

Case IPR2019-00612
U.S. Patent No. 8,831,557

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC.,

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.,

and

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

FIRSTFACE CO., LTD.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00612
U.S. Patent No. 8,831,557

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION

I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. THE '557 PATENT AND THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	3
A. Problem Presented	3
B. Claim Construction.....	5
1. “simultaneously”	7
2. “inactive state” and “active state”	10
III. SUMMARY OF THE REFERENCES	13
A. Fadell	13
B. Gagneraud.....	15
C. iOS	16
D. Goertz	17
E. Herfet	18
IV. APPLICABLE LEGAL STANDARDS.....	19
A. Standard for Institution.....	19
B. Obviousness.....	20
V. THE PETITION DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER GROUND 1.....	22
A. Fadell Does Not Perform User Identification Simultaneously with Switching the Display to an Active State.....	22
B. The Deficiencies of Fadell Are Not Resolved by Gagneraud.....	25
C. A POSITA Would Not Combine Fadell With Gagneraud to Arrive at the Claimed Invention.....	26
VI. THE PETITION DOES NOT DEMONSTRATE THAT THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER GROUND 2.....	29
A. Goertz Does Not Disclose an Activation Button That Switches the Display from an Inactive State to an Active State.	29
B. Goertz Does Not Perform User Identification Simultaneously with Switching	

the Display to an Active State.....31

C. The Deficiencies of Goertz Are Not Resolved by Herfet.32

D. There Is No Motivation to Combine Goertz With Herfet.33

VII. NO PRIOR ART REFERENCE DISCLOSES A DEVICE THAT PERFORMS FINGERPRINT RECOGNITION AND SIMULTANEOUSLY ACTIVATES THE DISPLAY SCREEN “BY A PRESS OF THE ACTIVATION BUTTON.”35

VIII. DISCRETIONARY DENIAL OF INSTITUTION FOR ABUSE OF PROCESS36

IX. CONCLUSION38

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases:

<i>3M Innovative Props. Co. v. Tredegar Corp.</i> , 725 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	6, 7
<i>Advanced Fiber Techs. Trust v. J&L Fiber Servs.</i> , 674 F.3d 1365 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	8
<i>CCS Fitness, Inc. v. Brunswick Corp.</i> , 288 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	9
<i>CFMT, Inc. v. YieldUp Int’l Corp.</i> , 349 F.3d 1333 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	20
<i>Digital Biometrics, Inc. v. Identix, Inc.</i> , 149 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	7
<i>Garmin Int’l, Inc. v. Patent of Cuozzo Speed Techs. LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2012-00001, Paper 15 (PTAB Jan. 9, 2013).....	20
<i>Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.</i> , 815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	36
<i>Hill-Rom Servs. v. Stryker Corp.</i> , 755 F.3d 1367 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6
<i>In re Gordon</i> , 733 F.2d 900, 221 USPQ 1125 (Fed. Cir. 1984)	21, 27
<i>In re Rijckaert</i> , 9 F.3d 1531 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	20
<i>In re Royka</i> , 490 F.2d 981 (C.C.P.A. 1974)	20
<i>Kara Tech. Inc. v. Stamps.com Inc.</i> , 582 F.3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2009)	7

<i>KSR Int’l. Co. v. Teleflex Inc.</i> , 550 U.S. 398 (2007).....	21
<i>Phillips v. AWH Corp.</i> , 415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005)	5
<i>Power Integrations, Inc. v. Fairchild Semiconductor Int’l, Inc.</i> , 711 F.3d 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	6
<i>Rexnord Corp. v. Laitram Corp.</i> , 274 F.3d 1336 (Fed. Cir. 2001)	5
<i>Teleflex, Inc. v. Ficoso N. Am. Corp.</i> , 299 F.3d 1313 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	6
<i>Thorner v. Sony Computer Entm’t Am. LLC</i> , 669 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	6, 8
<i>Unigene Labs., Inc. v. Apotex, Inc.</i> , 655 F.3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	21
<i>Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic</i> , 90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)	6
<i>Yotrio Corp. v. Lakesouth Holdings, LLC</i> , Case No. IPR2017-00299, Paper 7 (PTAB May 15, 2017).....	37

Rules and Statutes:

35 U.S.C. § 103	20, 21
35 U.S.C. § 103(a)	20
35 U.S.C. § 282(b)	5
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	20, 36

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.