

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

DECLARATION

I, Alexa Morris, based on my personal knowledge and information, hereby declare as follows:

1. I am Executive Director of the Internet Engineering Task Force (“IETF”) and have held this position since January 1, 2008.
2. Among my responsibilities as Executive Director, I act as the custodian of Internet-Drafts for the IETF and records relating to Internet-Drafts. I am familiar with the record keeping practices relating to Internet-Drafts, including the creation and maintenance of such records.
3. I make this declaration based on my personal knowledge and information contained in the business records of the IETF, or confirmation with other responsible IETF personnel with such knowledge.
4. Since 1998, it has been the regular practice of the IETF to publish Internet-Drafts and make them available to the public on its website at www.ietf.org (the IETF website). The IETF maintains copies of Internet-Drafts in the ordinary course of its regularly conducted activities.
5. Any Internet-Draft published on the IETF website was reasonably accessible to the public and was disseminated or otherwise available to the extent that persons interested and ordinarily skilled in the subject matter or art exercising reasonable diligence could have located it. In particular, the Internet-Drafts were indexed and searchable on the IETF website.
6. Internet-Drafts are posted to an IETF online directory. When an Internet-Draft is published, an announcement of its publication that describes the Internet-Draft is disseminated. Typically, that dated announcement is made within 24 hours of the

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

publication of the Internet-Draft. The announcement is kept in the IETF email archive and the date is affixed automatically.

- 7. The records of posting the Internet-Drafts in the IETF online repository are kept in the course of the IETF's regularly conducted activity and ordinary course of business. The records are made pursuant to established procedures and are relied upon by the IETF in the performance of its functions.
- 8. It is the regular practice of the IETF to make and keep the records in the online repository.
- 9. Exhibit 1 is a true and correct copy of draft-rosenberg-sipping-ice-00, titled "Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Methodology for Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)." The Internet-Draft shows that an announcement of its publication was made on February 24, 2003.

Pursuant to Section 1746 of Title 28 of United States Code, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the foregoing is true and correct and that the foregoing is based upon personal knowledge and information and is believed to be true.

Date: Sept 19, 2018 By: 
Alexa Morris



CALIFORNIA ALL-PURPOSE ACKNOWLEDGMENT

CIVIL CODE § 1189


A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

State of California)
County of Santa Clara)
On Sept - 19th 2018 before me, Sharon J. Chai, Notary Public,
Date Here Insert Name and Title of the Officer
personally appeared Alexa Maniz
Name(s) of Signer(s)

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person(s) whose name(s) is/are subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he/she/they executed the same in his/her/their authorized capacity(ies), and that by his/her/their signature(s) on the instrument the person(s), or the entity upon behalf of which the person(s) acted, executed the instrument.

I certify under PENALTY OF PERJURY under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing paragraph is true and correct.

WITNESS my hand and official seal.



Place Notary Seal Above

Signature [Handwritten Signature]
Signature of Notary Public

OPTIONAL

Though this section is optional, completing this information can deter alteration of the document or fraudulent reattachment of this form to an unintended document.

Description of Attached Document

Title or Type of Document: Declaration
Document Date: 09-19-18 Number of Pages: 2
Signer(s) Other Than Named Above: n/a

Capacity(ies) Claimed by Signer(s)

Signer's Name: Alexa Maniz
 Corporate Officer — Title(s): _____
 Partner — Limited General
 Individual Attorney in Fact
 Trustee Guardian or Conservator
 Other: _____
Signer Is Representing: None

Signer's Name: _____
 Corporate Officer — Title(s): _____
 Partner — Limited General
 Individual Attorney in Fact
 Trustee Guardian or Conservator
 Other: _____
Signer Is Representing: _____

Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE): A Methodology for
Network Address Translator (NAT) Traversal for the Session Initiation
Protocol (SIP)
[draft-rosenberg-sipping-ice-00](#)

Status of this Memo

This document is an Internet-Draft and is in full conformance with all provisions of [Section 10 of RFC2026](#).

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/ietf/lid-abstracts.txt>.

The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at <http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html>.

This Internet-Draft will expire on August 25, 2003.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (C) The Internet Society (2003). All Rights Reserved.

Abstract

This document describes a methodology for Network Address Translator (NAT) traversal for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP). This methodology is called Interactive Connectivity Establishment (ICE). ICE is not a new protocol, but rather makes use of existing protocols, such as Simple Traversal of UDP Through NAT (STUN), Traversal Using Relay NAT (TURN) and even Real Specific IP (RSIP). ICE works through the mutual cooperation of both endpoints in a SIP dialog. By having the endpoints work together in NAT traversal, a number of important properties are obtained. ICE always works, independent of the types or number of NATs. It always represents the cheapest solution for a carrier. It always results in the minimum

voice latency. It can be done with no increase in call setup delays. It is far less brittle than STUN. ICE also facilitates the transition of the Internet from IPv4 to IPv6, supporting calls between dual-stack and v6 clients behind a 4to6 NAT. Preconditions can be used in conjunction with ICE, to guarantee that the phone never rings unless the users will both hear and see each other when they pick up.

Table of Contents

1.	Introduction	3
2.	Overview of ICE	4
3.	Terminology	6
4.	Core ICE Algorithm	7
5.	Detailed ICE Algorithm	8
5.1	Gathering Transport Addresses	8
5.2	Enabling STUN on Each Transport Address	8
5.3	Prioritizing the Transport Addresses	10
5.4	Constructing the Offer	10
5.5	Answerer Processing - Connectivity Checks and Gathering	11
5.6	Generating the Answer	13
5.7	Offerer Processing of the Answer	14
5.8	Additional ICE Cycles	14
6.	Running STUN on a Derived Transport Addresses	15
6.1	STUN on a TURN Derived Transport Address	15
6.2	STUN on a STUN Derived Transport Address	16
7.	SDP Extensions for STUN	18
7.1	The stun Attribute	18
7.2	The derived Attribute	18
8.	Connectivity Preconditions	20
9.	Example Use Cases	22
9.1	Public Internet	22
9.2	Disconnected Enterprise	23
10.	Security Considerations	27
11.	IANA Considerations	28
11.1	Precondition Type	28
11.2	SDP Attributes	28
12.	IAB Considerations	29
12.1	Problem Definition	29
12.2	Exit Strategy	29
12.3	Brittleness Introduced by ICE	30
12.4	Requirements for a Long Term Solution	31
12.5	Issues with Existing NAPT Boxes	31
	Informative References	32
	Author's Address	33
	Intellectual Property and Copyright Statements	34

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.