# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

### BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

HTC CORPORATION, HTC AMERICA, INC., AND ZTE (USA), INC.
Petitioners

v.

## AGIS SOFTWARE DEVELOPMENT, LLC,

Patent Owner

Patent 8,213,970

DECLARATION OF DAVID HILLIARD WILLIAMS IN SUPPORT OF PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,213,970

Mail Stop "PATENT BOARD" Patent Trial and Appeal Board U.S. Patent & Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450



# TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	Overview1		
II.	List of Documents Considered in Formulating My Opinion		
III.	Qualifications		
IV.	Legal	Principles	7
	A.	My Understanding of Claim Construction	7
	B.	My Understanding of Obviousness	8
V.	Leve	of Ordinary Skill in the Art1	1
VI.	Over	view of the '970 Patent1	2
VII.	Unde	anding of Certain Claim Terms12	
	A.	"data transmission means"	3
	B.	"means for attaching"	3
	C.	"means for requiring"	3
	D.	"means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have automatically acknowledged"	4
	E.	"means for periodically resending"	4
	F.	"means for receiving and displaying a listing of which recipient PDA/cell phones have transmitted"	5
VIII.	Over	view of the State of the Art at the Time of Filing1	5
	A.	Sending and Receiving Mandatory Responses in Electronic Messaging were Known	6
	B.	Industry Trend: Applications on Cell Phones and/or Personal Communications Devices	8



	C.	Tracking Delivery and Responses of Electronic Messages was Known			
	D.		onal Digital Assistant (PDA) with Touchscreen and Stylus Known	25	
	E.		ing Alerts to a Recipient of an Email Message with a datory Response was Known	25	
IX.	Anal	ysis of	Disclosure in Earlier-Filed Applications	29	
X.	Grou	nd of	Unpatentability	33	
	A.		and 1: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Kubala in view of mond	33	
		1.	Overview of Kubala	33	
		2.	Overview of Hammond	37	
		3.	Overview of the Combination of Kubala and Hammond	39	
		4.	Motivation to Combine Kubala and Hammond	40	
		5.	Claims 1-13 are obvious over Kubala in view of Hammond	44	
	B.		and 2: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in of Johnson further in view of Pepe	82	
		1.	Overview of Hammond	83	
		2.	Overview of Johnson	86	
		3.	Overview of Pepe	86	
		4.	Overview of the Combination of Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe	87	
		5.	Motivation to Combine Hammond, Johnson, and Pepe	87	
		6.	Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in view of Johnson further in view of Pepe	89	



	C. Ground 3: Claims 1 and 3-9 are obvious over Hammond in	
	view of Johnson, Pepe, and Banerjee	115
XI.	Conclusion	116



# **EXHIBIT LIST**

Exhibit No.	Description
1001	U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 B2 to Beyer ("'970 patent")
1001	6.5. Tatent 1(6. 6,215,576 B2 to Beyer (
1002	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,213,970 (Application
	No. 12/324,122) ("'970 Pros. Hist.")
1003	Declaration of David H. Williams
1004	Curriculum Vitae of David H. Williams
1005	U.S. Patent Application Publication No. 2006/0218232 to Kubala <i>et al.</i> ("Kubala")
1006	U.S. Patent No. 6,854,007 to Hammond ("Hammond").
1007	U.S. Patent No. 5,325,310 to Johnson et al. ("Johnson")
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,742,905 to Pepe et al. ("Pepe")
1009	U.S. Publication No. 2003/0128195 to Banerjee <i>et al</i> . ("Banerjee")
1010	Simon Says "Here's How!" Simon <sup>TM</sup> Mobile Communications Made Simple, Simon Users Manual, IBM Corp., 1994. ("Simon")
1011	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent Application No. 10/711,490 ("'490 application")
1012	Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 11/308,648 ("'648 application")
1013	Prosecution History of U.S. Application No. 11/612,830 ("'830 application")
1014	McKinsey & Company, The McKinsey Report: FDNY 9/11 Re-
	sponse (2002) ("The McKinsey Report")
1015	History of Mobile Phones, Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipe-
	dia.org/wiki/History_of_mobile_phones (last visited May 10,
4045	2018) ("Hist. Mobile Phones")
1016	Apple Newton, Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Ap-
1015	ple_Newton (last visited May 10, 2018) ("Apple")
1017	Email, Wikipedia.com, https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Email (last
	visited May 10, 2018) ("Email")



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

