

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

NALOX-1 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,  
Petitioner,

v.

OPIANT PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2019-00687  
Patent 9,211,253 B2

---

Before ZHENYU YANG, JACQUELINE T. HARLOW, and  
MICHAEL A. VALEK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

VALEK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION  
Denying Institution of *Inter Partes* Review  
*35 U.S.C. § 314(a)*

## I. INTRODUCTION

Nalox-1 Pharmaceuticals, LLC (“Petitioner”) filed a Petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–29 of U.S. Patent 9,211,253 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’253 patent”). Paper 1 (“Pet.”). Opiant Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (“Patent Owner”) filed a Preliminary Response. Paper 6 (“Prelim. Resp.”). We have authority to determine whether to institute an *inter partes* review under 35 U.S.C. § 314, which provides that an *inter partes* review may not be instituted unless the information presented in the petition “shows that there is a reasonable likelihood that the petitioner would prevail with respect to at least 1 of the claims challenged in the petition.”

For the reasons set forth below, upon consideration of the papers filed by both parties, we exercise our discretion under 35 U.S.C. § 314 and deny institution of *inter partes* review in this case.

## II. BACKGROUND

### A. *Related Matters*

The parties inform us that the ’253 patent is asserted in *Adapt Pharma Operations Ltd. v. Teva Pharmaceuticals USA*, No. 2:16-cv-07721 (D.N.J.) and *Adapt Pharma Operations Ltd. v. Perrigo UK FINCO Limited Partnership*, No. 2:18-cv-15287 (D.N.J.). Pet. 6; Paper 5, 2. Petitioner is not a party to either of these litigations.

Petitioner informs us that it is “concurrently filing *inter partes* review petitions on related U.S. Patent Nos. 9,468,747; 9,562,177; 9,629,965; and 9,775,838, which are listed in The Orange Book [along with the ’253 patent] as covering Narcan® nasal spray (naloxone).” Pet. 6. What Petitioner does

not clearly indicate is that it has, in fact, filed three IPR petitions on each of these five patents for a total of fifteen petitions.

Petitioner's other two petitions on the '253 patent are docketed as IPR2019-00685 and IPR2019-00686. The petitions in those proceedings challenge the same claims (claims 1–29) of the same patent under the same statutory provision (35 U.S.C. § 103(a)) as the Petition here, but purport to focus on a different primary reference. As do the parties, we refer to Petitioner's petitions on the '253 patent by the name of the primary reference asserted in each, e.g., the “Wyse Petition” (IPR2019-00685, Paper 1) and the “Davies Petition” (IPR2019-00687, Paper 1).

### *B. The '253 Patent*

The '253 patent discloses “devices adapted for nasal delivery of a pharmaceutical composition to a patient, comprising . . . naloxone and pharmaceutically acceptable salts thereof.” Ex. 1001, 6:54–57. The '253 patent describes naloxone as “an opioid receptor antagonist that is approved for use by injection for the reversal of opioid overdose and for adjunct use in the treatment of septic shock.” *Id.* at 2:9–11. “Since the onset of action of naloxone used in opioid overdose cases should be as fast as possible, naloxone is thus far mainly administered intravenously or intramuscularly by emergency health care personnel.” *Id.* at 6:4–7. According to the '253 patent, it can be difficult to “find access into a vein of the addict's body for administering naloxone intravenously” and the use of a needle to inject it carries the “risk of exposure to blood borne pathogens.” *Id.* at 6:14–23. Thus, “[t]he administration of naloxone via injection . . . requires . . . trained

medical personnel (for intravenous injection) or a trained carer (for intramuscular injection).” *Id.* at 6:10–23.

According to the ’253 patent, “it has been suggested that in view of the growing opioid overdose crisis in the US, naloxone should be made available over-the-counter (OTC), which would require a device, such as a nasal spray device, that untrained consumers are able to use safely.” *Id.* at 6:33–37. The ’253 patent explains that such devices should be capable of delivering a “therapeutically effective dose. . . sufficient to obviate the need for the untrained individual to administer either a second dose of opioid antagonist or an alternative medical intervention to the patient, and to stabilize the patient until professional medical care becomes available.” *Id.* at 6:47–54. The ’253 patent purports to describe devices that meet the need for an easy-to-use and effective intranasal dosage form of naloxone. *See id.*

### *C. Representative Claim*

Claim 1 is the sole independent claim and reproduced below.

1. A single-use, pre-primed device adapted for nasal delivery of a pharmaceutical composition to a patient by one actuation of said device into one nostril of said patient, having a single reservoir comprising a pharmaceutical composition which is an aqueous solution of about 100  $\mu$ L comprising:
  - about 4 mg naloxone hydrochloride or a hydrate thereof;
  - between about 0.2 mg and about 1.2 mg of an isotonicity agent;
  - between about 0.005 mg and about 0.015 mg of a preservative;
  - about 0.2 mg of a stabilizing agent;
  - an amount of an acid sufficient to achieve a pH of 3.5–5.5.

Ex. 1001, 50:36–47. Claim 2 additionally specifies that the “preservative is benzalkonium chloride” and the “stabilizing agent is disodium edetate.”

Ex. 1001, 50:50–51. Claims 3–15 and 24–29 depend from claim 2 and are likewise limited to those excipients. Claims 1 and 16–23 are not limited to a particular preservative or stabilizing agent in the recited pharmaceutical composition.

*D. The Asserted Grounds of Unpatentability*

Petitioner challenges the patentability of claims 1–29 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(3) on the following grounds (Pet. 3):

<b>Claim(s)</b>	<b>References</b>
1–4, 16–24	Davies <sup>1</sup> in view of HPE, <sup>2</sup> Bahal, <sup>3</sup> and Kushwaha <sup>4</sup>
28–29	Davies in view of HPE, Bahal, Kushwaha, and Wyse <sup>5</sup>
5–7, 10–14	Davies in view of HPE, Bahal, Kushwaha, and Djupesland <sup>6</sup>
8–9	Davies in view of HPE, Bahal, Kushwaha, Djupesland, and the '291 patent <sup>7</sup>
25–27	Davies in view of HPE, Bahal, Kushwaha, Djupesland, and Wyse

<sup>1</sup> PCT Publication WO 00/62757, published October 26, 2000 (Ex. 1009).

<sup>2</sup> Handbook of Pharmaceutical Excipients 56–60, 64–66, 78–81, 220–22, 242–44, 270–72, 441–45, 517–22, 596–98 (Raymond C. Row et al. eds., 6th ed. 2009) (Ex. 1012).

<sup>3</sup> U.S. Patent 5,866,154, issued February 2, 1999 (Ex. 1014).

<sup>4</sup> Swatantra Kushwaha et al., *Advances in Nasal Trans-Mucosal Drug Delivery*, 01(07) J. Applied Pharm. Sci. 21–28 (2011) (Ex. 1013).

<sup>5</sup> U.S. Patent 9,192,570, issued November 24, 2015 (Ex. 1007).

<sup>6</sup> Per Gisle Djupesland, *Nasal Drug Delivery Devices: Characteristics and Performance in a Clinical Perspective—a Review*, 3 Drug. Deliv. & Transl. Res. 42–62 (2013) (Ex. 1010).

<sup>7</sup> U.S. Patent 8,198,291, issued June 12, 2012 (Ex. 1015).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.