## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_\_

AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC., Petitioner,

v.

NEURELIS, INC., Patent Owner.

Case IPR2019-00451 Patent 9,763,876 B2

\_\_\_\_\_

Record of Oral Hearing Held: May 14, 2020

\_\_\_\_\_

Before ZHENYU YANG, JON B. TORNQUIST, and JAMIE T. WISZ, *Administrative Patent Judges*.



### APPEARANCES:

## ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

DANIEL A. SCOLA, JR., ESQ.
MICHAEL L. CHAKANSKY, ESQ.
Hoffmann & Baron, LLP
4 Century Drive
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054-4406
973-331-1700 (Scola)
dscola@hbiplaw.com
973-331-1700 (Chakansky)
mchakansky@hbiplaw.com

### ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

WENDY L. DEVINE, ESQ. Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati One Market Plaza Spear Tower, Suite 3300 San Francisco, California 94105 415-947-2027 wdevine@wsgr.com

JEFF GUISE, ESQ. Wilson Sonsini Goodrich & Rosati 12235 El Camino Real San Diego, California 92130-3002 858-350-2307 jguise@wsgr.com

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, May 14, 2020, commencing at 1:00 p.m., EDT, via Video Teleconference.



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	1:00 p.m.
4	JUDGE WISZ: So, today we will hear arguments in IPR 2019-
5	00451 concerning U.S. Patent Number 9763876. I am Judge Wisz and I'm
6	joined today by Judge Tornquist and Judge Yang.
7	So, let's start with appearances, beginning with Petitioner.
8	MR. SCOLA: Daniel Scola representing Aquestive Therapeutics.
9	And my partner, Mike Chakansky, is also here and will do some speaking.
10	MR. CHAKANSKY: Yeah, Michael Chakansky here.
11	JUDGE WISZ: Thank you. Patent Owner?
12	MR. GUISE: For the Patent Owner, I'm Jeff Guise, lead counsel.
13	Wendy Devine is going to be arguing today. We also have on the audio line
14	several people from the client Neurelis. Craig Chambliss and Jenny
15	Alonso. Thank you.
16	JUDGE WISZ: Thank you. And we'd like to remind the parties
17	that we each have a copy of the demonstrative exhibits you provided. But
18	during your argument please identify clearly and specifically each
19	demonstrative referenced by slide or screen number so that everyone can
20	follow along and to ensure clarity and accuracy of the court reporter's
21	transcript.
22	On that note, we did receive some objection to the demonstrative
23	exhibits from Patent Owner. We reviewed the demonstrative
24	MR. SCOLA: I'm sorry, Your
25	JUDGE WISZ: Yes?
26	MR SCOLA: There was some feedback Your Honor Lapologize



1	JUDGE WISZ: Okay. So we've reviewed the demonstrative and
2	the objections, and we have taken the objections into consideration.
3	We note that the demonstratives are just here to guide the arguments
4	and are not coming in as evidence. We also note that we will not consider
5	any new arguments.
6	Just a few more reminders before we begin. I am getting some
7	feedback. We request that you keep your line muted when you're not
8	speaking, which might help some of the feedback.
9	And also please keep in mind that the remote nature of this hearing
10	may result in audio lags. So please pause prior to speaking so as to avoid
11	speaking over others.
12	So with that, consistent with our hearing order, each party has 60
13	minutes to present their arguments. Petitioner, you'll proceed first. And
14	you may reserve time for rebuttal. How much time would you like to
15	reserve, if any?
16	MR. SCOLA: Your Honor, we anticipate about 15 minutes for
17	rebuttal. Approximately. But whatever we don't use in our argument, we'd
18	like to reserve.
19	JUDGE WISZ: Okay. We will keep track of the time and I'll give
20	you a reminder with five minutes left. But we do encourage the parties to
21	keep track of your own time as well.
22	Petitioner, you can proceed whenever you're ready.
23	MR. SCOLA: Okay, thank you, Your Honor. Good afternoon.
24	My name is Daniel Scola, representing the Petitioner, Aquestive, along with
25	my partner Michael Chakansky. And as I mentioned, each of us will do
26	some talking during this hearing.



# IPR2019-00451 Patent 9,763,876 B2

1	The first issue I'd like to discuss is the lack of description about the
2	glycosides in the 558 provisional application. Which means that Patent
3	Owner cannot rely on the 558 provisional filing date for the 876 patent
4	claims.
5	The reason that the 558 provisional application lacks description of
6	alkyl glycosides is because the Patent Owner didn't even have possession of
7	alkyl glycosides when the provisional was filed.
8	Now, the 876 patent claims recite alkyl glycoside as an essential
9	element. All of the claims require alkyl glycosides.
10	And alkyl glycosides are not disclosed, described or enabled in the
11	priority 558 provisional. Dr. Peppas speaks to this in his declaration.
12	That's Exhibit 1041 at Paragraphs 68 through 70. We raise the petition at
13	Page 20.
14	So once the Petitioner raises this issue, the burden then shifts to the
15	Patent Owner to prove otherwise, as the PTAB recognizes in the decision.
16	And they have not done so.
17	The burden means that they must show that the alkyl glycoside is
18	supported through the chain of priority. Now, there are several reasons why
19	the incorporation by reference of the SIGMA Catalog, which is a non-patent
20	document, is not proper.
21	First, alkyl glycosides is an essential element through all the claims.
22	This is in violation of 37 CFR 1.57.
23	Incorporation of essential material from a non-patent document is

improper. 37 CFR 1.57 is controlling. So that's the first reason.



24

# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

