```
1
                    UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
              FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
     BLACKBERRY LIMITED, a ) Case No.
 4
     Canadian corporation, ) 2:18-cv-01844-GW-KS
 5
 6
                Plaintiff, ) Lead Consolidating Case
 7
                                 ) Related Case:
             vs.
 8
     FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware ) 2:18-cv-02693-GW-KS
 9
     corporation, WHATSAPP INC., a )
     Delaware corporation, and )
10
11
     INSTAGRAM, INC., a Delaware )
12
     corporation, and INSTAGRAM, )
13
     LLC, a Delaware limited
     liability company,
14
15
                Defendants.
16
17
18
           VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF DAN SCHONFELD, PH.D.
19
                     San Francisco, California
20
                      Tuesday, July 30, 2019
21
22
     Reported by:
23
     ASHALA TYLOR, CSR #2436, CLR, CRR, RPR
24
     JOB NO. 3476210
     PAGES 1 - 160
25
                                                  Page 1
```



1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	1 INDEX
2 FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	2 WITNESS EXAMINATION BY PAGE
3	3 DAN SCHONFELD, PH.D.
4 BLACKBERRY LIMITED, a) Case No.	4 Mr. Weinstein 7, 96, 146
5 Canadian corporation,) 2:18-cv-01844-GW-KS	5 Mr. Schmidt 140
6 Plaintiff,) Lead Consolidating Case	6
7 vs.) Related Case:	7 EXHIBITS
8 FACEBOOK, INC., a Delaware) 2:18-cv-02693-GW-KS	8 NO. DESCRIPTION PAGE
	9 Exhibit 1 Declaration of Dan Schonfeld, Ph.D. 10
9 corporation, WHATSAPP INC., a)	
10 Delaware corporation, and)	10 Exhibit 2 Patent No.: US 8,677,250 B2, 10
11 INSTAGRAM, INC., a Delaware)	11 BB_Facebook00031819 - 838
12 corporation, and INSTAGRAM,)	12 Exhibit 3 Patent No.: US 8,279,173 B2, 10
13 LLC, a Delaware limited)	13 BB_Facebook00031721 - 737
14 liability company,)	14 Exhibit 4 Blackberry's Notice of Motion and 133
15 Defendants.)	Motion for Partial Summary Judgment
16)	of Infringement of U.S. Patent Nos.
17	17 8,677,250, 8,279,173 and 9,349,120
18 Videotaped deposition of DAN SCHONFELD, PH.D.,	18 Exhibit 5 Deposition of Kun Chen, 6-26-19 142
19 taken at Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP,	19
20 50 California Street, San Francisco, California,	20
21 commencing at 9:35 a.m. and ending at 3:42 p.m., on	21
22 Tuesday, July 30, 2019, before Ashala Tylor, CSR No.	22
23 2436, RPR, CRR, CLR.	23
24	24
25	25
Page 2	Page 4
	1 450 1
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019
-	
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m.
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ.	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS:	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ.	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ.	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com 19 hkeefe@cooley.com	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35 19 BlackBerry Limited versus Facebook, Inc., WhatsApp, 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com 19 hkeefe@cooley.com	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35 19 BlackBerry Limited versus Facebook, Inc., WhatsApp, 09:35 20 Inc., and Instagram, Inc., filed in the 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com 19 hkeefe@cooley.com 20 21 Also Present:	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35 19 BlackBerry Limited versus Facebook, Inc., WhatsApp, 09:35 20 Inc., and Instagram, Inc., filed in the 09:35
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com 19 hkeefe@cooley.com 20 21 Also Present: 22 Brandon Miller, Videographer	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35 19 BlackBerry Limited versus Facebook, Inc., WhatsApp, 09:35 20 Inc., and Instagram, Inc., filed in the 09:35 21 United States District Court, Central District of 09:36 22 California. Lead excuse me, case number 09:36
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com 19 hkeefe@cooley.com 20 21 Also Present: 22 Brandon Miller, Videographer 23	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35 19 BlackBerry Limited versus Facebook, Inc., WhatsApp, 09:35 20 Inc., and Instagram, Inc., filed in the 09:35 21 United States District Court, Central District of 09:36 22 California. Lead excuse me, case number 09:36 23 2:18-cv-01844-GW-KS, lead consolidated case. 09:36
1 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL: 2 3 FOR THE PLAINTIFF: 4 QUINN EMANUEL URQUHART & SULLIVAN, LLP, 5 BY: PATRICK SCHMIDT, ESQ. 6 865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor 7 Los Angeles, California 8 213.443.3000 9 patrickschmidt@quinnemanuel.com 10 11 FOR THE DEFENDANTS: 12 COOLEY LLP 13 BY: MARK WEINSTEIN, ESQ. 14 HEIDI KEEFE, ESQ. 15 3175 Hanover Street 16 Palo Alto, California 94304-1130 17 650.843.5001 18 mweinstein@cooley.com 19 hkeefe@cooley.com 20 21 Also Present: 22 Brandon Miller, Videographer	1 San Francisco, California; Tuesday, July 30, 2019 2 9:35 a.m. 3000 4 09:31 5 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Good morning. We're 09:35 6 going on the record at 9:35 a.m. on July 30, 2019. 09:35 7 Please note that the microphones are very, 09:35 8 very sensitive and may pick up whispering, rubbing 09:35 9 of clothing, hair and microphone cable. Please 09:35 10 silence all cell phones and place them away from the 09:35 11 microphones as they can interfere with deposition 09:35 12 audio. 09:35 13 Audio-video recording will continue to 09:35 14 take place unless all parties agree to go off the 09:35 15 record. 09:35 16 This is Media Number 1 of the 09:35 17 video-recorded deposition of Dr. Dan Schonfeld taken 09:35 18 by counsel for the defendant in the matter of 09:35 19 BlackBerry Limited versus Facebook, Inc., WhatsApp, 09:35 20 Inc., and Instagram, Inc., filed in the 09:35 21 United States District Court, Central District of 09:36 22 California. Lead excuse me, case number 09:36



1	California 94111. 09:36	1 Q. You haven't been deposed in this case, 09:38
2	My name is Brandon Miller with the firm 09:36	2 have you? 09:38
3	Veritext Legal Solutions, and I'm the videographer. 09:36	3 A. No. 09:38
4	The court reporter is Ashala Tylor for the firm 09:36	4 Q. So I'm going to give you the speech that 09:38
5	Veritext Legal Solutions. 09:36	5 basically every deposition in the United States has 09:38
6	I'm not related to any party in this 09:36	6 ever begun within the last 50 nears. I'm sure you 09:38
7	action, nor am I financially interested in the 09:36	7 know everything, but we have to have it on the 09:38
8	outcome. 09:36	8 record. 09:38
9	Counsel and all present in the room will 09:36	9 This deposition and everything you say is 09:38
10	now say their appearances and affiliations for the 09:36	10 under oath. It has the same force and effect as if 09:38
11	record. 09:36	11 we were in open court. 09:38
12	MR. WEINSTEIN: Mark Weinstein of Cooley 09:36	12 You understand that, correct? 09:38
13	LLP, representing the defendants. And with me is 09:36	13 A. I do. 09:38
14	Heidi Keefe, also from Cooley LLP, also representing 09:36	14 Q. If at any time during the deposition you 09:38
15	the defendants. 09:37	15 don't understand a question I'm asking, feel free to 09:38
16	MR. SCHMIDT: Good morning. Patrick 09:37	16 ask me to clarify; otherwise I have no choice but to 09:38
17	Schmidt from Quinn Emanuel on behalf of the 09:37	17 assume that you understood my question. Agreed? 09:38
18	plaintiff Blackberry. 09:37	18 A. I will do so to the best to the extent 09:38
19	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Thank you. You may now 09:37	19 that I misunderstand the question. 09:38
20	swear the witness. 09:37	20 Q. Thank you so much. 09:38
21	DAN SCHONFELD, Ph.D., 09:37	The court reporter next to us is going to 09:38
22	being first duly sworn or affirmed to testify 09:37	22 take down everything you and I say, so there's a 09:38
23	to the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but 09:37	23 reciprocal rule that we both have to follow based on 09:38
24	the truth, was examined and testified as follows: 09:37	24 the fact that the court reporter can only take down 09:38
25		25 one person speaking at a time, which is that I would 09:38
	Page 6	Page 8
1	EXAMINATION 09:37	1 ask that you wait for me to finish the question 09:38
	EXAMINATION 09:37 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37	1 ask that you wait for me to finish the question 09:38 2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39
2	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39
2	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39
2 3 4	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39
2 3 4 5	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld is fine. 09:37 Q. Okay. Thank you. 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld is fine. 09:37 Q. Okay. Thank you. 09:37 A. Any which way you prefer is fine. 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld is fine. 09:37 Q. Okay. Thank you. 09:37 A. Any which way you prefer is fine. 09:37 Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you O9:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you O9:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. O9:37 A. Any which way you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're O9:37 currently employed, right? O9:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you O9:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you O9:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. A. Any which way you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're O9:37 Currently employed, right? O9:37 A. I am. O9:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld is fine. 09:37 Q. Okay. Thank you. 09:37 A. Any which way you prefer is fine. 09:37 Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're 09:37 currently employed, right? 09:37 A. I am. 09:37 Q. Who is your current employer? 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 09:37 Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld is fine. 09:37 Q. Okay. Thank you. 09:37 A. Any which way you prefer is fine. 09:37 Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're 09:37 currently employed, right? 09:37 A. I am. 09:37 Q. Who is your current employer? 09:37 A. The University of Illinois in Chicago. 09:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. Q. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. O9:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Obesa.	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. Q. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Oy:37 Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Oy:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. A. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Osay. Thank you. Q. Osay. Thank you. Q. Osay. Thank you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Osay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Osay. Have you had your deposition taken	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39 20 this action? 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. Q. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Oy:37 Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39 20 this action? 09:39 21 A. I am I believe it was in or around 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. Q. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you prefer is fine. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Oy:37 A. I am. Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Do you have you had your deposition taken Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Oy:37 A. Yes. Q. Approximately how many times? Q. O9:37 Q. Op:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39 20 this action? 09:39 21 A. I am I believe it was in or around 09:39 22 April 2018. 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. Q. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Oy:37 A. I am. Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Oy:37 A. Yes. Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37 Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37 Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37 Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39 20 this action? 09:39 21 A. I am I believe it was in or around 09:39 22 April 2018. 09:39 23 Q. Okay. So right around the time this 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	Q. Good morning, sir. 09:37 A. Good morning. 09:37 Q. Would you state your name for the record? 09:37 A. Yeah, it's Dan Schonfeld. 09:37 Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you 09:37 prefer Dr. Schonfeld or Mr. Schonfeld? 09:37 A. Dr. Schonfeld is fine. 09:37 Q. Okay. Thank you. 09:37 A. Any which way you prefer is fine. 09:37 Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're 09:37 Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're 09:37 A. I am. 09:37 A. I am. 09:37 A. I am. 09:37 Q. Who is your current employer? 09:37 A. The University of Illinois in Chicago. 09:37 Q. Do you have any residences in California? 09:37 A. No. 09:37 Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken 09:37 before? 09:37 A. Yes. 09:37 Q. Approximately how many times? 09:37 A. It depends on how you count, but I would 09:37 say somewhere around probably slightly higher than 09:38	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39 20 this action? 09:39 21 A. I am I believe it was in or around 09:39 22 April 2018. 09:39 23 Q. Okay. So right around the time this 09:39 24 litigation got started? 09:39
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Q. Good morning, sir. Q. Good morning. Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Would you state your name for the record? Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. And for ease of reference, do you Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Okay. Thank you. Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Thank you, Dr. Schonfeld. You're Q. Oy:37 A. I am. Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Who is your current employer? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Do you have any residences in California? Q. Okay. Have you had your deposition taken Q. Oy:37 A. Yes. Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37 Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37 Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37 Q. Oy:37 Q. Approximately how many times? Q. Oy:37	2 before providing an answer, and I, in turn, will 09:39 3 endeavor to wait for you to finish your answer until 09:39 4 proceeding to the next question. 09:39 5 Sounds reasonable? 09:39 6 A. Yes. 09:39 7 Q. Okay. Are you taking any medication, 09:39 8 Dr. Schonfeld, that could affect the testimony that 09:39 9 you are giving here today? 09:39 10 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 11 Q. Are there any reasons that you can 09:39 12 identify that you can't give your best and most 09:39 13 accurate testimony here today? 09:39 14 A. Not that I'm aware of, no. 09:39 15 Q. Okay. Thank you, sir. 09:39 16 So you have been retained by the plaintiff 09:39 17 BlackBerry in this case, correct? 09:39 18 A. Yes. 09:39 19 Q. Approximately when were you retained in 09:39 20 this action? 09:39 21 A. I am I believe it was in or around 09:39 22 April 2018. 09:39 23 Q. Okay. So right around the time this 09:39



1	Q. But as far as the actual displaying of the 01:41	1 If I could direct you to a passage in 01:45	
2	tag list, that code is the JavaScript code running 01:41	2 column 5. It starts on line 39 through 47. I'll 01:45	
3	on the browser, correct? 01:41	3 read it into the record. 01:45	
4	A. At least in part. Again, I don't want to 01:41	4 "In an embodiment, as the user begins to 01:45	
5	limit myself to saying all of it is a JavaScript 01:41	5 type, photo tag selection module 148B may be 01:45	
6	code. 01:41	6 configured to search one or more selected 'tag 01:45	
7	Q. Okay. I'm just because maybe this 01:41	7 sources' for tags that match the currently entered 01:45	
8	is more a semantic issue. 01:41	8 text." 01:45	
9	The claim calls for displaying a tag list, 01:41	9 And then it goes on from there. Do you 01:45	
	including tags from one or more tag sources, and 01:41	10 see that? 01:45	
	matching a search string. So the claim actually 01:41	11 A. I do. 01:45	
	doesn't require that a search be run, correct? 01:41	12 Q. We'll stop there. So when you read the 01:45	
13	MR. SCHMIDT: Objection. Calls for a 01:42	13 claim, do you think that the claim requires that the 01:45	
	legal conclusion. 01:42	14 system search one or more tag sources in order to 01:45	
15	THE WITNESS: Well, I think it says 01:43	15 generate the tag list? 01:46	
	matching a search string. And under your 01:43	16 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:46	
	interpretation of the limitation, matching a search 01:43	17 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:46	
	string would be true whether or not the actual 01:43	18 THE WITNESS: So when I read the 01:46	
	matching is performed. And that's not how I read 01:43	19 limitation in Claim 13, limitation A that we are 01:46	
	it. I actually viewed the matching as being 01:43	20 talking about, reading it in light of the 01:46	
	something that needs to take place. 01:43	21 specification, I do not impose anything beyond what 01:47	
	BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:43	22 the claim limitation requires. And the claim 01:47	
23	Q. Okay. It 01:43	23 limitation does not explicitly require what's in 01:47	
24	A. And 01:43	24 column 5. 01:47	
25	Q. Go ahead. I'm sorry. 01:43	25 01.47	
23	Q. Go alread. Thi sorry. Page 106	Page 1	08
1	A. And I would say that the claim asserted in 01:43	1 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47	
2	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47	
2 3	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43	 Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 	
2 3 4	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47	
2 3 4 5	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43	 Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 	
2 3 4 5 6	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your 01:44 opinion? 01:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:44 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your 01:44 opinion? 01:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your 01:44 opinion? 01:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:44 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your 01:44 opinion? 01:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:44 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - 01:44 according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact 01:44 that the search has to actually perform a search and 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 01:47 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 01:47 18 within those tag sources? 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code ol:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the search string. Ol:43 So if one were to interpret it where no search takes place, then you would have to enter a search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. Ol:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the claim. Ol:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations on how the search must be conducted, in your opinion? Ol:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it Ol:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact Ol:44 by at least matching a search string. Ol:44 by at least matching a search string. Ol:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 01:47 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code ol:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the ol:43 search string. ol:43 So if one were to interpret it where no ol:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a ol:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. ol:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the claim. ol:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search ol:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations on how the search must be conducted, in your opinion? ol:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it ol:44 calls for a legal conclusion. ol:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - ol:44 according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact ol:44 that the search has to actually perform a search and ol:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 01:47 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 01:47 18 within those tag sources? 01:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code ol:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the search string. Ol:43 So if one were to interpret it where no search takes place, then you would have to enter a search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. Ol:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the claim. Ol:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations on how the search must be conducted, in your opinion? Ol:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it Ol:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact Ol:44 by at least matching a search string. Ol:44 by at least matching a search string. Ol:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 01:47 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 01:47 18 within those tag sources? 01:47 19 A. So I'm not sure if I completely understand 01:48	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code ol:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the search string. Ol:43 So if one were to interpret it where no ol:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. Ol:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the claim. Ol:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search ol:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations on how the search must be conducted, in your opinion? Ol:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it ol:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact that the search has to actually perform a search and Ol:44 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Ol:44 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: Ol:44 O	Q. So it does not require that what is 01:47 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 01:47 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:47 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 01:47 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 01:47 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 01:47 10 sources. 01:47 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 01:47 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 01:47 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 01:47 14 is required. 01:47 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:47 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 01:47 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 01:47 18 within those tag sources? 01:47 19 A. So I'm not sure if I completely understand 01:48 20 the question, but the limitation is only about code 01:48	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your 01:44 opinion? 01:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:44 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - 01:44 according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact 01:44 that the search has to actually perform a search and 01:44 by at least matching a search string. 01:44 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:44 Q. Does the does the claim require that 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 4 you're saying? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 10 sources. 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 14 is required. 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 18 within those tag sources? 10 1:47 19 A. So I'm not sure if I completely understand 20 the question, but the limitation is only about code 21 for displaying, and specifically it's for displaying 20 1:48	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code 01:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the 01:43 search string. 01:43 So if one were to interpret it where no 01:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a 01:43 search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. 01:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the 01:43 claim. 01:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search 01:44 is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations 01:44 opinion? 01:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:44 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I 01:44 according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact 01:44 by at least matching a search string. 01:44 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:44 Q. Does the does the claim require that 01:44 the search well, withdrawn. 01:44	Q. So it does not require that what is 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 4 you're saying? 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 10 sources. 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 14 is required. 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 18 within those tag sources? 10 1:47 19 A. So I'm not sure if I completely understand 20 the question, but the limitation is only about code 21 for displaying, and specifically it's for displaying 22 a tag list. And then there is the limitation 21:47	
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	this case is Claim 14. And from Claim 14, the code ol:43 is for providing a tag entry field for entering the search string. Ol:43 So if one were to interpret it where no ol:43 search takes place, then you would have to enter a search string for Claim 14 and do nothing with it. Ol:43 So it would be an unusual interpretation of the claim. Ol:44 Q. Understood. So as far as how the search is conducted, does the claim provide any limitations ol:44 on how the search must be conducted, in your opinion? Ol:44 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it ol:44 calls for a legal conclusion. Ol:44 THE WITNESS: The only thing that I - according to my interpretation, I imposed the fact that the search has to actually perform a search and ol:44 by at least matching a search string. Ol:44 Q. Does the does the claim require that Ol:44 the search well, withdrawn. Ol:44 Let me let me refer you I know Ol:45	Q. So it does not require that what is 3 searched be one or more tag sources. Is that what 4 you're saying? 01:47 5 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 6 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:47 7 THE WITNESS: Well, it requires the 8 wording that are required are code for displaying a 9 tag list, including tags from one or more tag 10 sources. 11 So the tags have to come from one or more 12 tag sources, and they have to match a search string. 13 And I do not go beyond that to to determine what 14 is required. 15 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 16 Q. How can the system search for tags that 17 come from one or more tag sources without searching 18 within those tag sources? 10:47 19 A. So I'm not sure if I completely understand 20 the question, but the limitation is only about code 21 for displaying, and specifically it's for displaying 22 a tag list. And then there is the limitation 21:48 23 requires that that list include tags that are from 20 1:48	



1 Q. Okay. Now, I'll represent to you that I 01:49	1 and the patent specification gives some examples. 01:53
2 do not believe that any terms from the '173 patent 01:49	2 Once again, if you look at paragraph 82 of my 01:53
3 were construed by the court in connection with this 01:49	3 declaration, I cite to the patent for example, to 01:53
4 case; but in order to form an opinion of 01:49	4 column 6, lines 5 through 9 where the patent 01:53
5 infringement, you formed an understanding of what 01:49	5 talks about a user's Facebook friends. Facebook 01:53
6 the claims actually require, correct? 01:49	6 friends is one example, and I also cite to column 5, 01:53
7 A. I understood the claims and what they 01:49	7 line 43 through 47. And I think generally the 01:53
8 meant to me. 01:49	8 patent specification talks about other examples of 01:53
9 Q. Okay. So so in your own words, can you 01:49	9 sources such as locations. 01:53
10 describe for me what the word "tag sources" means in 01:49	10 Claim 18, for example, talks about a tag 01:53
11 the context of the '173 patent? 01:49	11 source as having one or more of an online network 01:53
MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:49	12 profile, an address book, browser bookmarks, 01:54
13 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:49	13 landmark tears tags, sorry, and free form text. 01:54
14 THE WITNESS: I simply adopted the 01:49	14 And so it gives a whole array of examples both in 01:54
15 understanding that well, just the plain meaning. 01:49	15 the claims as well as the specification, and it's 01:54
16 And I think plain meaning is something that in this 01:49	16 scattered throughout. 01:54
17 case, and not only a person of ordinary skill but 01:50	17 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54
18 any person would understand, and this is just a 01:50	18 Q. But a tag source identifies where tags 01:54
19 tag is a it's just a source of tags. 01:50	19 come from, correct? 01:54
20 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:50	20 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:54
21 Q. Okay. And then a search string, what is 01:50	21 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:54
22 your understanding, as a person of skill in the art,	22 THE WITNESS: I don't necessarily view it 01:54
23 of what a search string is when you were looking for	23 as as limited to to determining where it comes 01:54
24 infringement of the '173 patent?	24 from; but it does provide a distinction between 01:54
25 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:50	25 between between the different objects or the 01:54
Page 110	Page 112
1 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:50	1 different tags, I should say. 01:54
1 calls for a legal conclusion. 01:50 2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51	1 different tags, I should say. 01:54 2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54
2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54
2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 3 me easiest way for me to convey my understanding 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55
2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 3 me easiest way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 4 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55
2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 3 me easiest way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 4 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 5 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55
2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 3 me easiest way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 4 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 5 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 6 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55
2 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 3 me easiest way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 4 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 5 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 6 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 7 search string means to anyone. 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 me easiest way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Other witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 Other way and the witness way of the witness way and the witness way of the witness way and witness way way and witness w	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Other to the term that you need the 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles.
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 everybody browses the web. 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 01:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE W	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 01:56 13 A. I do. 01:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Other of the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 (Reporter clarification.) 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 01:56 13 A. I do. 01:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 01:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 everybody browses the web. 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 (Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 01:56 13 A. I do. 01:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 01:56 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 01:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 ceverybody browses the web. 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 (Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Everyone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:54 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 01:55 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 01:55 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 01:55 6 website, correct? 01:55 7 A. That is correct. 01:55 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 01:55 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 01:55 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 01:56 13 A. I do. 01:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 01:56 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 01:56 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 01:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The work of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 Search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 Reverybody browses the web. 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 (Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Everyone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 7 A. That is correct. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 10:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 10:55 10:55 10:56 11:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Other of the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Knowledge of the web. 01:51 Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Knowledge of the web. 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 October of think the easiest for 01:51 The wear of the wear of the west of the property of the wear of the	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 7 A. That is correct. 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 156 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 10 1:55 10 11:56 11 15 15 16 11:56 12 17 Facebook.com website. 13 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16 16
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 everybody browses the web. 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 (Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Everyone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier that a 01:51 19 tag source is simply withdrawn.	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 10:55 7 A. That is correct. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 10:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56 10 1:56 10 1:56 11 A. I do. 10:56 12 Oyou see that? 13 A. I do. 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 10:56 11 Facebook.com website. 12 Oyou see that? 13 A. I do. 15 A. I do. 16 Screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Oyou see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 ceverybody browses the web. 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 (Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Everyone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier that a 01:51 you said earlier that a tag source is a 01:52	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 10:55 7 A. That is correct. 9 C. And I'll just read them into the record. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 10:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56 10 Ol:56 10 Ol:56 11 A. I do. 10:56 12 Oyou see that? 13 Ol:56 14 Oyou see that? 15 Ol:56 16 Ol:56 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56 10 Ol:56 10 Ol:56 11 Ol:56 12 Oyou see that? 11 Ol:56 12 Oyou see that? 12 Ol:56 13 Ol:56 14 Oyou create that 15 Ol:56 16 Ol:56 17 Ol:56 18 Oyou see that? 18 Ol:56 19 Oyou see that? 19 Ol:56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 of 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 Reverybody browses the web. 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 G. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Everyone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier that a 01:51 tag source is simply withdrawn. You said earlier that a tag source is a 01:52 source of tags. What is a source? 01:52	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 10:55 7 A. That is correct. 9 And I'll just read them into the record. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 156 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56 10 Q. And it looks like did you create that 11:56 12 Using a fictitious account? 11:55 12 Ol:56 13 Ol:56 14 Oli56 15 Oli56
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 for 4F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 search string means to anyone. 01:51 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 01:51 Q. Understood. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 knowledge of a person of ordinary skill because 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 Q. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 G. Knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Q. Okay. Now, you mentioned earlier that a 01:51 g you said earlier that a tag source is a 01:52 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:52	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 7 A. That is correct. 8 Q. And I'll just read them into the record. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 156 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56 10 Q. And it looks like did you create that 10:56 20 Q. And it looks like did you create that 10:56 21 using a fictitious account? 10:56 22 A. It was a fictitious account, yes. 10:55
THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 THE WITNESS: So I think the easiest for 01:51 The witness way for me to convey my understanding 01:51 of a search string would be just by example. 01:51 If you look at Figures 4B, 4C, 4D, 4E, and 01:51 4 F, those would be an example consistent with a 01:51 Fearch string means to anyone. 01:51 Research string means to anyone. 01:51 Research string means to anyone. 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 A. Again, it's not the term that you need the 01:51 Reverybody browses the web. 01:51 Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Reporter clarification.) 01:51 Reporter clarification.) 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Reveryone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Reveryone knows what a search string is, right? 01:51 A. I believe so. 01:51 Source is simply withdrawn. Wou said earlier that a tag source is a 01:52 Source of tags. What is a source? 01:52 MR. SCHMIDT: Objection to the extent it 01:52 and the witness of the search of the extent it 01:52 and the witness of the search of the extent it 01:52 and source of tags. What is a source? 01:52	2 BY MR. WEINSTEIN: 3 Q. Looking at paragraph 79 of your 4 declaration, it looks like you have identified five 5 different alleged tag sources for the Facebook 6 website, correct? 101:55 7 A. That is correct. 9 Those are Facebook friends, friends of Facebook 10 friends, general Facebook pages, pages associated 11 with locations, and verified Facebook profiles. 12 Do you see that? 13 A. I do. 10:56 14 Q. Okay. Let go to page 13 of your report, 15 and if you see, there's a it appears to be a 16 screenshot of the photo-tagging interface for the 17 Facebook.com website. 18 Do you see that? 19 A. I do. 10:56 10 Q. And it looks like did you create that 10:56 20 Q. And it looks like did you create that 10:56 21 using a fictitious account? 10:56 22 A. It was a fictitious account, yes. 10:56 23 Q. Okay. And in this example, there's a tag 10:56



DOCKET A L A R M

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

