UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
<del></del>

NALOX-1 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

OPIANT PHARMACEUTICALS, INC., Patent Owner

\_\_\_\_\_\_

IPR2019-00694 U.S. Patent No. 9,629,965

DECLARATION OF MAUREEN DONOVAN, Ph.D.



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVE	ERVIEW1				
II.	MY BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS				8	
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS					
	A.	Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art				
	B.	Claim construction				
	C.	Anticipation and obviousness				
	D.	Written Description and priority				
IV.	THE '965 PATENT AND ITS CLAIMS					
	A.	Back	groun	d of the Prior Art Relevant to the '965 Patent	18	
		1.	Opio	oid overdose	18	
		2.	Prior	intranasal formulations of naloxone	20	
		3.	Deve	elopment of a new intranasal naloxone formulation	22	
			(a)	Physical and chemical properties of naloxone	22	
			(b)	Stability of the formulation	25	
			(c)	Nasal physiology	28	
			(d)	Drug exposure attributes for an improved intranasal formulation of naloxone	29	
			(e)	Choice of pharmaceutical excipients to achieve the desired exposure and stability attributes	31	
			(f)	Choice of delivery device	48	
			(g)	The properties of the nasal spray delivered by the spray device	49	
	B.	Inde	pender	nt claims 1 and 20	56	



	C.	Dependent claims 2–19 and 21–30			
	D.	The '965 patent lacks priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/953,379.			
	E.	Oran	ge Boo	ok listing of the '965 Patent	64
V.	CLA	LUCTION	64		
		1.	"pre-	primed"	65
		2.	"deli	very time"	66
		3.	±abo	confidence interval for dose delivered per actuation is ut 2.0%," and "95% confidence interval for dose delivertuation is ±about 2.5%"	ered
VI.	PUB	LIC A	CCES	SIBILITY OF THE PRIOR ART	67
VII.	BASIS OF MY ANALYSIS WITH RESPECT TO OBVIOUSNESS6				
	A. A Formulator POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE woul had ample reason and know-how to arrive at the subject marked claims 1–2, 9–12, 17–22, 25–26, and 29–30				
		1.	Clain	n 1	67
			(a)	Preamble: "A pharmaceutical formulation for intranasal administration comprising, in an aqueous solution of not more than about 140 μL:"	68
			(b)	1.1: "about 4 mg naloxone hydrochloride;"	70
			(c)	1.2: "about 0.74 mg NaCl;"	72
			(d)	1.3: "about 0.01 mg benzalkonium chloride;"	75
			(e)	1.4: "about 0.2 mg disodium edetate;"	79
			(f)	1.5: "and an amount of hydrochloric acid sufficient to achieve a pH of 3.5-5.5."	80
		2	Clain	2	81



	3.	Claim 9	82				
	4.	Claims 10–12	83				
	5.	Claim 17	86				
	6.	Claim 18	87				
	7.	Claim 19	89				
	8.	Claim 20	89				
	9.	Claims 21, 22, and 26	93				
	10.	Claim 25	94				
	11.	Claims 29–30	96				
B.	A Formulator POSA reading Wyse in view of Djupesland and HPE would have had ample reason and know-how to arrive at the subject matter of claims 23–24						
	1.	Claim 23	99				
	2.	Claim 241	01				
C.	paten	rmulator POSA reading Wyse in view of HPE and the '291 t would have had ample reason and know-how to arrive at abject matter of claims 27–28					
D.	A Formulator POSA reading Wang in view of Djupesland, HPE, Bahal, and Kushwaha would have had ample reason and knowhow to arrive at the subject matter of claims 1–2, 9–12, 17, and 20-26.						
	1.	Claim 1					
		(a) Preamble: "A pharmaceutical formulation for intranasal administration comprising, in an aqueous solution of not more than about 140 μL:"1	12				
		(b) 1.1: "about 4 mg naloxone hydrochloride;"1	15				



	(c) 1.2: "about 0.74 mg NaCl;"11	.6				
	(d) 1.3: "about 0.01 mg benzalkonium chloride;"11	9				
	(e) 1.4: "about 0.2 mg disodium edetate;"12	28				
	(f) 1.5: "and an amount of hydrochloric acid sufficient to achieve a pH of 3.5-5.5."	86				
2.	Claim 213	8				
3.	Claim 9					
4.	Claims 10–12					
5.	Claim 17144					
6.	Claim 20145					
7.	Claims 21, 22, and 26					
8.	Claim 23					
9.	Claim 2415	3				
10.	Claim 25					
Baha know	mulator POSA reading Wang in view of Djupesland, HPE, Kushwaha, and Wyse would have had ample reason and how to arrive at the subject matter of claims 18–19 and 29–	58				
1.	Claim 18	8				
2.	Claim 1916	2				
3.	Claims 29–30	3				
A Formulator POSA reading Wang in view of Djupesland, HPE, Bahal, Kushwaha, and the '291 patent would have had ample reason and know-how to arrive at the subject matter of claims 27–28.						
A Formulator POSA reading Davies in view of HPE, Bahal, and						



E.

F.

G.

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

