



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.   | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.          | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| 90/012,829  | 04/03/2013  | 7822816              | 20351.RX816                  | 6993             |
| 22206                      7590                      03/07/2014<br>FELLERS SNIDER BLANKENSHIP<br>BAILEY & TIPPENS<br>THE KENNEDY BUILDING<br>321 SOUTH BOSTON SUITE 800<br>TULSA, OK 74103-3318 |             |                      | EXAMINER<br>CABRERA, ZOILA E |                  |
|   |             |                      | ART UNIT                     | PAPER NUMBER     |
|   |             |                      | 3992                         |                  |
|   |             |                      | MAIL DATE                    | DELIVERY MODE    |
|   |             |                      | 03/07/2014                   | PAPER            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



**DO NOT USE IN PALM PRINTER**

(THIRD PARTY REQUESTER'S CORRESPONDENCE ADDRESS)

NDQ Special Reexam Group  
1000 Louisiana Street  
Fifty-Third Floor  
Houston, TX 77002

**EX PARTE REEXAMINATION COMMUNICATION TRANSMITTAL FORM**

REEXAMINATION CONTROL NO. 90/012,829.

PATENT NO. 7822816.

ART UNIT 3992.

Enclosed is a copy of the latest communication from the United States Patent and Trademark Office in the above identified *ex parte* reexamination proceeding (37 CFR 1.550(f)).

Where this copy is supplied after the reply by requester, 37 CFR 1.535, or the time for filing a reply has passed, no submission on behalf of the *ex parte* reexamination requester will be acknowledged or considered (37 CFR 1.550(g)).

## DETAILED ACTION

### I. Summary

This office action is in response to the Patent Owner's response filed on November 13, 2013. Original claims 1-14 of the U. S. Patent 7,822,816 [hereinafter "the '816 Patent"] are pending in the present *ex parte* reexamination application.

### II. Status of Claim(s)

The rejection of claims 1-14 is maintained.

### III. Amendment to Claims 7 and 8

Patent Owner states that the amendments to claims 7 and 8 were made to correct typographical errors.

Examiner notes that claim 7 is amended to correct a typographical error because steps (j) and (k) are not recited in Claim 1, which Claim 7 is dependent from.

Claim 8 is also corrected to recite "placing said remote computer into **electronic** communication" instead of "**electrical** communication" in step (i). Examiner notes that step (l) of claim 8 recites "removing said remote computer from **electronic communication** with said second computer" [emphasis added]. Thus, since the amendments to these claims are only to correct typographical errors, the amendment has been entered herein.

#### **IV. Claim Rejections**

##### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

1. The following is a quotation of pre-AIA 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

##### ***Issue 1***

2. Claims 1-3 and 5-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Rossmann in view of Rappaport (see pages 29-80 of the Request for Reexamination filed 04/03/2013, incorporated by reference).

These rejections on pages 29-80 of the Request for Reexamination filed 04/03/2013 are incorporated by reference.

***Issue 2***

3. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Rossmann in view of Rappaport and Bowen (see pages 80-85 of the Request for Reexamination filed 04/03/2013, incorporated by reference).

The rejection for claim 4 on pages 80-85 of the Request for Reexamination filed 04/03/2013 are incorporated by reference.

***Issue 3***

4. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 (a) as being obvious over Rossmann in view of Falls (see pages 85-170 of the Request for Reexamination filed 04/03/2013, incorporated by reference).

These rejections on pages 85-170 of the Request for Reexamination filed 04/03/2013 are incorporated by reference.

***Issue 4***

5. Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over Benigno in view of Falls (see pages 170-277 of the Request for Reexamination 04/03/2013, incorporated by reference).

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.