

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC,
Patent Owner

Case IPR2019-00674
Patent 8,934,535

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,934,535**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction..... 1

II. Grounds for Standing..... 1

III. Identification of Challenge 2

 A. Priority Date 2

 B. Prior Art..... 2

 C. Statutory Grounds..... 3

 D. This Petition is Not Redundant 3

IV. Summary of the '535 Patent 4

 A. Overview 4

 B. Prosecution History 5

V. Claim Construction..... 6

 A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art 7

 B. “asymmetric compressors” / “compressors using asymmetric data
 compression” 7

 C. “data block” 8

 D. “access profiles” 10

VI. Summary of the Prior Art 12

 A. Overview of Imai (Ex. 1005) 12

 B. Overview of Ishii (Ex. 1007)..... 15

VII. Challenged Claims 1-14 are Unpatentable 18

 A. Ground 1: Claims 1-14 are Obvious in View of Imai and Ishii..... 18

 1. A POSITA Would Have Combined Imai and Ishii 18

 2. Independent Claim 1 is Obvious..... 22

 3. Independent Claim 14 is Obvious..... 35

 4. Dependent Claim 2 is Obvious 38

 5. Dependent Claim 3 is Obvious 40

 6. Dependent Claims 10 and 11 are Obvious..... 41

 7. Dependent Claim 6 is Obvious 42

8. Dependent Claims 4 and 5 are Obvious.....	50
9. Dependent Claim 7 is Obvious	51
10. Dependent Claim 8 is Obvious	55
11. Dependent Claim 9 is Obvious	58
12. Dependent Claim 12 is Obvious	60
13. Dependent Claim 13 is Obvious	61
VIII. Conclusion	62
IX. Mandatory Notices and Fees	63

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,934,535 to Fallon <i>et al.</i> (“’535 Patent”)
1002	Prosecution File History for the ’535 Patent
1003	Expert Declaration of James A. Storer
1004	Japanese Patent Application Publication No. H11331305 to Imai <i>et al.</i> (“Imai”).
1005	Certified English Translation of Imai
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,507,611 to Imai <i>et al.</i> (“Imai ’611”)
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,675,789 to Ishii <i>et al.</i> (“Ishii”)
1008	Excerpt from Andreas Spanias <i>et al.</i> , Audio Signal Processing and Coding (John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2007)
1009	Excerpt from Raymond Westwater <i>et al.</i> , Real-Time Video Compression Techniques and Algorithms (Kluwer Academic Publishers, 1997)
1010	Excerpt from David Salomon, A Guide to Data Compression Methods (Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 2002)
1011	International PCT Application Publication WO 00/51243 to Park
1012	U.S. Patent No. 5,873,065 to Akagiri <i>et al.</i>
1013	Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Realtime Data, LLC v. Rackspace US, Inc. et al.</i> , No. 6:16-CV-00961, Dkt. 183 (E.D. Tex. June 14, 2017)
1014	Memorandum Opinion and Order, <i>Realtime Data, LLC v. Actian Corp. et al.</i> , No. 6:15-CV-00463, Dkt. 362 (E.D. Tex. July 28, 2016)
1015	U.S. Patent No. 6,195,024 to Fallon
1016	Notice of Interested Parties, <i>Realtime Adaptive Streaming, LLC v. Hulu LLC</i> , No. 2:17-CV-07611, Dkt. 18 (C.D. Cal. Oct. 24, 2017)

I. INTRODUCTION

Petitioner ARRIS Solutions, Inc., requests *inter partes* review of Claims 1-14 of U.S. Patent No. 8,934,535 (Ex. 1001). The '535 Patent refers to methods for compressing data with an asymmetric data compressor. According to the '535 Patent, these techniques aim to increase the storage/retrieval bandwidth of mass storage devices which traditionally suffer from “profound read/write data rate limitations” that “severely limit” data-dependent applications. Ex. 1001 at 2:53-61.

But the techniques of the '535 Patent were known in the prior art well before the priority date of the '535 Patent. Specifically, to obtain allowance of independent Claim 1, the Applicant added the feature of using “asymmetric data compression” to differentiate from anticipatory prior art. Ex. 1002 at 435, 411. Asymmetric compression algorithms and the ability to select between compression algorithms, however, were widely used in the compression field and extensively described in the prior art. Ex. 1003 at 74-78; *see, e.g.*, Ex. 1005; Ex. 1007.

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING

Petitioners certify that the '535 Patent is eligible for *inter partes* review. Petitioners further certify that they are not barred or estopped from requesting this *inter partes* review on the grounds identified herein.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.