

Review

Analytical Challenges and Regulatory Requirements for Nasal Drug Products in Europe and the U.S.

Sabrina Trows¹, Klaus Wuchner², Rene Spycher² and Hartwig Steckel^{1,*}

¹ Department of Pharmaceutics and Biopharmaceutics, Kiel University, Grasweg 9a, 24118 Kiel, Germany; E-Mail: strows@pharmazie.uni-kiel.de

² Janssen Pharmaceuticals, Pharmaceutical Development & Manufacturing Sciences, Johnson & Johnson, Hochstrasse 201, 8205 Schaffhausen, Switzerland; E-Mails: kwuchne1@its.jnj.com (K.W.); rspycher@its.jnj.com (R.S.)

* Author to whom correspondence should be addressed; E-Mail: hsteckel@pharmazie.uni-kiel.de; Tel.: +49-431-880-1330; Fax: +49-431-880-1352.

Received: 20 December 2013; in revised form: 24 March 2014 / Accepted: 31 March 2014 /

Published: 11 April 2014

Abstract: Nasal drug delivery can be assessed by a variety of means and regulatory agencies, e.g., the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) have published a set of guidelines and regulations proposing *in vitro* test methods for the characterization of nasal drug products. This article gives a summary of the FDA and EMA requirements regarding the determination of droplet size distribution (DSD), plume geometry, spray pattern and shot weights of solution nasal sprays and discusses the analytical challenges that can occur when performing these measurements. In order to support findings from the literature, studies were performed using a standard nasal spray pump and aqueous model formulations. The aim was to identify possible method-, device- and formulation-dependent influencing factors. The literature review, as well as the results from the studies show that DSD, plume geometry and spray pattern are influenced by, e.g., the viscosity of the solution, the design of the device and the actuation parameters, particularly the stroke length, actuation velocity and actuation force. The dominant factor influencing shot weights, however, is the adjustment of the actuation parameters, especially stroke length and actuation velocity. Consequently, for routine measurements assuring, e.g., the quality of a solution nasal spray or, for *in vitro* bioequivalence studies, the critical parameters, have to be identified and considered in method development in order to obtain reproducible and reliable results.

Keywords: nasal drug delivery; regulatory aspects; test methods; nasal sprays

1. Introduction

The most prominent way of intranasal drug delivery is the administration of locally acting drugs in order to treat nasal congestion, infections and allergic rhinitis [1]. However, the nasal route can also be used for the systemic delivery of drugs for the therapy of various diseases, like osteoporosis and migraine, as well as for pain management and also for the administration of vaccines [2]. It is a painless, non-invasive delivery route, resulting in a rapid drug onset of action, due to the high vascularization of the nose and high permeability of the nasal mucosa under avoidance of first pass metabolism [3]. These advantages lead to high patient convenience and compliance.

For nasal drug delivery, there are several dosage forms available. The most popular examples are nasal sprays and nasal drops for which the drug can be formulated as a solution or suspension. Alternative dosage forms are the pressurized nasal aerosols and nasal powders. Typically, aqueous nasal spray formulations contain the drug, as well as bioadhesive polymers, surfactants, tonicity agents and, in some cases, penetration enhancers [4]. Bioadhesive polymers, like sodium carboxymethyl cellulose, are often used to increase the viscosity of the formulation in order to stabilize the suspension or to increase the residence time in the nasal cavity to modify drug absorption [4,5]. Surfactants can be included in the formulation to solubilize the drug in case of poor solubility or to increase the wettability [6].

Besides the formulation, also the delivery device plays an important role in nasal drug delivery, and only the combination of both, device and formulation, determines the properties of the final nasal drug product. This makes the development of nasal drug products more complex, since the variability of the formulation and the device have to be taken into account [5]. Therefore, the analytical requirements for the approval of nasal drug products exceed those for solid dosage forms [7]. For the *in vitro* characterization of nasal drug products in the development phase, as well as for quality control and bioavailability/bioequivalence studies, regulatory agencies, like the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA), have published guidelines and regulations proposing various test methods [8–11]. Tables 1 and 2 give a summary of the recommended tests for the different nasal drug products. However, in order to obtain reliable results, the test methods need to be validated, and in this context, it is essential to know the factors that can influence the measurements. In some studies, it could be shown that the spray characteristics can be influenced by the design of the device, by the formulation properties, like viscosity and surface tension, and by the handling of the device, *i.e.*, the actuation parameters [5,12–18]. Additionally, the selected technique and the set-up of the measurements can also have an effect on the results and have to be considered during method development.

Table 1. Tests recommended for the finished drug product specification by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) (standard quality tests are not listed).

| Test | Pressurized metered dose nasal sprays | Nasal powders | Single and multiple use nasal drops | Single and multiple use nasal sprays |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Specifications for the drug product | | | | |
| Pump/valve delivery | yes # | | | yes # |
| Delivered dose/content uniformity | | yes | yes, for multiple use drops | yes, for multiple use sprays |
| Dose content uniformity through container life | yes # | | | yes # |
| Content uniformity/uniformity of dosage units | no * | no * | yes, for single use drops * | yes, for single use sprays * |
| Mean delivered dose | yes * | yes * | yes, for multiple use drops * | yes, for multiple use sprays * |
| Spray pattern | yes # | | | yes # |
| Particle/droplet size distribution | yes | yes | no | yes |
| Particle size distribution of API | yes, for suspensions # | | | yes, for suspensions # |
| Microscopic evaluation | yes, for suspensions # | | | |
| Particulate matter | yes # | | | yes # |
| Microbial limits | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Preservative content | no * | no * | yes, if present * | yes, if present * |
| Preservatives and stabilizing excipients assay | | | | yes # |
| Sterility | no * | no * | yes, if product is sterile * | yes, if product is sterile * |
| Net content/minimum fill | yes # | | | yes # |
| Number of actuations per container | yes * | yes * | | yes, for multiple use sprays * |
| Weight loss (stability) | | | | yes # |
| Leachables (stability) | yes # | | | yes # |
| Osmolality | | | | yes # |
| Viscosity | | | | yes # |
| Appearance and color of content and container closure system | yes # | | | |
| Water or moisture content | yes | yes | no | no |
| Dehydrated alcohol content | yes, if used as a cosolvent # | | | |
| Leak rate | yes | no | no | no |

Table 1. Cont.

| Test | Pressurized metered dose nasal sprays | Nasal powders | Single and multiple use nasal drops | Single and multiple use nasal sprays |
|--|---|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Specifications for the drug product | | | | |
| Pressure testing | yes, if cosolvent or more than one propellant is used # | | | |

Explanatory note: “yes”, the test is recommended for the particular drug product; “no”, the particular drug product is excluded from the test; blank, no specific details in the guidelines are available; # FDA only requirement; * EMA only requirement; API, active pharmaceutical ingredient.

This article gives an overview of the regulatory requirements regarding the determination of droplet size distribution (DSD), plume geometry, spray pattern and shot weights. These tests, among others, are required in development and *in vitro* bioequivalence studies, as well as in quality control matters. In addition to the regulatory requirements, analytical challenges and possible influencing factors related to the device, formulation composition and selected method/technique that affect nasal spray characteristics are reviewed. In order to support findings from the literature, studies comprising the determination of DSD, plume geometry, spray pattern and shot weights were performed using model formulations and a standard nasal spray pump.

Table 2. Tests recommended for nasal drug product characterization/development studies by the FDA and the EMA.

| Test | Pressurized metered dose nasal sprays | Nasal powders | Single and multiple use nasal drops | Single and multiple use nasal sprays |
|--|---------------------------------------|---------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Drug product characterization/development studies | | | | |
| Physical characterization | yes, for suspensions * | yes * | yes, for suspensions * | yes, for suspensions * |
| Priming and repriming (in various orientations) | yes | no | no | yes |
| Plume geometry | yes # | | | yes # |
| Microscopic evaluation | yes, for suspensions # | | | |
| Effect of resting time | yes # | | | |
| Shaking requirements | yes, for suspensions | no | yes, for suspensions | yes, for suspensions |
| Minimum fill justification | yes * | yes * | yes * | yes * |
| Extractables/leachables | yes * | no * | yes * | yes * |
| Performance after temperature cycling | yes | no | no | yes |
| Effect of environmental moisture | yes * | yes * | no * | no * |
| Cleaning instructions | yes | yes | yes, for multiple use drops | yes, for multiple use sprays |

Table 2. Cont.

| Test | Pressurized metered dose nasal sprays | Nasal powders | Single and multiple use nasal drops | Single and multiple use nasal sprays |
|--|--|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--|
| Drug product characterization/development studies | | | | |
| Device robustness | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Profiling of sprays near container exhaustion (tail off characteristics) | yes # | | | yes # |
| Delivered dose uniformity through container life | yes * | yes * | yes, for multiple use drops * | yes, for multiple use sprays * |
| Effect of storage on PSD | yes, for suspensions # | | | yes, for suspensions # |
| Particle/droplet size distribution | yes | yes | no | yes, for multiple use sprays |
| Preservative effectiveness (and sterility maintenance) | no | no | yes, if present | yes, if present |
| Photostability | yes, if drug is exposed to light # | yes, if drug is exposed to light # | yes, if drug is exposed to light # | yes, if drug is exposed to light # |
| Actuator/mouthpiece deposition | yes | yes | no | yes * |
| Determination of appropriate storage conditions | yes # | | | |
| Stability of primary (unprotected) package | yes # | | | yes # |
| Delivery device development | yes | yes | yes | yes |
| Microbial challenge | yes # | | | |
| Effect of dosing orientation | | | | yes # |
| In vitro dose proportionality | yes, for suspensions in multiple strengths # | | | yes, for suspensions in multiple strengths # |
| Low temperature performance | yes * | no * | no * | no * |

Explanatory note: “yes”, the test is recommended for the particular drug product; “no”, the particular drug product is excluded from the test; blank, no specific details in the guidelines are available; # FDA only requirement; * EMA only requirement; and PSD, particle size distribution.

2. Experimental Section

2.1. Materials

Mechanical nasal spray pumps delivering 100 µL of formulation per actuation were provided by Aptar (Radolfzell, Germany). Water was used in double-distilled quality (FinnAqua 75, San Asalo-Sohlberg Corp., Helsinki, Finland). Sodium carboxymethyl cellulose (Tylopur C 30 G) was obtained from Clariant (Muttens, Switzerland) and polysorbate 80 from Uniqema (Snaith, UK).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.