

Transcript of Carl G. Degen

Date: January 8, 2020 **Case:** American National Manufacturing Inc. -v- Sleep Number Corporation, et al. (PTAB)

Planet Depos Phone: 888.433.3767 Email:: transcripts@planetdepos.com www.planetdepos.com

Μ

WORLDWIDE COURT REPORTING & LITIGATION TECHNOLOGY

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Transcript of Carl G. Degen Conducted on January 8, 2020

	1			3
1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	1	APPEARANCES:	
2		2	On Behalf of Petitioner:	
3	BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD	3	SPENCER FANE LLP 500 Granite Parkway	
4		4	Suite 650 Plano, TX 75024	
5	AMERICAN NATIONAL MANUFACTURING, INC.,	5	By: Mark Thornhill, Esq.	
6	Petitioner	6	On Behalf of Patent Owner:	
7	ν.	7	FOX ROTHSCHILD, LLP 222 South Ninth Street	
8	SLEEP NUMBER CORPORATION	8	Suite 2000 Minneapolis, MN 55402 By: Elizabeth Patton, Esq.	
9	f/k/a SELECT COMFORT CORPORATION,	10		
10	,	11		
11		12	Matthew Lynde (via telephone)	
		13		
12	Case IPR2019-00500, Patent No. 9,737,154	14		
13	, , ,	15		
14		16		
15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION	17		
16	OF	18		
17	CARL G. DEGEN	19		
18	January 8, 2020	20		
19		21		
20		22		
21				
22	Job No. 275013 Reported by: Amy L. Larson, RPR			
-				
	2			4
1	2	1	INDEX:	4
1		1	INDEX: EXAMINATION BY: PAGE	4
2	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on			4
2 3	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at	2	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE	4
2 3 4	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of	2	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill6, 186	4
2 3 4 5	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street,	2 3 4	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill6, 186 Ms. Patton173 EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: Exhibit 202766	4
2 3 4 5 6	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of	2 3 4 5	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 2 13	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION OF CARL G. DEGEN, taken on this 8th day of January, 2020, commencing at approximately 9:01 a.m., at the law offices of Fox Rothschild, LLP, 222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000, Minneapolis, Minnesota.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	EXAMINATION BY: PAGE Mr. Thornhill	4

PLANET DEPOS 888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

_						
1	5 P R O C E E D I N G S	7 1 Q. I'm Mark Thornhill representing				
2		2 American National Manufacturing. It's a				
3	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Here begins	3 pleasure to meet you.				
4	Disk Number 1 in the videotaped deposition					
5	of Carl G. Degen in the matter of					
6	American National Manufacturing, Inc. vs.	5 deposition you understand that you're sworn				
7	Sleep Number Corporation, before the	6 to tell the truth, and you do understand				
8	Patent Trial and Appeal Board, United States	7 that, don't you?				
9	Patent and Trademark Office, Case Numbers	8 A. Yes.				
10	IPR2019-00497, dash 00500 and dash 00514.	9 Q. Okay. You've given many depositions in the				
11	Today's date is January 8th, 2020. The time	10 course of your career, haven't you, sir?				
12	on the video monitor is 9:02 a.m.	11 A. Yes.				
13	The videographer today is	12 Q. Okay. So I'm assuming that you're familiar				
14	Jacob Arvold representing Planet Depos.	13 with the process. The only thing that I ask				
15	This video deposition is taking place at	14 of you is that if I ask a question that you				
16	222 South Ninth Street, Suite 2000,	15 don't understand, please ask me to restate it				
17	Minneapolis, Minnesota.	16 or to clarify it, because otherwise I'm going				
18	Would counsel please voice identify	17 to just accept that you do understand the				
19	themselves and state whom they represent.	18 question.				
20	MR. THORNHILL: For	19 Is that fair?				
21	American National Manufacturing,	20 A. Yes.				
22	Mark Thornhill of the Spencer Fane law firm.	21 Q. Good.				
		22 MR. THORNHILL: So let's just mark				
1	6 MS. PATTON: Elizabeth Patton from	⁸ 1 Mr. Degen's declaration as Exhibit 2030.				
2	Fox Rothschild representing the patent owner,	2 (Exhibit 2030 marked.)				
3	Sleep Number Corporation.	3 BY MR. THORNHILL:				
4	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: And also	4 Q. Mr. Degen, the court reporter has placed in				
5	appearing by phone?	5 front of you Exhibit 2030. Can you identify				
6	MR. THORNHILL: Is Matthew Lynde,					
7	L-Y-N-D-E, of Cornerstone Consulting.	6 that as the declaration that you submitted in				
8	THE VIDEOGRAPHER: The court	7 this case dated October 23, 2019?				
9	reporter today is Amy Larson representing	8 A. Yes, it appears to be a copy of that.				
10	Planet Depos.	9 Q. Right. And that represents your sworn				
11	Would the reporter please swear in	10 testimony as of that date; isn't that				
12	the witness.	11 correct?				
13		12 A. Yes.				
14	CARL G. DEGEN,	13 Q. In fact, the document has your signature at				
15	a witness in the above-entitled action,	14 the last page of the text, isn't that so?				
16	after having been first duly sworn, was	15 A. That's correct, on page 19.				
17	deposed and says as follows:	16 Q. Right. And just above it says that you made				
18		17 those statements under penalty of perjury?				
19	EXAMINATION	18A. Correct.				
20	BY MR. THORNHILL:	19 Q. Okay. And, sir, is it you understand that				
21	Q. Good morning, Mr. Degen.					
22	A. Good morning.					
		21 same controversy in this matter?				
		22 A. Yes.				
	PLANET DEPOS					
	999 422 2777 LUNING DI ANIETDEDOG COM					

888.433.3767 | WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM

DOCKET

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

Transcript of Carl G. Degen Conducted on January 8, 2020

	January 0, 2020			
 9 1 Q. And this sworn testimony was submitted for 2 all three all three proceedings, you did 3 not make a separate declaration for or a 4 different declaration for any of the three 5 proceedings? 6 A. I believe for one of the proceedings some of 7 the exhibit number references were changed, 8 but the exhibits are exactly the same, and 9 9 10 Q. Okay. The declaration, sir, Exhibit 2030, 11 includes a copy of your resume at Appendix A. 	1 experience? 2 A. Correct. 3 Q. You've been a professional economist for how 4 many years approximately, 30, 40? 5 A. Forty-two. 6 Q. So is it okay with you then if we discuss 7 this generally in terms using the word 8 "commercial success" rather than going 9 through the words about secondary indicia, 10 et cetera? 11A. Yes.			
12 Do you see that?	12 Q. Commercial success is a fair way to describe			
13 A. Yes.	13 the basic analysis that you were conducting?			
14 Q. And then immediately following the resume	14 A. It's – it's broader than the analysis I've			
15 there's a page titled, Deposition and Trial	15 conducted. I've looked at two specific			
16 Testimony.	16 analyses that fall under the rubric of			
17 Do you see that?	17 commercial success.			
18 A. Yes.	18 Q. Fine. And one of those is whether there is			
19 Q. Is the resume still accurate as of today?	19 demand for the patented technologies; is that			
20 A. Yes.	20 correct?			
21 Q. Is the statement of deposition and trial	21 A. Yes.			
22 testimony accurate as of today?	22 Q. And another and the second of those			
 1 A. Yes. 2 Q. Sir, in this case and through your sworn testimony presented in Exhibit 2030, you talk about secondary indicia of nonobviousness, is that so? 6 A. I think – yes, secondary indicia or sometimes called secondary consideration, yes. 9 Q. Okay. And in particular, you're talking here about the concept of commercial success? 11 A. Specifically, yes. 12 Q. And just for reference purposes, I was looking at paragraph 8 of your declaration. 14 That may be where you were looking. 15 A. Yes, it is. 16 Q. Okay. And you explain in paragraph 8, 17 "Commercial success relates to economic 18 considerations regarding the products at issue"; is that correct? 20 A. I explain that that's my understanding, yes. 21 Q. Okay. All right. And that's your 22 understanding developed during your years of 	 analyses that you've prepared that you've conducted, is the adoption rate of the patented technologies; is that correct? A. Yes. Q. Now, sir, in cases previous to the case that we're on here today, have you provided sworn testimony in other patent cases on the general topic of commercial success? A. Commercial success comes under several of the factors in Georgia-Pacific, so I would say in a - in a large number of cases where I've given royalty opinions, commercial success, including demand and adoption, would have been included in my analyses, or at least considerations within my analyses. Q. In any other patent cases have you opined about demand for patented technology? A. Yes, I've looked at the sales of products embodying the patented technology relative to those that don't and how those have changed over time. Q. How would you compare and contrast those 			
	T DEPOS			
888.433.3767 WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM				

Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

DOCKET

LARM

Α

Transcript of Carl G. Degen Conducted on January 8, 2020

	<i>vanaary</i> 0, 2020			
 previous testimonies about demand for patented patented technologies from the undertaking that you have made in this case? 	 been testimony that it's widespread or that it's a hundred percent, so there was no need to do calculations. 			
4 A. I would say they're very similar.	4 Q. I see. And is that also so now I want to			
5 Q. Have you opined in patent cases before about	5 move to the adoption rate issue.			
6 adoption rate of patented technologies?	6 A. Yes.			
7 A. Yes.	7 Q. And, again, I'm just asking because you used			
8 Q. And were those also in the context of royalty	8 the word "considered," I'm trying to			
9 cases?	9 understand what you really mean here.			
10 A. Yes, I believe one of the Georgia-Pacific	10 So to be clear, what I'm asking is			
11 factors directs me to consider the extent of	11 whether in any previous patent cases you have			
12 use. And in a number of those cases I've	12 given sworn testimony regarding your			
13 looked at how the accused technology was	13 calculations of adoption rates of patented			
14 adopted throughout the product line.	14 technologies?			
15 Q. And in those other cases, have you did	15 A. Yes. So I'm – I'm a little confused. In			
16 your sworn testimony include calculations of	16 terms of previous patent cases, I have			
17 demand on units sold caused by the patented	17 testified in a previous IPR case involving			
18 technologies?	18 patents that included explicit calculations			
19 A. Yes.	19 of demand and adoption –			
20 Q. And did they include opinions and	20 Q. Okay.			
21 calculations about the adoption rate of	21 A. – and other things.			
22 patented technologies?	22 Beyond that, in cases involving a			
14	16			
1 A. I'm sure many of them have looked at the rate	1 reasonable royalty calculation, I have also			
2 of adoption. I don't know whether I've	2 calculated demand and adoption rates and			
3 always calculated the – calculated the	3 considered them in many more.			
4 adoption rate in explicit percentage terms,	4 Q. Okay. Well, let's talk about the IPR case,			
5 but the – how widespread it was over time,	5 okay?			
6 how it changed over time was certainly	6 A. Okay.			
7 considered in many of the cases I've	7 Q. That would be a case then before the PTAB?			
8 testified in.	8 A. Yes.			
9 Q. You are you used the word	9 Q. Okay. And can you tell me the the parties			
10 "considered," and I just want to	10 to that case?			
11 A. Yeah.	11 A. Yes, it's the fourth case listed on the			
12 Q make sure that we're using the same	12 page 24 of Exhibit 2030, Polygroup Limited.			
13 vernacular here.	13 Q. Oh, Polygroup Limited, okay.			
14 I'm asking whether you have given	14 A. Versus Willis Electric Company.			
15 sworn testimony which specifically relates to	15 Q. Is that the only PTAB case in which you have			
16 calculations of demand for patented	16 given sworn testimony?			
17 technology in a patent case and	17 A. As far as I can remember, yes.			
18 is is that yes or no?	18 Q. In the Polygroup case, were you providing			
19 A. I believe it's yes. I've testified in a lot	19 expert opinions on behalf of			
20 of cases, and I'm hard-pressed to identify a	20 Willis Electric?			
21 particular one. But certainly in some cases	21 A. Yes.			
22 I've calculated it. In other cases there's	22 Q. And was this a challenge who was the			
PLANET DEPOS				
888.433.3767 WWW.PLANETDEPOS.COM				

A L A R M Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

DOCKET

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.