

Patent No. 9,737,154  
Declaration in Support of Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

American National Manufacturing Inc.,  
Petitioner

v.

Select Comfort Corporation,  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR: Unassigned

---

**DECLARATION OF DR. JOSHUA PHINNEY IN SUPPORT  
OF *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 9,737,154**

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

### Table of Contents

<b>I. Introduction.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>II. Qualifications.....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>III. Summary of Opinions .....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>IV. Level of ordinary skill.....</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>V. Claim Construction.....</b>	<b>7</b>
<b>VI. LEGAL PRINCIPLES .....</b>	<b>12</b>
A. <i>Anticipation</i> .....	12
B. <i>Obviousness</i> .....	13
<b>VII. Background and Overview.....</b>	<b>17</b>
A. <i>Background on the Method for Adjusting Pressure In an Air Bed</i> .....	18
1. Construction .....	18
2. Pneumatic Impedance .....	22
3. Hunting .....	24
4. Compensation.....	25
5. Controller Implementations .....	29
6. Adaptive compensation .....	31
B. <i>Overview of the '154 patent</i> .....	34
7. Prosecution History .....	40
<b>VIII. The Claimed subject matter of the '154 Patent is Disclosed by the Prior Art 44</b>	
A. <i>Claims 1-19 are Rendered Obvious by Gifft in view of Mittal</i> .....	45
1. Overview of Gifft .....	45
2. Overview of Mittal .....	45
3. Overview of Pillsbury .....	49
4. Motivation to combine Gifft, Mittal, and Pillsbury .....	52
B. <i>Claims 1, 4-6, 10, and 12-13 are Rendered Obvious by Gifft in view of Mittal, Pillsbury, and Ebel</i> .....	98
5. Overview of Ebel.....	98
1. Motivation to combine Gifft, Mittal, Pillsbury, and Ebel .....	102
<b>IX. Conclusion .....</b>	<b>105</b>

I, Joshua Phinney, declare as follows:

**I. INTRODUCTION**

1. I am a Principal Engineer in the Electrical Engineering and Computer Science practice at Exponent, an engineering and scientific consulting firm headquartered at 149 Commonwealth Drive, Menlo Park, California 94025.

2. I have been retained as an independent expert consultant in this proceeding before the United States Patent and Trademark Office (the “Patent Office”). I am a salaried employee of Exponent. Exponent charges an hourly rate of \$495 plus expenses for my work performed in connection with this case. My compensation is not dependent on the opinions I render or the outcome of this proceeding.

3. I understand that this proceeding involves U.S. Patent No. 9,737,154 (“the ’154 patent”). I have been asked to consider whether certain references disclose or suggest certain features recited in the claims of the ’154 patent. The references I considered are listed in the Appendix to my Declaration, and for convenience I refer to these references using the short names listed in the Appendix. It is my opinion that the references discussed below collectively disclose or suggest all of the limitations recited in claims 1–22 of the ’154 patent.

4. I have been informed that the ’154 Patent, filed as U.S. Patent Application No. 14/283,675 on May 21, 2014, is a continuation of Application No.

12/936,084, filed on April 4, 2008. The '084 Application is the U.S. National Stage Application of International PCT Application No. PCT/US2008/059409, which was filed on April 4, 2008. I have been informed that the '154 patent is assigned to respondent Select Comfort Corporation ("Select Comfort"), and the respondent has contended that the inventions disclosed in the '154 Patent were conceived at least as early as June 29, 2007.

## **II. QUALIFICATIONS**

5. I received a Ph.D. in Electrical Engineering from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology ("MIT") in 2005. I also earned S.M. and B.S. degrees in Electrical Engineering from MIT and the University of Illinois, Chicago ("UIC"), respectively. While at MIT, I worked on the Laser Interferometric Gravitational Wave Observatory (LIGO) experiment, where I designed and tested hydraulic systems for outer-stage seismic isolation of the experimental apparatus. My job responsibilities included the design and testing of a hydraulic manifold and pressure control system, as well as the selection and testing of the pump, motor drive, pressure transducers, and hydraulic spool valves that were components of the pressure control system.

6. After earning my Ph.D., I joined Exponent and have led technical investigations pertaining to portable electronic devices, microcomputers, and electromechanical devices with embedded controllers. My job functions include

analyzing hardware and software of these devices to understand their modes of failure, and testifying regarding these devices in legal matters involving patents and trade secrets.

7. I have testified regarding the software-defined features, internal circuitry, and physical embodiments of electronic equipment.

8. Regarding software, I have reviewed C++, Java, and machine language code for purposes of patent infringement and trade secret misappropriation. I have testified regarding microcomputer software for instrument control as well as embedded software for the control of machines, computer peripherals, tablets, cell phones, and other battery-operated equipment.

9. Regarding electronics, I have testified regarding power electronics in microcomputers, peripherals, machine controllers, and consumer electronics including tablets, cell phones, and portable media players. In addition, I have testified regarding control circuitry and compensation of motion controllers, furnace controllers, pump controllers, voltage regulators, and switched-mode power converters.

10. Regarding the mechanical elements of electronic equipment, I have testified regarding buttons and touch interfaces, connectors, linear and rotary actuators, position-measuring devices, and the design and construction of modular housings for computerized equipment and peripherals. In particular, I have

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.