Paper # 17 Entered: May 11, 2020

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC., Petitioner,

v.
UNILOC 2017 LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00510 Patent 6,868,079 B1

Record of Remote Oral Hearing Held Virtually: Thursday, April 23, 2020

BEFORE: SALLY C. MEDLEY, JEFFREY S. SMITH, and GARTH D. BAER, ADMINISTRATIVE PATENT JUDGES



### APPEARANCES

### ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER APPLE, INC.:

W. KARL RENNER, ESQUIRE JEREMY J. MONALDO, ESQUIRE FISH & RICHARDSON, P.C. 1000 Maine Avenue SW Washington, D.C. 20024 202.783.5070 renner@fr.com monaldo@fr.com

### ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER UNILOC 2017 LLC:

BRETT MANGRUM, ESQUIRE ETHERIDGE LAW GROUP 2600 East Southlake Boulevard Suite 120 Southlake, Texas, 76092 469.401.2659 brett@etheridgelaw.com

ALSO PRESENT: MATT CLEMENTS, APPLE JULIE HAN

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, April 23, 2020, commencing at 2:54 p.m. EST, by video/by telephone.



### Case IPR2019-00510 Patent 6,868,079 B1

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	JUDGE MEDLEY: This is the hearing for
3	IPR 2019-000510 involving U.S. Patent No. 6868079.
4	At this time we'd like the parties to please
5	introduce counsel for the record, as this is going
6	to be a separate transcript from the first hearing
7	we just heard, beginning with Petitioner, please.
8	MR. RENNER: Your Honor, this is
9	Karl Renner, I'm on the record here with
10	Jeremy Monaldo, and joining us as well are
11	Matt Clements, from Apple, as well as Julie Han,
12	from Samsung.
13	JUDGE MEDLEY: Great. Thank you so much.
14	And for Patent Owner, for the record?
15	MR. MANGRUM: Good afternoon, Your Honors
16	again. This is Brett Mangrum, counsel for Patent
17	Owner. I will be speaking solely today for Patent
18	Owner.
19	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. Thank you.
20	Each party has 45 minutes total time to
21	present arguments. Petitioner, you, obviously,
22	will proceed first, and you may reserve some of
23	your time to respond to arguments presented by
24	Patent Owner, and thereafter, Patent Owner, you
2.5	can respond to Petitioner's presentation and may



### Case IPR2019-00510 Patent 6,868,079 B1

1	reserve argument time for sur-rebuttal.
2	Petitioner, do you wish to reserve time?
3	MR. RENNER: Yes, Your Honor. We will
4	reserve 15 minutes, please.
5	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay.
6	And Patent Owner?
7	MR. MANGRUM: Yes, I'd like to reserve
8	10 minutes, please.
9	JUDGE MEDLEY: Okay. And if you'll,
10	please, just be cognizant of your own time. I
11	won't stop you or interrupt you when you're
12	presenting.
13	So Petitioner, you may begin when you're
14	ready.
15	MR. RENNER: Thank you, Your Honor.
16	Jeremy is going to begin our presentation.
17	So Jeremy, please take it away.
18	MR. MONALDO: Thank you, Karl, and thank
19	you again, Your Honors, for taking the time to be
20	with us here today for the virtual hearing. In my
21	view and understanding and in combination of
22	references set forth in the petition is really key
23	to resolving this IPR. So unless Your Honors have
24	any questions at the outset, I thought it would be
25	productive to start with a discussion of the



25

### Case IPR2019-00510 Patent 6,868,079 B1

1	combination of the Wolfe and Bousquet reference
2	JUDGE MEDLEY: I would like to ask a
3	question about claim 18, before we get started.
4	I'm under the impression that there was a recent
5	federal circuit decision on claim 18, and in that
6	decision, they affirm the district court's
7	determination that claim 18 is indefinite.
8	So my question is: What impact, if any,
9	does that determination have on this proceeding?
10	MR. MONALDO: I think, Your Honor, that's
11	a great question, and I think that is correct that
12	claim 18 has been found indefinite by the federal
13	circuit. In terms of its impact on this
14	proceeding, I think there is still opportunities
15	for that decision to be appealed further, but for
16	a large matter, it really renders a lot of
17	discussion on that claim unnecessary. But what I
18	would say is that, in these types of proceedings,
19	the federal circuit has informed us and told us
20	and this is a case that came, actually, after our
21	Petitioner reply was submitted, the Samsung v
22	Prisua Engineering Corporation case, that
23	indefinite claims can still be reviewed for
24	presentation and obviousness. But I don't want to
25	spend a lot of time on claim 18, given the current



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

