UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NALOX-1 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

ADAPT PHARMA LTD., OPIANT PHARMACEUTICALS,

Patent Owners

IPR2019-00692 U.S. Patent No. 9,561,177

DECLARATION OF GÜNTHER HOCHHAUS, Ph.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVE	ERVIEW1				
II.	MY I	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS				
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS					
	A.	Person of ordinary skill in the art	.10			
	B.	Claim construction				
	C.	Anticipation and obviousness				
	D.	Written description and priority	.15			
IV.	THE '177 PATENT AND ITS CLAIMS					
	A.	Independent claims 1, 12, and 22				
	B.	Remaining dependent claims: claims 2–11, 13–21, and 23–30	.19			
	C.	The '177 patent lacks priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/953,379.				
	D.	Orange Book listing of the '177 patent	.22			
V.	STA	ΓΕ OF THE ART	.23			
VI.	FOR	TIVATION TO DESIGN A NALOXONE NASAL MULATION HAVING HIGH BIOAVAILABILITY, WITH A SONABLE EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS	26			
	A.	Prior art patent applications disclose concentrated solutions of naloxone administered intranasally to treat opioid overdose				
		1. Wyse (U.S. Patent No. 9,192,570)	.28			
		2. Wang (Chinese Patent Publication CN 1575795)	.28			
		3. Davies (PCT Patent Publication WO 00/62757)	.29			
	В.	In view of the prior art, a Pharmacologist POSA would have been motivated to design a concentrated solution of naloxone in a ready-to-use nasal delivery device, with a reasonable expectation				



of su	ccess	29				
1.	The known physical, chemical, biopharmaceutical and pharmacological properties of naloxone and prior art would have motivated a Pharmacologist POSA to use a range of 2 to 10 mg naloxone per dose, if not up to 20 mg per dose, in an intranasal solution with a reasonable expectation of success30					
2.	POSA	nasal physiology would have motivated a Pharmacologist SA to use an intranasal solution volume of up to 100 µL per with a reasonable expectation of success31				
3.	an interpose proto mg in	A Pharmacologist POSA would have been motivated to design an intranasal solution of naloxone that met or exceeded the exposure levels of the approved Narcan® 2 mg injection protocol, and would have determined that approximately 4-6 mg intranasal would work, with a reasonable expectation of success				
4.	an int	A Pharmacologist POSA would have been motivated to design an intranasal solution of naloxone that achieved a T _{max} within about 20-30 minutes, with a reasonable expectation of success.				
5.	the ro	armacologist POSA would have been able to choose from outine pharmaceutical excipients disclosed in prior art cone formulations, to achieve high exposure levels, with a nable expectation of success				
	(a)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the inclusion of sodium chloride in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, consistent with the Wyse intranasal formulations.				
	(b)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the inclusion of hydrochloric acid in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, consistent with the Wyse intranasal formulations.				
	(c)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the				



				inclusion of disodium EDTA in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, consistent with the Wyse intranasal formulations.	42		
			(d)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the inclusion of benzalkonium chloride (BAC) in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, and may serve to slightly improve the exposure seen with the Wyse formulations	42		
		6.	a sing	armacologist POSA would have been motivated to employle-dose device for an intranasal formulation of naloxone a reasonable expectation of success	,		
VII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION						
	A.	"patient"					
	В.	"wherein the patient experiences a geometric mean naloxone C_{max} "					
	C.	"wherein the patient experiences a plasma naloxone concentration such that the geometric mean of area under a plasma concentration versus time curve $(AUC_{0-\infty})$ "					
	D.	"bioa	vailabl	le"	48		
VIII.		BLIC ACCESSIBILITY OF THE APRIL 12, 2012 FDA ATERIALS49					
IX.	CLAIMS 10, 11, 16, 22 AND 28 OF THE '177 PATENT ARE OBVIOUS IN VIEW OF THE PRIOR ART						
	A.	Claim	n 28 is	obvious in view of the prior art	51		
		1.		dditional limitations of claim 28 are obvious over Wyse.			
		2.		dditional limitations of claim 28 are obvious over Wang w of the knowledge of a Pharmacologist POSA.	53		
		3.	The a	dditional limitations of claim 28 are obvious over			



		Wermeling 2013	55
	В.	Claim 10 and the C_{max} limitations of claim 22 are obvious over Wyse.	56
	C.	The additional limitations of claim 11 are obvious over Wyse	58
	D.	The additional limitations of claim 16 are obvious over Wyse	60
X.	SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS		62
	A.	No teaching away	63
	B.	No unexpected superior results	64
VI	CON	CLUSION	65



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

