

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, and WHATSAPP INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

IPR2019-00516
U.S. Patent No. 8,279,173

PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION	1
II. BACKGROUND OF THE RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY	2
III. OVERVIEW OF THE '173 PATENT	4
IV. OVERVIEW OF THE ALLEGED PRIOR ART.....	9
A. Zuckerberg.....	9
B. Rothmuller.....	10
C. Plotkin.....	13
D. MacLaurin	14
E. Ortega	19
F. Matthews	19
V. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	19
VI. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	20
A. “Tag Sources”.....	20
B. “A Tag Type Indicator . . . Said Tag Type Being Indicative of a Tag Source”.....	30
VII. RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT	32
A. Grounds 1-5 Fail Because The Cited Art Does Not Disclose Any Indicator “Indicative of a Tag Source”	33
1. Zuckerberg lacks “a tag type indicator for each tag . . . indicative of a tag source”.....	33
(a) Zuckerberg’s line is not “indicative of a tag source”	34
(b) Zuckerberg’s “text list” and “friend list” are not separate “source[s]”.....	37
2. Rothmuller and Plotkin cannot cure Zuckerberg’s failure to “indicat[e] . . . a tag source”	42
(a) Petitioners’ Grounds 2 and 4 do not rely on Rothmuller or Plotkin for the “indicative of a tag source” limitation.....	42

(b)	Rothmuller or Plotkin cannot cure Zuckerberg’s deficiencies because they themselves lack a “tag type indicator . . . indicative of a tag source”	44
(i)	Rothmuller’s categories are not different “tag sources,” and its category icons are thus not “indicative of a tag source”	45
(ii)	Plotkin’s categories are not different “tag sources,” and its category icons are thus not “indicative of a tag source”	50
B.	Grounds 1-5 Also Fail Because Petitioners’ Proposed Combinations Do Not Render Obvious “a Tag Type Indicator for Each Tag Appearing in the Tag List”	53
1.	Zuckerberg lacks “a tag type indicator for each tag appearing in the tag list”	54
2.	It would be far from obvious to adapt Rothmuller or Plotkin’s icons to indicate Zuckerberg’s alleged “tag source[s]”	57
(a)	Petitioners’ proposed combinations have no benefit	58
(b)	Petitioners fail to identify an adequate motivation to combine the prior art	60
(i)	MacLaurin does not provide express motivation for visually indicating tag sources	61
(ii)	Petitioners’ allegation that combining Zuckerberg with Rothmuller or Plotkin is based on impermissible hindsight	70
(iii)	Existence of similar tags is not a valid motivation to combine	71
(iv)	The alleged “popularity of the Adobe product” does not lead to the proposed combination of Zuckerberg and Plotkin	73
C.	Grounds 6-7 Fail Because Rothmuller Does Not Disclose “Displaying a Tag Type Indicator . . . Indicative of a Tag Source”	75

VIII. CONCLUSION.....75

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page

CASES

Alloc, Inc. v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
342 F.3d 1361 (Fed. Cir. 2003)67

Harmonic Inc. v. Avid Tech., Inc.,
815 F.3d 1356 (Fed. Cir. 2016)36

Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.,
821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)72

K/S Himpp v. Hear-Wear Techs., LLC,
751 F.3d 1362 (Fed. Cir. 2014)32

KSR Int’l Co. v. Teleflex Inc.,
550 U.S. 398 (2007).....42

Lantech, Inc. v. Keip Mach. Co.,
32 F.3d 542 (Fed. Cir. 1994)35

Merck & Co. v. Teva Pharms. USA, Inc.,
395 F.3d 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2005) 28, 56

SAS Institute, Inc. v. Iancu,
138 S. Ct. 1348 (2018).....44

Sinorgchem Co., Shandong v. Int’l Trade Comm’n,
511 F.3d 1132 (Fed. Cir. 2007)23

The Federal Circuit’s Amazon.com, Inc. v. ZitoVault, LLC,
754 Fed. App’x 965 (Fed. Cir. 2018)29

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.