UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD APPLE INC., Petitioner, v. FIRSTFACE CO., LTD., Patent Owner. Case IPR2019-006141 U.S. Patent No. 9,779,419

DECLARATION OF BENJAMIN B. BEDERSON IN SUPPORT OF PETITIONER'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

¹ Case IPR2019-01012 has been consolidated with this proceeding.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	PRIC	PRIOR TESTIMONY1						
II.	MAT	MATERIALS REVIEWED2						
III.		THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE RELEVANT FIELD NITHE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME						
IV.	CLA	AIM INTERPRETATION2						
V.	SUMMARY OF THE RELEVANT PRIOR ART							
	A.	Griff	in (Ex	. 1027)	.3			
	B.	Goer	tz (Ex	. 1013)	.3			
	C.	Davi	s (Ex.	1015)	.4			
	D.	iOS	(Ex. 10	007)	.5			
VI.	CLAIMS 1-4, 6-7, 9-13, and 15-17 OF THE '419 PATENT ARE UNPATENTABLE							
	A.	Ground 1: Griffin in View of Davis and iOS Renders Obvious Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-13, and 15-17 of the '419 Patent6						
		1.		Combination of Griffin and iOS Discloses an vation Button Separate From a Power Button	.7			
		2.	The Combination of Griffin and Davis Discloses Turning on the Display and Initiating a Fingerprint Authentication Function in Response to a One-Time Pressing of the Activation Button					
			a.	The Claims Are Not Limited to a Single Step or a Single User Action for Pressing the Activation Button and Scanning a Fingerprint	.9			
			b.	The Combination of Griffin and Davis Discloses a "One-Time Pressing of the Activation Button" That Turns on the Touch Screen Display and "Initiates" the Fingerprint Authentication Function	18			



		3.	A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Griffin, Davis, and iOS	24		
	В.		Ground 2: Goertz in View of Davis and iOS Renders Obvious Claims 1-4, 6-7, 9-13, and 15-17 of the '419 Patent28			
		1.	The Combination of Goertz and iOS Discloses an Activation Button Separate From a Power Button	29		
		2.	The Combination of Goertz and Davis Discloses Turning on the Display and Initiating a Fingerprint Authentication Function in Response to a One-Time Pressing of the Activation Button	33		
			a. The Claims Are Not Limited to a Single Step or a Single User Action for Pressing the Activation Button and Scanning a Fingerprint	34		
			b. The Combination of Goertz and Davis Discloses a "One-Time Pressing of the Activation Button" That Turns on the Touch Screen Display and "Initiates" the Fingerprint Authentication Function	34		
		3.	A POSITA Would Have Been Motivated to Combine Goertz with the Teachings of Davis	35		
3711	COM		TION	4 Ω		



I, Benjamin B. Bederson, have previously been asked to testify as an expert witness in this action. As part of my work in this action, I have been asked by Apple, Inc. ("Petitioner") to respond to certain assertions offered by Firstface Co., Ltd. ("Patent Owner") in connection with U.S. Patent No. 9,779,419 ("'419 patent") in IPR2019-00614 and IPR2019-01012. I hereby declare:

I. PRIOR TESTIMONY

- 1. I am the same Benjamin B. Bederson who provided Declarations in this consolidated proceeding, executed on January 23, 2019, as Exhibit 1003 in IPR2019-00614 ("First Declaration") and executed on April 24, 2019, as Exhibit 1003 in IPR2019-01012 ("Second Declaration"), which, including their appendices, are incorporated by reference herein in their entirety. My qualifications and the circumstances of my engagement were detailed in ¶2-15 and Appendix A of my First Declaration.
- 2. I offer statements and opinions on behalf of Petitioner, generally regarding the validity, prior art, and obviousness considerations, and understanding of a person of ordinary skill in the art ("POSITA") as it relates to the '419 patent.
- ² IPR2019-01012 specifically addressed the invalidity of the limitations of dependent claim 9 of the '419 patent. Since those arguments and analyses do not appear to be in dispute at this stage of this consolidated proceeding, except by virtue of being dependent on the disputed independent claim, references to my prior statements will reference my IPR2019-00614 First Declaration unless stated otherwise.



3. I offer this declaration in rebuttal to the arguments raised by the Declaration of Dr. Alfred C. Weaver (Ex. 2001).

II. MATERIALS REVIEWED

- **4.** In connection with my study of the POR and supporting declarations and reaching the conclusions stated herein, I have reviewed a number of additional documents. In addition to those mentioned in my previous declaration, I have reviewed the following additional documents:
 - Declaration of Dr. Alfred C. Weaver and its accompanying exhibits; and
 - All other documents referenced herein (see Appendix A).
- **5.** My opinions are also based upon my education, training, research, knowledge, and personal and professional experience.

III. THE PERSON OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE RELEVANT FIELD IN THE RELEVANT TIMEFRAME

6. My opinion regarding a person of ordinary skill in the art is discussed in my First Declaration, and my opinions are the same. Ex. 1003 ¶¶25-30.

IV. CLAIM INTERPRETATION

7. As stated in my First Declaration, I understand the claims under their ordinary and customary meaning as would be understood by a POSITA. Ex. 1003 ¶49.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

