UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NALOX-1 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,

Petitioner,

v.

ADAPT PHARMA LIMITED, OPIANT PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,

Patent Owners

IPR2019-00697 U.S. Patent No. 9,775,838

DECLARATION OF MAUREEN DONOVAN, Ph.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVE	RVIEW1				
II.	MY	BACK	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS10			
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS				13	
	A.	Person of ordinary skill in the art				
	B.	Claim construction				
	C.	Anticipation and obviousness16				
	D.	Written description and priority18				
IV.	THE '838 PATENT AND ITS CLAIMS					
	A.	Back	groun	d of the prior art relevant to the '838 patent	20	
		1.	Opio	id overdose	20	
		2.	Prior	intranasal formulations of naloxone	22	
		3.	Deve	elopment of a new intranasal naloxone formulation	24	
			(a)	Physical and chemical properties of naloxone	24	
			(b)	Stability of the formulation	27	
			(c)	Nasal physiology	30	
			(d)	Drug exposure attributes for an improved intranasal formulation of naloxone	31	
			(e)	Choice of pharmaceutical excipients to achieve the desired exposure and stability attributes	33	
			(f)	Choice of delivery device	50	
			(g)	The properties of the nasal spray delivered by the spray device	51	
	B.	Inde	pender	t claims 1 and 41	58	



	C.	Depe	ndent	claims 2–40 and 42–46	60	
	D.	The '838 patent lacks priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/953,379.				
	E.	Oran	ge Boo	ok listing of the '838 patent	67	
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION				68	
		1.	"pre-	primed"	69	
		2.	"deli	very time"	69	
VI.	PUB	LIC A	CCES	SIBILITY OF THE PRIOR ART	69	
	A.	A Formulator POSA exercising reasonable diligence would have located Zomig Review				
VII.	BASIS OF MY ANALYSIS WITH RESPECT TO OBVIOUSNESS					
	A.	had a	reaso	for POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE would have n and the know-how to arrive at the subject matter of 18–23, 30–34, 36, and 39–40.	71	
		1.	Clain	n 1	72	
			(a)	Preamble: "A method of treating opioid overdose, the method comprising:"	72	
			(b)	1.1: "delivering a 25-200 µL spray of a pharmaceutical solution"	73	
			(c)	1.2: "from a pre-primed device into a nostril of a patient, wherein the device is adapted for nasal delivery,"	75	
			(d)	1.3: "wherein the spray delivers between about 4 mg and about 10 mg naloxone,"	78	
			(e)	1.4: "an isotonicity agent,"	80	
			(f)	1.5: "and between about 0.005% and about 0.015% (w/v) of benzalkonium chloride."	Q 1	



2.	Claim 2					
3.	Claim 3					
	(a)	"the spray delivers about 100 μL of the pharmaceutical solution comprising"	86			
	(b)	"about 4% (w/v) naloxone hydrochloride;"	86			
	(c)	"about 0.74% (w/v) sodium chloride"	8			
	(d)	"about 0.01% (w/v) benzalkonium chloride"	92			
	(e)	"about 0.1% (w/v) disodium edetate."	94			
4.	Clair	n 4	96			
5.	Claim 18					
6.	Claim 19					
7.	Claim 201					
8.	Claim 211					
9.	Claims 22–231					
10.	Claim 301					
11.	Clair	m 31	107			
12.	Claim 321					
	(a)	"the pharmaceutical solution further comprises between about 0.1% and about 0.5% (w/v) of a stabilizing agent"	108			
	(b)	"and an amount of an acid sufficient to achieve a pH between about 3.5 and about 5.5."	110			
13.	Claim 33					
	(a)	"the isotonicity agent is sodium chloride;"	11			
	(b)	"the stabilizing agent is disodium edetate;"	112			



		(c)	"and the acid is hydrochloric acid."	112	
	14.	Clain	m 34	112	
	15.	Clain	m 36	113	
	16.	Clain	ms 39–40	117	
В.	A Formulator POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE and Wang would have had a reason and the know-how to arrive at the subject matter of claims 5–12				
	1.	Clain	ns 5–9	120	
	2.	Clain	ms 10–12	124	
C.	A Formulator POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE and the '291 patent would have had a reason and the know-how to arrive at the subject matter of claims 13–17 and 41				
	1.	Clain	ns 13–17	127	
	2.	Clain	n 41	131	
		(a)	"wherein the patient is in a lying, supine, or recovery position."	133	
		(b)	"the patient experiences a geometric mean naloxone C _{max} not less than about 3 ng/mL following a single spray."	13 <i>6</i>	
D.	A Formulator POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE and Djupesland would have had a reason and the know-how to arrive at the subject matter of claims 24, 35, and 37				
	1.	Claim 241			
	2.	Clain	m 35	140	
	3.	Clain	m 37	143	
E.	and Z	Zomig	tor POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE, Djupesland Review would have had a reason and the know-how		



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

