

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of
U.S. Patent No. 8,279,173 B2

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, and WHATSAPP INC.,
Petitioners

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

U.S. Patent No. 8,279,173 B2
Issue Date: October 2, 2012

Title: User Interface for Selecting a Photo Tag

**PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW
OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,279,173 B2**

Table of Contents

	Page
I. Introduction.....	1
II. Mandatory Notices under §42.8(A)(1)	1
A. Real Party-In-Interest under §42.8.(b)(1).....	1
B. Related Matters under §42.8(b)(2).....	2
C. Lead and Back-Up Counsel under §42.8(b)(3).....	3
D. Service Information.....	4
III. Fee Payment.....	4
IV. Requirements under §§42.104 and 42.108	4
A. Grounds for Standing	4
A. Identification of Challenge and Statement of Precise Relief Requested	4
B. Considerations under §§325(d) and 314(a).....	5
V. Overview of the '173 Patent.....	6
A. Level of Ordinary Skill in the Art.....	6
B. Specification Overview	7
C. The Challenged Claims	9
VI. Claim Construction.....	10
VII. The Challenged Claims are Obvious.....	10
A. Overview of Grounds	10
B. Summary and Date Qualification of the Prior Art.....	12
1. Zuckerberg [Ex. 1003].....	12
2. Rothmuller [Exs. 1004, 1005].....	14
3. Plotkin [Ex. 1008].....	18
4. MacLaurin [Ex. 1006].....	19
5. Matthews [Ex. 1009].....	19
6. Ortega [Ex. 1007].....	20

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
C. Ground 1: Obviousness of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10, 12-14, 16, and 18 Based on Zuckerberg	21
1. Claim 1	21
(a) “A method of selecting a photo tag for a tagged photo, comprising:” (Claim 1, Preamble).....	21
(b) “displaying a tag list including tags from one or more tag sources matching a search string;” (Claim 1[a]).....	24
(c) “displaying a tag type indicator for each tag appearing in the tag list, said tag type being indicative of a tag source associated with the tag;” (Claim 1[b])	27
2. Claim 2: “The method of claim 1, further comprising providing a tag entry field for entering the search string.”	31
3. Claim 4: “The method of claim 1, further comprising providing a graphical user interface to select a tag in the tag list.”	31
4. Claim 6: “The method of claim 1, further comprising selecting as a tag source one or more of an online network profile, an address book, browser bookmarks, landmark tags, and free-form text.”	32
5. Claim 7	34
6. Claim 8	35
7. Claim 10: “The system of claim 7, further comprising an apparatus operable to allow selection of a tag in the tag list to complete the tag entry field.”	36
8. Claim 12	37
9. Claim 13	37
10. Claim 14	38

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
11. Claim 16: “The computer medium of claim 13, further comprising code for providing a graphical user interface to select a tag in the tag list to complete the tag entry field.”	38
12. Claim 18	38
D. Ground 2: Obviousness of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 12-14, and 18 Based on Zuckerberg in View of Rothmuller and MacLaurin	38
E. Ground 3: Obviousness of Claims 10 and 16 Based on Zuckerberg, Rothmuller, MacLaurin, in Further View of Ortega	45
F. Ground 4: Obviousness of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 12-14, and 18 Based on Zuckerberg in View of Plotkin and MacLaurin	49
G. Ground 5: Obviousness of Claims 10 and 16 Based on Zuckerberg, Plotkin, and MacLaurin, in Further View of Ortega	53
H. Ground 6: Obviousness of Claims 1, 2, 4, 6-8, 10, 12-14, 16, and 18 Based on Rothmuller and Matthews	54
1. Claim 1	54
(a) “A method of selecting a photo tag for a tagged photo, comprising:” (Claim 1, Preamble).....	54
(b) “displaying a tag list including tags from one or more tag sources matching a search string;” (Claim 1[a]).....	55
(c) “displaying a tag type indicator for each tag appearing in the tag list, said tag type being indicative of a tag source associated with the tag;” (Claim 1[b])	65
2. Claim 2	68
3. Claim 4	68
4. Claim 6	69
5. Claim 7	70
6. Claim 8	70

Table of Contents
(continued)

	Page
7. Claim 10	71
8. Claim 12	72
9. Claim 13	72
10. Claim 14	72
11. Claim 16	73
12. Claim 18	73
I. Ground 7: Obviousness of Claims 10 and 16 Based on Rothmuller and Matthews, in Further View of Ortega	73
VIII. Conclusion	75
CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE	78

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.