UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NALOX-1 PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC,
Petitioner

v.

OPIANT PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.
Patent Owner

IPR2019-00685 U.S. Patent No. 9,211,253

DECLARATION OF GÜNTHER HOCHHAUS, Ph.D.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	OVE	CRVIEW1				
II.	MY I	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS				
III.	LEGAL STANDARDS					
	A.	Person of ordinary skill in the art	10			
	B.	Claim construction				
	C.	Anticipation and obviousness				
	D.	Written description and priority	15			
IV.	THE '253 PATENT AND ITS CLAIMS					
	A.	Independent claim 1	17			
	B.	Claims 2–29				
	C.	The '253 patent lacks priority to U.S. Provisional Application No. 61/953,379.				
	D.	Orange Book listing of the '253 patent23				
V.	STA	ΓΕ OF THE ART	21			
VI.	FOR	TIVATION TO DESIGN A NALOXONE NASAL MULATION HAVING HIGH BIOAVAILABILITY, WITH A SONABLE EXPECTATION OF SUCCESS	25			
	A.	Prior art patent applications disclose concentrated solutions of naloxone administered intranasally to treat opioid overdose				
		1. Wyse (U.S. Patent No. 9,192,570)	27			
		2. Wang (Chinese Patent Publication CN 1575795)	27			
		3. Davies (PCT Patent Publication WO 00/62757)	28			
	В.	In view of the prior art, a Pharmacologist POSA would have been motivated to design a concentrated solution of naloxone in a ready-to-use nasal delivery device, with a reasonable expectation				



of suc	ccess	28			
1.	The known physical, chemical, biopharmaceutical and pharmacological properties of naloxone and prior art would have motivated a Pharmacologist POSA to use a range of 2 to 10 mg naloxone per dose, if not up to 20 mg per dose, in an intranasal solution with a reasonable expectation of success29				
2.	POSA	asal physiology would have motivated a Pharmacologist A to use an intranasal solution volume of up to 100 μL per with a reasonable expectation of success30			
3.	an interpretation expose protocome in	A Pharmacologist POSA would have been motivated to design an intranasal solution of naloxone that met or exceeded the exposure levels of the approved Narcan® 2 mg injection protocol, and would have determined that approximately 4-6 mg intranasally would work, with a reasonable expectation of success			
4.	an int	Pharmacologist POSA would have been motivated to design intranasal solution of naloxone that achieved a T _{max} within bout 20-30 minutes, with a reasonable expectation of success.			
5.	the ro	armacologist POSA would have been able to choose from outine pharmaceutical excipients disclosed in prior art one formulations, to achieve high exposure levels, with a nable expectation of success			
	(a)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the inclusion of sodium chloride in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, consistent with the Wyse intranasal formulations.			
	(b)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the inclusion of hydrochloric acid in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, consistent with the Wyse intranasal formulations.			
	(c)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the			



				inclusion of disodium EDTA in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, consistent with the Wyse intranasal formulations.	41		
			(d)	A Pharmacologist POSA would have expected the inclusion of benzalkonium chloride (BAC) in a naloxone intranasal formulation to achieve high exposure levels, and may serve to slightly improve the exposure seen with the Wyse formulations	41		
		6.	single	armacologist POSA would have been motivated employedose device for an intranasal formulation of naloxone a reasonable expectation of success	;,		
VII.	CLAI	M CO	NSTR	UCTION	43		
	A.	"patie	ent"		44		
VIII.		UBLIC ACCESSIBILITY OF THE APRIL 12, 2012 FDA ATERIALS44					
IX.				25–29 OF THE '253 PATENT ARE OBVIOUS E PRIOR ART	46		
	A.	Claim 15 is obvious in view of the prior art					
		1.	The a	dditional limitations of claim 15 are obvious over Wys			
		2.		dditional limitations of claim 15 are obvious over Wan w of the knowledge of a Pharmacologist POSA	_		
		3.		dditional limitations of claim 15 are obvious over neling 2013	50		
	B.	Claim	ns 25 a	nd 28 are obvious over Wyse	51		
	C.	Claims 26 and 29 are obvious over Wyse					
	D.	Claim	27 is	obvious over Wyse	55		
X.	SECO	ONDA	RY CO	ONSIDERATIONS OF NON-OBVIOUSNESS	57		



	A.	No teaching away	58
	B.	No unexpected superior results	59
ΧI	CONCLUSION		60



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

