

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FACEBOOK, INC., INSTAGRAM, LLC, and WHATSAPP INC.,
Petitioners,

v.

BLACKBERRY LIMITED,
Patent Owner

IPR2019-00516
U.S. Patent No. 8,279,173

**DECLARATION OF DR. RAJEEV SURATI
IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. BASIS FOR OPINION	2
A. Qualifications.....	2
B. Materials Considered	5
III. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART.....	6
IV. LEGAL STANDARDS FOR PATENTABILITY.....	7
V. BACKGROUND OF THE RELEVANT TECHNOLOGY	8
VI. OVERVIEW OF THE '173 PATENT	10
VII. OVERVIEW OF THE CITED REFERENCES.....	15
A. Zuckerberg.....	15
B. Rothmuller	16
C. Plotkin.....	18
D. MacLaurin.....	19
E. Ortega.....	25
F. Matthews.....	25
VIII. CLAIM CONSTRUCTION	27
A. “Tag Sources”	27
B. “A Tag Type Indicator . . . Said Tag Type Being Indicative of a Tag Source”	34
IX. RESPONSE TO ARGUMENT	35
A. Grounds 1-5 Fail Because The Cited Art Does Not Disclose Any Indicator “Indicative of a Tag Source”	35

B. Grounds 1-5 Also Fail Because Petitioners’ Proposed Combination Does Not Render Obvious “a Tag Type Indicator for Each Tag Appearing in the Tag List”.....48

C. Grounds 6-7 Fail Because Rothmuller Does Not Disclose “Displaying a Tag Type Indicator . . . Indicative of a Tag Source”.....65

I, Rajeev Surati, declare as follows:

I. INTRODUCTION

1. My name is Rajeev Surati. I am President at Computation and Imaging, a consultancy providing strategic and implementation services for intellectual property and business issues both related to computation and imaging with cameras and display devices and storage of such imagery. I am also an Engineer at Hydrow.com working on AR/VR and machine vision. I have been retained to serve as an expert on behalf of Patent Owner BlackBerry Limited (“BlackBerry” or “Patent Owner”) in connection with the above-captioned *inter partes* review (“IPR”) proceeding, to provide my analyses and opinions in certain technical aspects of this proceeding. I understand that this Declaration is used to support BlackBerry’s Patent Owner Response regarding challenged claims of U.S. Patent No. 8,279,173 (“the ’173 Patent”) (Ex. 1001).

2. I am being compensated for my work on this case at my standard consulting rate of \$540 per hour. I am also being reimbursed for all incurred expenses. No part of my compensation is contingent upon the outcome of this proceeding. I have no other interests in this proceeding or with any of the parties.

3. I am competent to testify to the matters stated in this Declaration, have personal knowledge of the facts and statements herein, and each of the statements is true and correct.

II. BASIS FOR OPINION**A. Qualifications**

4. I have more than 25 years of experience in the fields of electrical engineering and computer science. Additionally, I have at least 19 years of experience in owning and running software companies that utilize database-backed systems, including Internet photo databases, as well as extensive experience in designing and building user interfaces.

5. I received a bachelor's degree (1992), master's degree (1995), and a Ph.D. (1999) in Electrical Engineering and Computer Science from Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT").

6. I am an inventor of U.S. Patent No. 5,943,478, entitled "System for Immediate Popup Messaging Across the Internet," which describes a system and user interface for sending and displaying instant messages in popup windows between Internet users.

7. In 1996, I founded Flash Communications, a company focused on technology related to U.S. Patent No. 5,943,478 and associated technology that I had developed related to pop-up instant messaging over the Internet. Microsoft Corporation purchased Flash Communications in 1998 and incorporated its messaging technology into Microsoft's Messenger service and Exchange 2000 Server Instant Messaging Service.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.