

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00613
Case IPR2019-01011
U.S. Patent No. 9,633,373

DECLARATION OF DR. ALFRED C. WEAVER

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE	1
III. SCOPE OF ENGAGEMENT	8
IV. SUMMARY OF OPINIONS	12
V. LEGAL STANDARDS	13
A. Burden of Proof	13
B. Claim Construction.....	13
C. Person of Ordinary Skill in the Art	14
D. Anticipation/Obviousness	16
1. Obviousness (35 U.S.C. § 103).....	16
VI. THE '373 PATENT.....	23
A. Background of '373 Patent Subject Matter	24
1. HCI in General	24
2. Mobile Devices.....	25
B. The '373 patent and the Challenged Claims.....	27
C. Problem Presented	28
D. Claim Construction.....	30
VII. SUMMARY OF THE REFERENCES	31
A. Griffin.....	31
B. Goertz.....	31
C. Davis	33
D. iOS	34
VIII. THE PETITION DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE	

CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER GROUND 1.....35

- A. The cited references do not disclose an activation button separate from a power button.....35
- B. The cited art does not disclose turning on the display (displaying a lock screen) and performing a first function in response to a one-time pressing of the activation button.37
 - 1. Griffin does not disclose turning on the display and performing a first function in response to a one-time pressing of the activation button.37
 - 2. The deficiencies of Griffin are not resolved by Davis.40
- C. A POSITA would not combine Griffin with Davis to arrive at the claimed invention.....46

IX. THE PETITION DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER GROUND 2.....51

- A. The cited art does not disclose an activation button that turns on a display in response to a press.52
- B. The cited art does not disclose turning on the display and performing a first function in response to a one-time pressing of the activation button.54
 - 1. Goertz does not disclose turning on the display and performing a first function in response to a one-time pressing of the activation button.55
 - 2. The deficiencies of Goertz are not resolved by Davis.57
- C. A person of skill in the art would not combine Goertz with Davis to arrive at the claimed invention.....58

X. CONCLUSION63

I, Alfred C. Weaver, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Alfred C. Weaver. I am over the age of 18, have not been convicted of a crime involving moral turpitude, and am not otherwise disqualified from making this Declaration. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this Declaration and am competent to testify on the matters set forth below.

2. I have been asked to provide my opinions as to whether certain prior art references render claims of United States Patent No. 9,633,373 (“the ’373 patent”) obvious and my opinions about what a person of ordinary skill in the art would have understood with respect to the ’373 patent in light of various prior art. I provide this testimony below.

II. PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND AND EXPERIENCE

3. I am currently a Professor of Computer Science at the University of Virginia. I currently serve as the Associate Chair of the Computer Science Department, co-Director of the Computer Science Graduate Program, and Director of the Computer Science Ph.D. Graduate Program.

4. I have over forty years of experience in computer science, including in the area human-computer interaction (“HCP”). I am qualified by education and

experience to testify as an expert with respect to user interface design and human-computer interaction, especially as it relates to mobile devices.

5. I earned a Bachelor of Science in Engineering Science from the University of Tennessee in 1971, having taken as many computer-related courses as were then available.

6. I earned a Master of Science in Computer Science in 1973 from the University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign (“UIUC”). My master’s thesis was related to the design and implementation of a novel programming language (VIPtran) with which a user could express industrial control logic. I designed a compiler that would parse the language statements and emit executable code.

7. I earned a Ph.D. in Computer Science in 1976, also from UIUC. My Ph.D. dissertation was related to the design and implementation of a graphical interface by which a human user could draw a relay ladder diagram (used to describe the control logic of industrial processes), including a graphical compiler that parsed the user’s drawing and emitted executable code. I am a co-inventor of a patent related to my work: U.S. Patent No. 4,217,658, entitled “Process Control System that Controls Its Outputs According to the Results of Successive Analysis of the Vertical Columns of a Hypothetical Ladder Diagram.”

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.