

EXHIBIT F

Patent Owner's Response and Notice of Supplemental
Evidence in Response to Petitioner's Objections to Patent
Owner's Evidence Dated November 6, 2019

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMERICAN NATIONAL MANUFACTURING INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION
f/k/a SELECT COMFORT CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2019-00514
Patent No. 5,904,172

**SUPPLEMENTAL DECLARATION OF ELIZABETH A. PATTON
IN SUPPORT OF PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE**

I, Elizabeth A. Patton, declare as follows:

1. I am a partner at the law firm Fox Rothschild LLP, and I am one of the attorneys representing Sleep Number Corporation f/k/a Select Comfort Corporation (herein “Sleep Number”) in the above matter initiated by American National Manufacturing, Inc. (“ANM”). I have been admitted *pro hac vice*.

2. I have first-hand knowledge of the information stated in this Supplemental Declaration, which I submit in support of Patent Owner’s Response.

3. I am a senior member of the team representing Patent Owner in the following IPR proceedings: IPR2019-00497 (U.S. Pat. No. 8,769,747), IPR2019-00500 (U.S. Pat. No. 9,737,154), and IPR2019-00514 (U.S. Pat. No. 5,904,172). I am also a senior member of the team representing Patent Owner in the following related district court cases (“District Court Case”): *Sleep Number Corporation v. American National Manufacturing Inc.*, 5:18-cv-00357(AB)(SPx) (C.D. Cal. 2018) and *Sleep Number Corporation v. Sizewise Rentals, LLC*, 5:18-cv-00356(AB)(SPx) (C.D. Cal. 2018).

4. Additionally, I am a senior member of the team representing Sleep Number Corporation in the following district court case involving ANM’s related company and Real-Party-in-Interest Dires, LLC (“Dires Case”): *Sleep Number Corporation, et al. v. John Baxter, et al.*, 12-cv-2899-DWF-SER (D. Minn. 2012), *on appeal, Sleep Number Corporation, et al. v. John Baxter, et al.*, 19-1077 (8th Cir.

2019). In September and October of 2017, a trial was conducted in the Dires Case (“Dires Trial”). I was a senior member of the trial team representing Sleep Number Corporation at the Dires Trial. During the Dires Trial, ANM President Craig S. Miller Jr. (*see* Exhibit 1047 ¶1), testified at length regarding facts related to Sleep Number’s claims in the litigation, including the false statements Sleep Number alleged Dires had made to consumers, many of which involved statements pertaining to Sleep Number in comparison to Dires/ANM. I was present for the entirety of Mr. Miller’s testimony. Notably, Dires is a Real-Party-in-Interest in this IPR proceeding.

5. The testimony elicited during the trial in the Dires Case was recorded stenographically and publicly filed on the docket as full page transcripts at Docket Entries 586–588. Exhibit 2059 is a true and correct copy of excerpts of Volumes VI–VIII of the Dires Case trial testimony in manuscript form, which includes all of Craig Miller’s trial testimony conducted on September 29, 2017, October 2, 2017, and October 3, 2017. Based on being present during the entirety of Mr. Miller’s testimony at the Dires Trial and my review of the transcripts filed in the Dires Case docket, I believe that his testimony was fully, accurately, and authentically recorded in the transcripts filed in the Dires Case docket. Exhibit W is a true and correct copy of excerpts (pages 1090–1094) of Volume V of the Dires Case trial testimony in manuscript form, which contains testimony of a witness named David Karr. I heard

all of this testimony, and I believe it to be accurately and authentically reflected in Exhibit W.

6. During the trial in the Dires Case, the parties entered thousands of exhibits into evidence. Although those exhibits were not publicly filed on the docket, they became publicly available at the conclusion of the trial when the parties did not request any confidentiality designations or restrictions from public access (with the exception of certain customer communications not being submitted in this proceeding). In addition, those exhibits were later provided to the Eighth Circuit Court of Appeals, again under no confidentiality designations or restrictions from public access (and again with the same exception).

7. Exhibit 2060 is a true and correct copy of Plaintiff's Exhibit 730 from the Dires Case trial, which are materials produced by Dires that include instructions from Craig Miller regarding training. Dires stipulated without objection to the admissibility of this Exhibit prior to the Dires Trial. During the Dires Trial, Mr. Miller testified about this trial exhibit, including providing foundation for the exhibit, and Dires did not object to Mr. Miller's knowledge nor did Mr. Miller suggest the exhibit was not authentic. (*See* Exhibit 2059 at 1332:3–1340:25 (Pltf. Ex. 730).) Further, this trial exhibit bears a Dires bates stamp. Exhibit 2061 is a true and correct copy of Defendant's Exhibits 212, 213, and 215 and Plaintiff's Exhibits 716 and 718 from the Dires Case trial, which are emails produced by Dires that

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.