

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, and WHATSAPP INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

IPR2019-00528
U.S. Patent No. 8,279,173

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY	2
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '173 PATENT	4
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE ALLEGED PRIOR ART.....	9
A. MacLaurin	9
B. Rothmuller.....	14
C. Plotkin.....	16
D. Ortega	17
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	19
A. “Tag Sources”.....	19
B. “A Tag Type Indicator . . . Said Tag Type Being Indicative of a Tag Source”	30
VII. RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT	31
A. All Grounds Fail Because The Cited Art Does Not Disclose Any Indicator “Indicative of a Tag Source”	32
1. MacLaurin lacks “a tag type indicator . . . indicative of a tag source”.....	33
2. Rothmuller and Plotkin cannot cure MacLaurin’s failure to “indicat[e] . . . a tag source”	41
(a) Petitioner’s Grounds 3 and 5 do not rely on Rothmuller or Plotkin for the “indicative of a tag source” limitation.....	42
(b) Rothmuller or Plotkin cannot cure MacLaurin’s deficiencies because they themselves lack a “tag type indicator . . . indicative of a tag source”	43
(i) Rothmuller’s categories are not different “tag sources,” and its category icons are thus not “indicative of a tag source”	44

- (ii) Plotkin’s categories are not different “tag sources,” and its category icons are thus not “indicative of a tag source”49
- (c) It would be far from obvious to adapt Rothmuller’s or Plotkin’s icons to indicate MacLaurin’s alleged “tag source[s]”52
 - (i) MacLaurin does not provide express motivation for visually indicating tag sources53
 - (ii) The alleged “popularity of the Adobe product” does not lead to the proposed combination of MacLaurin and Plotkin54
- B. All Grounds Fail Because MacLaurin Does Not Disclose “Displaying a Tag List”56
- VIII. CONCLUSION.....63

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

Cases

Abiomed, Inc. v. Maquet Cardiovascular, LLC,
IPR2017-01204 & -01205, Paper (Oct. 23, 2017)43

Alloc, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003)41

Amazon.com, Inc. v. ZitoVault, LLC,
754 Fed. App’x 965 (Fed. Cir. 2018)29

Facebook, Inc. v. Uniloc USA, Inc.,
IPR2017-01524, Paper 7 (Dec. 4, 2017)64

In re Chapman,
595 F.3d 1330 (Fed. Cir. 2010)64

In re Nuvasive, Inc.,
842 F.3d 1376 (Fed. Cir. 2016)64

Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.,
395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005)29

SAS Institute, Inc. v. Iancu,
138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....45

Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
511 F.3d 113 (Fed. Cir. 2007)23

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit #	Description
2001	Declaration of Rajeev Surati, Ph.D.
2002	Excerpts from the American Heritage College Dictionary, 4th ed. (2002)
2003	Excerpts from Webster's New World College Dictionary, 4th ed. (2008)
2004	Excerpts from Merriam-Webster's Collegiate Dictionary, 11th ed. (2003)
2005	Exhibit 9 from the Deposition of Sandeep Chatterjee, Ph.D.
2006	Petitioners' Opposition to BlackBerry's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment of Infringement in the District Court Litigation
2007	Intentionally Omitted
2008	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 9,495,335
2009	U.S. Patent Application No. 13/252,807
2010	U.S. Patent Application No. 11/746,285
2011	Redline comparison of '807 and '285 Applications
2012	Transcript of November 7, 2019 Deposition of Sandeep Chatterjee, Ph.D.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.