

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN MULTI-CINEMA, INC.; AMC ENTERTAINMENT HOLDINGS, INC.; BOSTON MARKET CORPORATION; MOBO SYSTEMS, INC.; MCDONALD'S CORPORATION; MCDONALD'S USA; PANDA RESTAURANT GROUP, INC.; PANDA EXPRESS INC.; PAPA JOHN'S INTERNATIONAL, INC.; STAR PAPA LP; and PAPA JOHN'S USA, INC.

Petitioner

v.

FALL LINE PATENTS, LLC

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00610

Patent No. 9,454,748

**PETITIONER'S REPLY IN SUPPORT OF
PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. Introduction..... iii

II. Petitioners’ Reply 1

 A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 19-22 Are Obvious Over Barbosa. 1

 1. Barbosa Discloses a Tokenized, Executable Questionnaire with Device Independent Tokens. 1

 2. Patent Owner’s Arguments Regarding Java are Legally Misguided and Factually Incorrect. 6

 B. Ground 2: Claims 1 and 19-21 Are Obvious Over Barbosa in View of Bandera. 10

 C. Ground 3: Claim 7 Is Obvious in View Of Barbosa in View of Falls. 12

 1. Barbosa Teaches and Renders Obvious “Automatically Transferring said Designed Questionnaire to at Least One Loosely Networked Computer.”. 13

 2. Barbosa Teaches and Renders Obvious “Making Available via the Internet Any Responses Transferred to Said Central Computer in Step (e).” 15

 D. Ground 4: Claims 1, 2, 5, and 19-22 Are Obvious Over Hancock. 17

 E. Ground 5: Claims 1, 2, 5, and 19-22 Are Obvious Over Hancock in View of Bandera. 18

 F. Ground 6: Claim 7 Is Obvious Over Hancock in View of Falls. 20

 1. Hancock Teaches and Renders Obvious “Automatically Transferring said Designed Questionnaire to at Least One Loosely Networked Computer.”. 20

 2. Hancock Teaches and Renders Obvious “Making Available via the Internet Any Responses Transferred to Said Central Computer in Step (e).” 23

 G. Patent Owner’s Constitutional Challenge Is Moot..... 26

III. Conclusion 26

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

CASES	PAGE(S)
<i>Anacor Pharm., Inc. v. Iancu</i> , 889 F.3d 1372, 1380–81 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	8
<i>Arthrex, Inc. v. Smith & Nephew, Inc.</i> , 2018-2140, 2019 WL 5616010 (Fed. Cir. Oct. 31, 2019).....	26
<i>In re Etter</i> , 756 F.2d 852, 859 (Fed. Cir. 1985).....	11
<i>In re Mouttet</i> , 686 F.3d 1322, 1332-33 (Fed. Cir. 2012).....	11, 12
<i>In re Sneed</i> , 710 F.2d 1544, 1550 (Fed. Cir. 1983).....	12

Exhibit List

Ex. No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 9,454,748 to Payne (“the ’748 patent”)
1002	U.S. Patent No. 6,961,586 to Barbosa et al. (“Barbosa”)
1003	U.S. Patent No. 6,202,023 to Hancock et al. (“Hancock”)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 6,332,127 to Bandera et al. (“Bandera”)
1005	Declaration of Kendyl Roman
1006	Curriculum Vitae of Kendyl Roman
1007	Excerpted portions of the ’748 patent file history
1008	Patent Owner’s Infringement Contentions Cover Document against Uber Technologies, Inc. and Choice Hotels International, Inc.
1009	Patent Owner’s Infringement Contentions Chart against Uber Technologies, Inc.
1010	Patent Owner’s Infringement Contentions Chart against Choice Hotels International, Inc.
1011	U.S. Patent 7,822,816 (“the ’816 patent”)
1012	The ’816 patent Institution Decision
1013	The ’816 patent Claim Construction Order
1014	U.S. Patent No. 6,381,535 to Durocher (“Durocher”)
1015	International Patent Application Publication No. WO 00/49530 to Parasnis (“Parasnis”)
1016	Excerpted portions of the ’816 <i>ex parte</i> reexamination
1017	U.S. Patent No. 5,991,771 to Falls et al. (“Falls”)
1018	Reply Declaration of Kendyl Roman

- 1019 Java Specification Request (JSR-179)
- 1020 Java Community Process Website
- 1021 Bluetooth Application Programming with Java APIs

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.