IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ARRIS SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Petitioner

V.

REALTIME ADAPTIVE STREAMING LLC, Patent Owner

Patent No. 8,934,535 Issued: January 13, 2015 Filed: September 20, 2013

Title: SYSTEMS AND METHODS FOR VIDEO AND AUDIO DATA STORAGE AND DISTRIBUTION

MOTION FOR JOINDER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 315(c), 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b) TO RELATED INTER PARTES REVIEW IPR2018-01169



TABLE OF CONTENTS

			<u>Page</u>	
I.	State	tement of Precise Relief Requested		
II.	State	ement of Material Facts and Related Proceedings	2	
III.	Statement of Reasons for Relief Requested			
	A.	Legal Standard	7	
	B.	Petitioner's Motion for Joinder is Timely	8	
	C.	Each Factor Weighs in Favor of Joinder	8	
		1. Joinder with the Netflix IPR is Appropriate	8	
		2. Petitioner Proposes No New Grounds of Unpatentability	9	
		3. Joinder Will Not Unduly Burden or Negatively Impact the Netflix IPR Trial Schedule	9	
		4. Petitioner Proposes Procedures to Simplify Briefing and Discovery	10	
IV.	Con	clusion	13	



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
CASES	
Kyocera Corp. v. Softview LLC, Case No. IPR2013-00004, slip op. (PTAB Apr. 24, 2013)	6
Mylan Pharmaceuticals Inc. v. Dr. Falk Pharma GmbH, Case No. IPR2016-01386, slip op. (PTAB Nov. 30, 2016)	10
Mylan Pharms. Inc. v. Novartis AG, Case No. IPR2015-00268, slip op. (PTAB Apr. 10, 2015)	9
Samsung Elecs., Co., Ltd., et al. v. Raytheon Co., Case No. IPR2016-00962, slip op. (PTAB Aug. 24, 2016)	6, 7
Sony Corp. v. Memory Integrity, LLC, Case No. IPR2015-01353, slip op. (PTAB Oct. 15, 2015)	
STATUTES	
35 U.S.C. § 315(b)	5
35 U.S.C. § 315(c)	1, 5
OTHER AUTHORITIES	
37 C.F.R. § 42.53	9
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)	1, 5, 6



I. Statement of Precise Relief Requested

ARRIS Solutions, Inc. ("Petitioner") respectfully submits this Motion for Joinder together with a Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,394,535 ("ARRIS Petition") filed contemporaneously herewith. The Board instituted *inter partes* review of claims 1–14 of the '535 Patent in *Netflix, Inc., v. Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC*, Case No. IPR2018-01169 on January 17, 2019 ("Netflix IPR"). Pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 315(c) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b), ARRIS requests institution of *inter partes* review for claims 1-14 of the '535 Patent and requests joinder with IPR2018-01169.

ARRIS's request for joinder is timely because it is made no later than one month after the January 17, 2019 institution date of the Netflix IPR. The ARRIS Petition is substantively identical to the Netflix Petition, and ARRIS only seeks institution on the same claims, prior art, and grounds for unpatentability that were instituted in the Netflix IPR. Therefore, the ARRIS Petition warrants institution for at least the same reasons that the Board instituted the Netflix IPR. In addition, ARRIS proposes to streamline discovery and briefing by taking an "understudy role." ARRIS submits that joinder is appropriate because it will not unduly burden or prejudice the parties to the Netflix IPR while efficiently resolving the question of the '535 Patent's validity in a single proceeding.



II. Statement of Material Facts and Related Proceedings

- 1. On November 21, 2017, Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC ("Patent Owner") filed a civil action alleging that Netflix, Inc. infringes the '535 Patent, as well as five other patents. *Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC v. Netflix, Inc. et al.*, Case No. 1:17-cv-01692 (D. Del.).
- 2. Netflix filed a petition for *inter partes* review of the '535 Patent on June 4, 2018. *Netflix, Inc., v. Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC*, Case No. IPR2018-01169.
- 3. On January 17, 2019, the Board instituted a trial on all challenged claims (claims 1–14). *Id.* (Paper 20).
- 4. On November 6, 2017 Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC, filed a second amended complaint in a separate ongoing civil action in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado alleging that ARRIS infringes the '535 Patent, as well as one of the other patents asserted against Netflix. *Realtime Data LLC d/b/a IXO v. Sling TV LLC*, Case No. 1:17-cv-02097 (D. Colo.).
- 5. The '535 Patent is further the subject of the following judicial or administrative matters:
 - Sling TV LLC et al v. Realtime Adaptive Streaming LLC, IPR2018-01331, Patent Trial and Appeal Board;



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

