## PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I. I	NTRODUCTION1
II. T	THE '373 PATENT AND THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS2
A.	Problem Presented
III.	Claim Construction6
IV.	SUMMARY OF THE REFERENCES6
A.	Griffin6
B.	Goertz7
C.	Davis8
D.	iOS9
V. A	PPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS10
A.	Standard for Institution
B.	Obviousness
VI. CHA	THE PETITION DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE LLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER GROUND 112
and	Griffin Does Not Disclose Turning on the Display (Displaying a Lock Screen)  Performing a First Function in Response to a One-Time Pressing of the tivation Button
	The Deficiencies of Griffin Are Not Resolved by Davis
C.	A POSITA Would Not Combine Griffin With Davis to Arrive at the Claimed ention.
VII. CHA	THE PETITION DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE LLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER GROUND 219
	Goertz Does Not Disclose an Activation Button Configured for Pressing to n On the Touch Screen Display
	Goertz Does Not Disclose Turning On the Display and Performing a First action in Response to a One-Time Pressing of the Activation Button22



# Case IPR2019-00613 U.S. Patent No. 9,633,373

C.	The Deficiencies of Goertz Are Not Resolved by Davis.	.24
VIII.	CONCLUSION	.24



# **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

# **Cases:**

Bausch & Lomb v. Barnes-Hind/Hydrocurve, 796 F.2d 443 (Fed. Cir. 1986)	17
CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int'l Corp., 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	11
Garmin Int'l, Inc. v. Patent of Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC, Case No. IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB Jan. 9, 2013)	11
In re Gordon, 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	19
In re Rijckaert, 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	11
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	11
KSR Int'l. Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	11
Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc., 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	11
Rules and Statutes:	
35 U.S.C. § 103	11
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	11
35 U.S.C. § 282(b)	6
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	10



# Case IPR2019-00613 U.S. Patent No. 9,633,373

37 C.F.R § 42.100(b)	6
37 C.F.R. § 42.107	
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(c)	
Other Authorities:	
M.P.E.P § 2143.01(V)	
Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Re	eg. 48.756, 48.756 (Aug. 14, 2012) 10



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

# **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

