UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE						
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD						
APPLE INC., LG ELECTRONICS INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO.,						
LTD., AND SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC. Petitioners						
returners						
v.						
UNILOC 2017 LLC						
Patent Owner						
IPR2019-00510						
PATENT 6,868,079						

PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE TO PETITION PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.107(a)



Table of Contents

I.	INTRODUCTION					
II.	THE '079 PATENT1					
III.	RELATED PROCEEDINGS					
IV.		THE PETITION IMPROPERLY REDUDANTLY CHALLENGES THE CLAIMS AT ISSUE4				
V.	PETITIONER DOES NOT PROVE A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF UNPATENTABILITY FOR ANY CHALLENGED CLAIM					
	A.	LEV	EL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	7		
	B.	CLA	IM CONSTRUCTION	7		
		1.	"means for re-transmitting the same respective requests in consecutive allocated time slots without waiting for an acknowledgement until said acknowledgement is received from the primary station"	7		
		2.	"acknowledgment"	8		
		3.	"means for allocating respective time slots in an uplink channel to the plurality of respective secondary stations to transmit respective requests for services to the primary station to establish required services"	9		
	C. The Petition and Cited References Does Not Disclose "wherein the [at least one of the plurality of] respective secondary stations [have means for] [retransmits/retransmitting] the same respective request[s] in consecutive allocated time slots without waiting for an acknowledgement until said acknowledgement is					



		received from the primary station" (Claims 17 and 18) (Grounds 1 and 2)9				
	1.	Petitioners improperly speculate through its declarant regarding Wolfe, and regardless, Petitioners fail to even allege Wolfe discloses the required claim language	10			
	2.	Bousquet does not disclose the required claim language, instead Bousquet limits the retransmission to a "predefined time period" and "spaced in time, preferably at random"	11			
	3.	Everett does not disclose the required claim language, instead Everett retransmits "after a randomly selected time interval"	12			
	4.	No combination of Wolfe, Bousquet, or Everett discloses "wherein the [at least one of the plurality of] respective secondary stations [have means for] [retransmits/re-transmitting] the same respective request[s] in <i>consecutive</i> allocated time slots without waiting for an acknowledgement until said acknowledgement is received from the primary station".	14			
D.	prima service plura wheth reque secon	The Petition Fails to Render Obvious "wherein the primary station determines whether a request for ervices has been transmitted by the at least one of the plurality of respective secondary stations by determining whether a signal strength of the respective transmitted equest of the at least one of the plurality of respective econdary stations exceeds a threshold value." (Claims 7 and 18) (Grounds 1 and 2)				
	1.	A POSITA Would Not Combine Patsiokas with Wolfe and Bousquet, or with Wolfe, Bousquet, and Everett	16			
		STITUTIONALITY OF <i>INTER PARTES</i> REVIEW	21			



VI.

	IPR2019-00510
	U.S. Patent 6,868,079
CONCLUSION	21



VII.

I. INTRODUCTION

Uniloc 2017 LLC (the "Uniloc" or "Patent Owner") submits this Preliminary Response to Petition IPR2019-00510 for *Inter Partes* Review ("Pet." or "Petition") of United States Patent No. 6,868,079 ("the '079 patent" or "EX1001") filed by Apple Inc., LG Electronics Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Electronics America, Inc. ("Petitioners"). The instant Petition is procedurally and substantively defective for at least the reasons set forth herein.

II. THE '079 PATENT

The '079 patent is titled "Radio communication system with request retransmission until acknowledged." The '079 patent issued March 15, 2005, from U.S. Patent Application No. 09/455,124 filed December 6, 1999, which claims priority to United Kingdom Patent Application No. GB9827182, filed December 10, 1998.

The inventors of the '079 patent observed that in radio communication systems at the time, it was generally required to be able to exchange signaling messages between a Mobile Station (MS) and a Base Station (BS). Downlink signaling (from BS to MS) was usually realized by using a physical broadcast channel of the BS to address any MS in its coverage area. Since only one transmitter (the BS) uses this broadcast channel there is no access problem. EX1001, 1:17-23.

However, uplink signaling (from MS to BS) required more detailed considerations. If the MS already had an uplink channel assigned to it, for voice or data services, this signaling could be achieved by piggybacking, in which the signaling messages are attached to data packets being sent from the MS to the BS.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

