From:Patton, Elizabeth A. <epatton@foxrothschild.com>Sent:Monday, October 14, 2019 10:18 AMTo:Elliott, Kyle L.; Tuttle, Kevin; Bear, Brian; Hare, JaspalCc:Toft, Lukas; Nath, Archana; Allee, J. LoriSubject:RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)

Kyle,

We accept your revisions and will send this email shortly to the Board.

Liz

Elizabeth Patton Partner Fox Rothschild LLP Campbell Mithun Tower - Suite 2000

222 South Ninth St. Minneapolis, MN 55402-3338 (612) 607-7202 - direct (612) 607-7100- fax <u>epatton@foxrothschild.com</u> www.foxrothschild.com

From: Elliott, Kyle L. <KElliott@spencerfane.com>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 10:07 AM
To: Patton, Elizabeth A. <epatton@foxrothschild.com>; Tuttle, Kevin <ktuttle@spencerfane.com>; Bear, Brian <bbear@spencerfane.com>; Hare, Jaspal <jhare@spencerfane.com>
Cc: Toft, Lukas <ltoft@foxrothschild.com>; Nath, Archana <anath@foxrothschild.com>; Allee, J. Lori <JAllee@spencerfane.com>; Curti, Jennifer L. <jcurti@foxrothschild.com>
Subject: [EXT] RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)

Hello Liz:

Here are the suggested revisions. Please let me know if you have any issues/questions. ~kle

Dear Board,

DOCKE.

Patent Owner respectfully requests a call with the Board to discuss its request for leave to file a motion for limited additional discovery solely for the purpose of allowing Patent Owner to use what Petitioner has represented is thirdparty owned source code in the course of these IPR proceedings. <u>Petitioner represents that this source code is owned</u> by multiple third parties. Patent Owner has conferred with Petitioner, which <u>opposes</u> this request, and the Parties are available at the following dates and times (in Eastern), if the Board believes a call is necessary:

Monday, October 14: <u>1pm</u> to 5pm ET Tuesday, October 15: 9am to 5pm ET <u>I'm available 9:30am-11am and noon-2pm ET</u> <u>Wednesday, October 16: 9:30am to 5pm ET</u>

Regards, Liz Patton

Kyle Elliott Attorney at Law Spencer Fane LLP

1000 Walnut, Suite 1400 | Kansas City, MO 64106 **O** 816.292.8150 **M** 816.506.4628 kelliott@spencerfane.com | spencerfane.com

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission constitutes confidential attorney work-product and may contain attorneyclient privileged communication. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by Reply e-mail or by calling (816)474-8100, so that our address records can be corrected.

From: Patton, Elizabeth A. <<u>epatton@foxrothschild.com</u>>
Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 9:22 AM
To: Elliott, Kyle L. <<u>KElliott@spencerfane.com</u>>; Tuttle, Kevin <<u>ktuttle@spencerfane.com</u>>; Bear, Brian<<<u>bbear@spencerfane.com</u>>; Hare, Jaspal <<u>jhare@spencerfane.com</u>>
Cc: L Toft <<u>Itoft@foxrothschild.com</u>>; A Nath <<u>anath@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Allee, J. Lori <<u>JAllee@spencerfane.com</u>>; Curti, Jennifer L. <<u>jcurti@foxrothschild.com</u>>
Subject: RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)

Kyle,

I can confirm we will not intentionally mine for/review metadata as described below. Attached are instructions for using our secure file transfer site. Shortly you'll be receiving a link to upload documents from our paralegal, Jen Curti. Please zip the files before you load them.

Lastly, please respond as soon as possible to my draft email to the Board that I sent on Friday at 12:40pm (reflected below). As you know, this is a time sensitive matter.

Liz

Elizabeth Patton Partner Fox Rothschild LLP Campbell Mithun Tower - Suite 2000 222 South Ninth St. Minneapolis, MN 55402-3338 (612) 607-7202 - direct (612) 607-7100- fax epatton@foxrothschild.com www.foxrothschild.com

From: Elliott, Kyle L. <<u>KElliott@spencerfane.com</u>> Sent: Monday, October 14, 2019 8:37 AM



To: Patton, Elizabeth A. <<u>epatton@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Tuttle, Kevin <<u>ktuttle@spencerfane.com</u>>; Bear, Brian <<u>bbear@spencerfane.com</u>>; Hare, Jaspal <<u>jhare@spencerfane.com</u>>
Cc: Toft, Lukas <<u>ltoft@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Nath, Archana <<u>anath@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Allee, J. Lori <<u>JAllee@spencerfane.com</u>>
Subject: [EXT] RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)

Good Morning Liz:

We have been working on and reviewing the production data over the weekend, and I will respond to your e-mail below in full later today. Regarding the production, the volume of data is quite large. Thus, we will need to produce this in native format; it is not feasible to pdf the spreadsheets. We will attempt to pull out metadata, but we also need your assurances that you will not mine the document for metadata.

Because these are spreadsheets, we should reach a consensus on what is metadata. To that end, normal non-visible, operational items, such as pivot tables and formulas, etc. would **not** be considered metadata. Creation dates, revisions, operators, and other non-operational items would be considered metadata. Please let me know if this is an agreement we can reach.

Also, we will be providing two spreadsheets totaling over 50MBs, so please let me know if it is possible for you to set up a portal for us to load the files.

Regards, Kyle

Kyle Elliott Attorney at Law Spencer Fane LLP

1000 Walnut, Suite 1400 | Kansas City, MO 64106 O 816.292.8150 M 816.506.4628 kelliott@spencerfane.com | spencerfane.com

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission constitutes confidential attorney work-product and may contain attorneyclient privileged communication. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by Reply e-mail or by calling (816)474-8100, so that our address records can be corrected. ~kle

From: Patton, Elizabeth A. <<u>epatton@foxrothschild.com</u>>
Sent: Saturday, October 12, 2019 3:52 PM
To: Elliott, Kyle L. <<u>KElliott@spencerfane.com</u>>; Tuttle, Kevin <<u>ktuttle@spencerfane.com</u>>; Bear, Brian
<<u>bbear@spencerfane.com</u>>; Hare, Jaspal <<u>jhare@spencerfane.com</u>>
Cc: L Toft <<u>ltoft@foxrothschild.com</u>>; A Nath <<u>anath@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Allee, J. Lori <<u>JAllee@spencerfane.com</u>>
Subject: RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)

Kyle,

DOCKE

I revised the PO to reflect Providence instead of Arco as owning the Versions 1.8, 1.97, and 2.0 source code and exempting the Platinum code from needing to be redacted. I also prepared a Stipulation seeking entry of a PO, with indication that the draft PO and a redline against the Default PO will be attached (as we understand is required). Please

send any additional comments by Monday morning at 11am CT so that this can be filed prior to Petitioner's production, which we've agreed will be completed by COB.

Regarding your proposal to allow use of only the Platinum code, while we appreciate your offer of this limited compromise, we believe it is still insufficient. Patent Owner's request to use all third party code should not be disputed because we have agreed to the same PO provisions that is keeping the source code confidential in the underlying district court cases. As indicated earlier, we will be asking the Board to allow use of all of the third party source code. If Petitioner will not agree, please provide any insertions or revisions to my draft email to the Board by Monday morning at 9am CT.

Lastly, I disagree that Patent Owner will be required to expunge Petitioner or Sizewise's confidential information in the event the Board denies the motion to seal or will not enter the modified PO. As you know, the Default PO language (which our Stipulated PO reflects at paragraph 10(i)) states that a submission is treated confidential and remains under seal "unless, upon motion of a party and after a hearing on the issue, or *sua sponte*, the Board determines that the documents or information do not to qualify for confidential treatment." In other words, the Board has the power to determine whether something you've designated is truly confidential and should remain under seal.

I understand your position that data requested by Patent Owner's discovery requests in IPR2019-00514 does not exist prior to 2004. Patent Owner reserves all rights on this issue.

Liz

Elizabeth Patton Partner Fox Rothschild LLP Campbell Mithun Tower - Suite 2000 222 South Ninth St. Minneapolis, MN 55402-3338 (612) 607-7202 - direct (612) 607-7100- fax epatton@foxrothschild.com www.foxrothschild.com

From: Elliott, Kyle L. <<u>KElliott@spencerfane.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 4:19 PM
To: Patton, Elizabeth A. <<u>epatton@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Tuttle, Kevin <<u>ktuttle@spencerfane.com</u>>; Bear, Brian
<<u>bbear@spencerfane.com</u>>; Hare, Jaspal <<u>jhare@spencerfane.com</u>>
Cc: Toft, Lukas <<u>ltoft@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Nath, Archana <<u>anath@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Allee, J. Lori
<<u>JAllee@spencerfane.com</u>>
Subject: [EXT] RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)

Liz,

I have reviewed the PO revisions, and I have no objections to the revisions with the exception that we need to change ARCO to Providence. Regarding what we are referring to as the Platinum Code (as opposed to the Medisphere Code), we have confirmed that ownership/copyright to that code still resides with third party vendor Elsyn under 17 USC 204. However, according to "Platinum Upgrade Project" proposals provided by Elsyn, it is the intent of the parties (Elsyn and Sizewise) that the copyright/ownership would be assigned. Thus, that assignment, when executed, would be to

overall dispute regarding the use of source code without bothering the Board or District Court, we would be willing to permit the use of Platinum Code lines and variables as set forth in your infringement contentions if you agree to redact all Medishpere and Providence code.

The redaction of the Medisphere and Providence code is consistent with Judge Pym's order, which referenced redaction of third party software generally (not Medisphere specifically). The fact that this source code needs to be redacted should come as no surprise to SN. First, SN, and not American National, proposed the redaction of third party software as a means of satisfying the constitutional issues of notice and right to be heard raised in the briefing before the District Court. In fact, we still think it is prejudicial to American National. Second, we disclosed with particularity to SN that the source code at issue was almost entirely from third parties, and this was disclosed to SN months prior to the stay being enacted -- the document we have been referencing ANMI133414-133422 was created and produced in response to the interrogatories that SN served in the district court, and that document clearly shows the consumer source code was from ARCO and Providence, not ANM or Sizewise.

Looking to housekeeping issues, the Platinum code and any other source code ultimately used, and any confidential information in Patent Owner's filing, must be submitted with a motion to seal. If the motion to seal is not granted, or if the Board does not enter a protective order in these IPRs as agreed by the parties, Patent Owner must immediately file a motion to expunge the confidential information of Petitioner in Patent Owner's filings from the record prior to the information becoming public.

Shifting to the production dates issue. American National data being produced goes back to 2004 with some prior data provided in a document produced in the acquisition of Nautilus. Other data prior to this, is in a retired Peachtree 2000 ERP accounting system, and prior, multiple attempts to access this data have failed.

Please let me know if there are any further unresolved issues for the day.

Regards, Kyle

Kyle Elliott Attorney at Law Spencer Fane LLP

1000 Walnut, Suite 1400 | Kansas City, MO 64106 O 816.292.8150 M 816.506.4628 kelliott@spencerfane.com | spencerfane.com

NOTICE: This electronic mail transmission constitutes confidential attorney work-product and may contain attorneyclient privileged communication. It is not intended for transmission to, or receipt by, any unauthorized persons. If you have received this electronic mail transmission in error, please delete it from your system without copying it, and notify the sender by Reply e-mail or by calling (816)474-8100, so that our address records can be corrected. ~kle

From: Patton, Elizabeth A. <<u>epatton@foxrothschild.com</u>>
Sent: Friday, October 11, 2019 12:40 PM
To: Elliott, Kyle L. <<u>KElliott@spencerfane.com</u>>; Tuttle, Kevin <<u>ktuttle@spencerfane.com</u>>; Bear, Brian
<<u>bbear@spencerfane.com</u>>; Hare, Jaspal <<u>jhare@spencerfane.com</u>>
Cc: L Toft <<u>ltoft@foxrothschild.com</u>>; A Nath <<u>anath@foxrothschild.com</u>>; Allee, J. Lori <<u>JAllee@spencerfane.com</u>>
Subject: RE: IPR2019-514, -500, and -497 (ANM v. Sleep Number)



DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.