

Case No. IPR2019-00514
Patent No. 5,904,172

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN NATIONAL MANUFACTURING INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION
f/k/a SELECT COMFORT CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00514

Patent No. 5,904,172

**PETITIONER'S AMENDED OPPOSITION TO PATENT OWNER'S
MOTION FOR ADDITIONAL DISCOVERY AND OTHER
MISCELLANEOUS RELIEF (PAPER 18)**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION1

II. LEGAL STANDARD.....2

III. ARGUMENT3

 A. Sans evidence, PO fails to carry its heavy burden to show the additional discovery is “*necessary in the interest of justice*”3

 1. Factor 1—PO failed to demonstrate it is in “possession” of any probative evidence, and there is no more than “a possibility and mere allegation” here..3

 2. Factor 2—PO’s Requests implicate the Parties’ litigation position, namely, as to noninfringement.....5

 3. Factor 3—Other means exist that PO could use to demonstrate commercial success such as evidence related to its own products7

 4. Factor 4—PO’s instructions and requests (expressly implicating other disputed and protected documents) are far from “easily understandable”7

 5. Factor 5—PO’s Requests covering “all” products and 10 years of financial data are overly burdensome9

 B. Page Limit Extension is Not Warranted10

IV. CONCLUSION.....10

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Tech., LLC</i> , CBM2013-00020, Paper 40	6
<i>Brown & Williamson Tobacco Corp. v. Philip Morris Inc.</i> , 229 F.3d 1120 (Fed. Cir. 2000)	7
<i>Chervon</i> , IPR2015-00595, Paper 31	10
<i>Douglas Dynamics, L.L.C. v. Meyer Prods., LLC</i> , IPR2015-01839, Paper 29	5
<i>Garmin Int’l, Inc. et al. v. Cuozzo Speed Tech. LLC</i> , IPR2012-00001, No. 26 (Mar. 5, 2013).....	<i>passim</i>
<i>Jazz Pharm., Inc. v. Amneal Pharm. LLC</i> , C.A. No. 13-391(ES) (JAD), 2016 WL 11480203 (D.N.J. Jan. 22, 2016)	8
<i>Kingston</i> , IPR2015-00149, Paper 24.....	4
<i>Seadrill Amer. Inc. v. Transocean Offshore Depwater Drilling, Inc.</i> , IPR2015-01929, Paper 31	6
<i>Sleep Number Corp. v. American National Manufacturing, Inc.</i> , C.A. No. 5:18-cv-00357 AB (SPX) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 20, 2018).....	1, 12
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(5).....	2
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e).....	12
37 C.F.R. § 42.51(b)(2).....	2

Case No. IPR2019-00514

Patent No. 5,904,172

154 Cong. Rec. S9988 (daily ed. Sept. 27, 2008) (statement of Sen.
Kyl)3

Petitioner American National Manufacturing, Inc. (“ANM” or “Petitioner”) files this Opposition in response to Patent Owner Sleep Number Corp.’s (f/k/a Select Comfort Corp.) (“PO” or “Sleep Number”) Motion for Additional Discovery and to Waive the Word Count for the Parties’ Response, Reply, and Sur-Reply (Paper 18) (the “Motion”).¹ Ex. 2026 provides PO’s Discovery Requests (the “Requested Discovery” or “Requests”).

I. INTRODUCTION

PO’s Motion is the first step of opening Pandora’s Box. PO seeks detailed, customized information covering “all” of ANM’s air bed products spanning ten years. The Requests are complicated and expressly implicate confidential documents protected by the District Court’s protective order.² Compliance would be extremely burdensome and appear to require violating the District Court’s protective order, which prohibits the use of protected documents in this proceeding.

PO is the *Goliath* in the premium air mattress industry,³ while ANM is a mere *David*.⁴ PO’s proffered justification for its requested discovery is secondary indicia of nonobviousness (i.e., copying and commercial success). It follows that PO would

¹ This brief is on behalf of ANM only. Sizewise Rentals, LLC (“Sizewise”), who is not a named party to this action, is not believed to be entitled to participate in this proceeding, and is not believed to be subject to the PO’s requested discovery (which does not include a request for a subpoena). To the extent the Board disagrees, the Board’s guidance would be appreciated.

² The “District Court” refers to the district court in *Sleep Number Corp. v. American National Manufacturing, Inc.*, C.A. No. 5:18-cv-00357 AB (SPx) (C.D. Cal. Feb. 20, 2018).

³ See Ex. 1041 (stating revenue of approx. \$1.5 billion).

⁴ See Ex. 1042 (stating revenue of approx. \$21 million est.).

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.