UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ZTE (USA), INC., HTC CORPORATION, and HTC AMERICA, INC.,

Petitioners,

v.

AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT LLC,

Patent Owner.

Patent No. 8,213,970 Filing Date: November 26, 2008 Issue Date: July 3, 2012

Inventor: Malcolm K. Beyer
Title: METHOD OF UTILIZING FORCED ALERTS FOR
INTERACTIVE REMOTE COMMUNICATIONS

PATENT OWNER'S PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

Case No. IPR2019-00485



TABLE OF CONTENTS

				Page(s)		
I.	INTE	INTRODUCTION				
II.		E JOINDER PETITION IS BARRED UNDER 35 U.S.C. 15(A) WITH RESPECT TO ZTE				
III.	PETITIONERS HAVE NOT DEMONSTRATED A REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD OF SUCCESS FOR THE GROUNDS ADVANCED IN THE JOINDER PETITION, AND THE JOINDER PETITION SHOULD BE DENIED					
	A.	_	irements for Showing Obviousness Under 35 U.S.C.	6		
	B. Kubala Does Not Disclose Automatic Acknowledgment		9			
		1.	Petitioner relies on <u>Kubala alone</u> to teach the claimed <i>automatic acknowledgment</i> in claim limitation 1.5	9		
		2.	The Joinder Petition does not specify any element in Kubala that teaches the <i>automatic acknowledgment</i>	10		
		3.	The Joinder Petition does not allege as obvious the automatic acknowledgment	11		
IV.	THE GENERAL PLASTIC FACTORS FAVOR DENIAL OF INSTITUTION					
	A.	The J	Joinder Petition Is A Serial Petition	16		
	В.		General Plastic Factors Favor Denial of This Joinder on	16		
17	CON	CONCLUSION 20				



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Page	s)
Cases	
Abiomed v. Maquest Cardiovascular, Case No. IPR2017-01204 (P.T.A.B., October 23, 2017)1	2
Apple, Inc. v. Contentguard Holdings, Inc., Case No. IPR2015-00355 (P.T.A.B. June 26, 2015)	.6
Application of Arkley, 455 F.2d. 586, 587-88 (C.C.P.A. 1972)	2
Broadcom Corp. v. Emulex Corp., 732 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	.7
Cisco Systems v. Chrimar Systems, Case No. IPR2018-01511 (P.T.A.B. Jan. 31, 2019)	.5
Click-To-Call Tech., LP v. Ingenio, Inc., 899 F.3d 1321 (Fed. Cir. 2018)	.5
Colas Solutions v. Blacklidge Emulsions, Case No. IPR2018-00243 (P.T.A.B. Feb. 27, 2018)	.5
Gen. Plastic Indus. Co., Ltd. v. Canon Kabushiki Kaisha, Case No. IPR2016-01357 (P.T.A.B. Sept. 6, 2017)3, 1	9
Google LLC v. AGIS Software Development LLC, Case No. IPR2018-01079 (P.T.A.B. Nov. 20, 2018)	.1
Graham v. John Deere Co., 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	.6
Innogenetics, N.V. v. Abbott Labs., 512 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	.8
Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., 821 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	.7
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	14



Los Angeles Biomedical Research Inst. at Harbor-UCLA Med. Ctr. v. Eli Lilly & Co., 849 F.3d 1049 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	7
Net MoneyIN, Inc. v. VeriSign, Inc., 545 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	12
Netapp, Inc. v. Realtime Data LLC, Case No. IPR2017-01195 (P.T.A.B. Oct. 12, 2017)	17
Pers. Web Techs., LLC v. Apple, Inc., 848 F.3d 987 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	7
In re Stepan Co., 868 F.3d 1342 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	13
Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp., 713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	6
<i>In re Van Os</i> , 844 F.3d 1359 (Fed. Cir. 2017)	8
ZTE (USA) Inc. v. AGIS Software Development LLC, et al., 4:18-cv-06185-HSG (N.D. Cal. Oct. 9, 2018)	2, 4
Statutes	
35 U.S.C. § 103	6
35 U.S.C. § 314(a)	16
35 U.S.C. § 315	.passim
35 U.S.C. § 316(a)(11)	18
Other Authorities	
37 C.F.R. § 42.104	8
37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4)-(5)	9, 15
37 C.F.R. § 42.108(a)	16



LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
2001	Correspondence from Enrique Iturralde to Lionel Lavenue, dated January 28, 2019.
2002	Correspondence from Lionel Lavenue to Enrique Iturralde, dated January 30, 2019.
2003	HTC's and ZTE's Invalidity Contentions in the District Court Litigation
2004	HTC's and ZTE's Election of Prior Art in the District Court Litigation
2005	Transcript of March 21, 2019 Deposition of David Hilliard Williams



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

