of User Datagram Protocol (UDP) Through Network Address Translators (NATs)” (“ STUN ”)
1020	January 3, 2011 Amendment and Response to Office Action from file history of U.S. Pat. No. 7,969,925 to Lin
1021	U.S. Pat. App. No. 10/817,994 to Lin
1022	U.S. Pat. App. No. 10/935,342 to Lin
1023	U.S. Pat. App. No. 11/042,620 to Lin
1024	<i>Declaration of Sandy Ginoza for IETF RFC 2026: The Internet Standards Process – Revision 3</i> with the exhibit, RFC 2026: “The Internet Standards Process – Revision 3” (“ Internet Standards Process ”)

I, Dr. Henry H. Houh, do hereby declare:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. I have been retained as an expert witness on behalf of petitioner Apple Inc. (“Apple”) for the above-captioned Petition for Inter Partes Review (“IPR”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,018,877 (“877 patent”). I am being compensated for my time in connection with this IPR at my standard consulting rate of \$620 per hour. My compensation is in no way dependent on the outcome of this matter.

2. I have been asked to provide my opinions regarding whether claims 1-20 (“the challenged claims”) of the 877 patent are invalid as anticipated or obvious. In preparing this declaration, I have reviewed the 877 patent, the file history of the 877 patent, and numerous prior art references from the time of the alleged invention.

3. I have been advised and it is my understanding that patent claims in an IPR are interpreted using the same claim construction standard that is used to construe the claim in a civil action in federal district court.

4. In forming the opinions expressed in this declaration, I relied upon my education and experience in the relevant field of the art, and have considered the viewpoint of a person having ordinary skill in the relevant art, as of 2004. My opinions directed to the invalidity of claims 1-20 of the 877 patent are based, at least in part, on the following prior art publications:

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.