

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN NATIONAL MANUFACTURING INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION
f/k/a SELECT COMFORT CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00514
Patent No. 5,904,172

PATENT OWNER'S SUR-REPLY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	1
II.	PO’S CLAIM CONSTRUCTIONS ARE PROPER	1
A.	Petitioner’s Substantially Fluidly Sealed Arguments Fail.....	1
B.	The ‘172 Patent Distinguishes Between “Fluidly Sealed” Pumps and Other/Prior Art Pumps.....	4
C.	PO’s Reading of Guides and Stops Is Proper	8
D.	Petitioner’s Pressure Monitor Means (“PMM”) Constructions Are Wrong.....	10
III.	FOUNDATIONS 1 TO 13 DO NOT INVALIDATE THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS	11
A.	Neither Vrzalik nor Shafer Anticipate	11
i.	Vrzalik Does Not Disclose the Enclosure or Guides and Stops	11
ii.	Shafer Does Not Disclose a Substantially Fluidly Sealed Air Chamber	13
iii.	Shafer Does Not Disclose “Guides and Stops”	15
iv.	Shafer Does Not Disclose the PMM	15
v.	Petitioner Has Improperly Co-Mingled Embodiments	16
B.	The ‘172 Patent Is Not Obvious	16
i.	The Prior Art Is Not Analogous	16
C.	Petitioner’s Grounds Do Not Render the Challenged Claims Obvious	17
i.	Shafer in View of Grant Does Not Render Ground 2 Claims Obvious	17

ii.	Shafer in View of Kashiwamura Does Not Render Claim 2 Obvious	18
iii.	Shafer in View of Dye Does Not Render Claim 12 Obvious ..	18
iv.	Shafer in View of Cammack Does Not Render Claims 2, 12, 22 Obvious.....	19
a.	Cammack Does Not Disclose Guides and Stops, and There Is No Motivation to Combine	19
v.	Shafer in View of Ramacier Does Not Render Claim 4 Obvious	20
vi.	Petitioner’s Combination Grounds Fail.....	20
IV.	PETITIONER HAS FAILED TO MEET ITS BURDEN IN OPPOSING PO’S EVIDENCE OF SECONDARY CONSIDERATIONS	21
A.	PO Has Shown Nexus.....	21
B.	PO Has Shown Industry Praise	22
C.	PO Has Shown Copying	22
D.	PO Has Shown Commercial Success	24
V.	THE PETITION IS PROCEDURALLY IMPROPER.....	27
VI.	CONCLUSION	27

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Cases	
<i>Advanced Media Networks LLC v. Gogo LLC</i> , 2013 WL 12123237 (C.D. Cal. June 14, 2013)	12, 18
<i>In re Bigio</i> , 381 F.3d 1320 (Fed. Cir. 2004)	16
<i>C.R. Bard, Inc. v. M3 Systems, Inc.</i> , 157 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 1998)	17
<i>Chemors Co. FC, LLC v. Daikin Indus., Ltd.</i> , 2020 WL 402064 (PTAB Jan. 23, 2020)	11, 12
<i>Cont'l Can Co. USA v. Monsanto Co.</i> , 948 F.2d 1264 (Fed. Cir. 1991)	14
<i>Demaco Corp. v. F. Von Langsdorff Licensing Ltd.</i> , 851 F.2d 1387 (Fed. Cir. 1988)	26
<i>Howmedica Osteonics Corp. v. Zimmer, Inc.</i> 2008 WL 80403 (D.N.J. Jan. 2, 2008)	12
<i>Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd.</i> , 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	19
<i>Johnson & Johnston Assocs. Inc. v. R.E. Serv. Co.</i> , 285 F.3d 1046 (Fed. Cir. 2002)	4
<i>In re Natural Alternatives, LLC</i> , 659 F. App'x 608 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	21
<i>Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. Verisign, Inc.</i> , 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	16
<i>Pitney Bowes, Inc. v. Hewlett-Packard Co.</i> , 182 F.3d 1298 (Fed. Cir. 1999)	9

Case IPR2019-00514
Patent 5,904,172

RF Delaware, Inc. v. Pacific Keystone Tech., Inc.,
326 F.3d 1255 (Fed. Cir. 2003)10

Stumbo v. Eastman Outdoors, Inc.,
508 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2007)3

Vitronics Corp. v. Conceptronic, Inc.,
90 F.3d 1576 (Fed. Cir. 1996)9

Other Authorities

37 CFR § 42.104(b)(5).....11

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.