Paper # 41 Entered: May 19, 2020

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, and WHATSAPP INC., Petitioner

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED, Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2019-00516 Case IPR2019-00528 Patent 8,279,173 B2

Record of Remote Oral Hearing Held: May 5, 2020

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MIRIAM L. QUINN, and AARON W. MOORE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



IPR2019-00516 (Patent 8,279,173 B2) IPR2019-00528 (Patent 8,279,173 B2)

### APPEARANCES:

### ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ANDREW C. MACE, ESQ. HEIDI L. KEEFE, ESQ. of: Cooley LLP 3175 Hanover Street Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 (650) 843-5808 (Mace) amace@cooley.com (650) 843-5001 (Keefe) hkeefe@cooley.com

### ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

SAM STAKE, ESQ.
OGI ZIVOJNOVIC, ESQ.
of: Quinn, Emanuel, Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
50 California Street
22nd Floor
San Francisco, California 94111
(415) 875-6387 (Stake)
samstake@quinnemanuel.com
(415) 875-6469 (Zivojnovic)
ogizivojnovic@quinnemanuel.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, May 5, 2020, commencing at 11:00 a.m. EDT, via Video/Teleconference.



| 1  | P-K-U-C-E-E-D-1-N-G-S  |
|----|--|
| 2  | 11:01 a.m  |
| 3  | JUDGE QUINN: All right. Welcome, everyone. This is                 |
| 4  | the hearing for Case IPR 2019-516 and IPR 2019-528 concerning U.S. |
| 5  | Patent No. 8,279,173. The caption for this case is Facebook, Inc., |
| 6  | Instagram, LLC and WhatsApp, Inc. v. BlackBerry Limited. May I     |
| 7  | have, at this point, who is here for Petitioner?                   |
| 8  | MR. MACE: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Andrew                 |
| 9  | Mace. Can you hear me?   |
| 10 | JUDGE QUINN: I'm sorry about that. This is the                     |
| 11 | emergency test for the USPTO. Hold on. Okay. You may proceed       |
| 12 | MR. MACE: Okay. Good morning, Your Honor. This is                  |
| 13 | Andrew Mace for Petitioner, confirming you can hear me.            |
| 14 | JUDGE QUINN: Yes, I can hear you.                                  |
| 15 | MR. MACE: Okay, great. I've also got Heidi Keefe dialed            |
| 16 | in telephonically, but I'll be making the presentation today.      |
| 17 | JUDGE QUINN: I want to confirm the court reporter has              |
| 18 | been able to determine those names.                                |
| 19 | COURT REPORTER: Yes, I have.                                       |
| 20 | JUDGE QUINN: Thank you. All right, who do we have for              |
| 21 | Patent Owner?  |
| 22 | MR. STAKE: Good morning, Your Honor. This is Sam                   |
| 23 | Stake from Ouinn Emanuel for Patent Owner BlackBerry Limited       |



# IPR2019-00516 (Patent 8,279,173 B2) IPR2019-00528 (Patent 8,279,173 B2)

| 1  | With me is Ogi Zivojnovic, an associate at Quinn, Emanuel. Mr.           |
|----|--|
| 2  | Zivojnovic will be presenting for us today.                              |
| 3  | JUDGE QUINN: Okay. With me on the screen are Judge                       |
| 4  | Aaron Moore and Judge Sally Medley.                                      |
| 5  | JUDGE MEDLEY: Good morning.  |
| 6  | JUDGE QUINN: I am Miriam Quinn. As you know,                             |
| 7  | Petitioner begins. You have 30 minutes, and you may reserve time         |
| 8  | for rebuttal. How much time would you like to reserve?                   |
| 9  | MR. MACE: I'll reserve 10 minutes, Your Honor.                           |
| 10 | JUDGE QUINN: Okay. This is how will you be keeping                       |
| 11 | some time on your own as well, so that we are not interrupting you, or   |
| 12 | do you want me (Simultaneous speaking.)                                  |
| 13 | JUDGE QUINN: to interrupt you? Okay, you are. All                        |
| 14 | right, you may start whenever you're ready.                              |
| 15 | PETITIONERS' PRESENTATION  |
| 16 | MR. MACE: Okay, thanks, Your Honor. Let's turn to Slide                  |
| 17 | 4 of Petitioners' demonstratives. This slide provides a brief            |
| 18 | identification of the key disputes between the parties. They are the     |
| 19 | construction of tag source, the prior art disclosure of tag sources, tag |
| 20 | type indicator, tag list, motivations to combine and Patent Owner's      |
| 21 | motion to amend.   |
| 22 | We go in order with these disputes, starting with tag source.            |
| 23 | Return to Slide 6. This slide sets forth the parties' competing          |
| 24 | constructions for Petitioner. The construction of tag source is either a |



## IPR2019-00516 (Patent 8,279,173 B2) IPR2019-00528 (Patent 8,279,173 B2)

| 1  | collection of tags or a recognizable collection of tags. Patent Owner       |
|----|---|
| 2  | contends that it's the separately searchable collection of tags.            |
| 3  | JUDGE QUINN: Is your contention   |
| 4  | MR. MACE: Turning to Slide 7  |
| 5  | JUDGE QUINN: that the let me ask you about your                             |
| 6  | contention. What is your proposed construction for the term tag             |
| 7  | source?   |
| 8  | MR. MACE: So as we explained in our reply I think, it's a                   |
| 9  | collection of tags. Implicit in that is the idea that that collection of    |
| 10 | tags is recognizable, so either of those constructions would be             |
| 11 | acceptable to us, so either a collection of tags or a recognizable          |
| 12 | collection of tags.   |
| 13 | JUDGE QUINN: What does recognizable add to the                              |
| 14 | collection of tags phrase?  |
| 15 | MR. MACE: Right, so as we explained in the reply, we think                  |
| 16 | the idea of recognizability is implicit in the term collection of tags, but |
| 17 | in case there's any doubt about that, the idea of recognizability is        |
| 18 | simply that the system that uses the tags for photo tagging would be        |
| 19 | able to recognize distinct collections of tags in order to carry out the    |
| 20 | photo tagging functionality.  |
| 21 | JUDGE QUINN: You mean the source of the tags must                           |
| 22 | somehow be captured in some form; some data that goes with that             |
| 23 | information, so that then a tag type indicator can be associated with a     |
| 24 | tag. Is that what you're saying?  |



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

