

American Multi-Cinema, Inc., et al. (Petitioners)
v.
Fall Line Patents, LLC (Patent Owner)

IPR2019-00610



Before Michelle N. Wormmeester, Sheila F. McShane, John R. Kenny
Administrative Patent Judges

Crucial Distinction: Java is an Example Object-Oriented Programming Language

Patent Owner's arguments also overlook the fact that the Petition does not rely solely on Java—indeed, Java is not referenced as prior art upon which Petitioners rely. . . . ***The Petition refers to Java only as an example of what a POSITA would have known to be an object-oriented programming language***, and such a programming language would have allowed a POSITA to practice the claimed tokenizing.

Petitioners' Reply, Paper 19 at 6.

“Computer program code for carrying out operations of the present invention can be written in an object-oriented programming language ***such as Java., Smalltalk or C++.***”

Petitioners' Reply, Paper 19 at 6 (quoting Barbosa, 12:45-47).

Crucial Distinction: Java is an Example Object-Oriented Programming Language

Patent Owner's arguments also overlook the fact that the Petition does not rely solely on Java—indeed, Java is not referenced as prior art upon which Petitioners rely. . . . ***The Petition refers to Java only as an example of what a POSITA would have known to be an object-oriented programming language***, and such a programming language would have allowed a POSITA to practice the claimed tokenizing.

Petitioners' Reply, Paper 19 at 6.

Bandera explains that "JAVA® is a ***portable and architecturally neutral language***," and "JAVA® source code is ***compiled into a machine-independent format that can be run on any machine*** with a JAVA® runtime system known as the JAVA® Virtual Machine (JVM)."

Roman Declaration, Ex. 1005 at par. 171, quoting Bandera, Ex. 1004 at 40-44.

Patent Owner's Lack of Objections

- Patent Owner did not file any objections to Petitioners' evidence in this proceeding



(1) *Objection.* Any objection to evidence submitted during a preliminary proceeding must be filed within ten business days of the institution of the trial. ***Once a trial has been instituted, any objection must be filed within five business days of service of evidence to which the objection is directed.*** The objection must identify the grounds for the objection with sufficient particularity to allow correction in the form of supplemental evidence.

37 C.F.R. § 42.64

Prior Art References

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.