

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

AQUESTIVE THERAPEUTICS, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

NEURELIS, INC.,

Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2019-00451

Patent 9,763,876

---

**NEURELIS REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT**

37 CFR §42.70(a)

Pursuant to the scheduling order (Paper 9, 6), Patent Owner (“Neurelis”) requests oral argument on all pending issues, including whether to terminate the review, whether to strike the reply of the Petitioner (Aquestive) or the declaration of its expert Dr. Wermeling, and any motion to exclude.

Because most of its participants are on the West Coast, Neurelis respectfully requests an afternoon (Eastern) argument.

Neurelis anticipates that eight (8) individuals (including counsel) will attend for Neurelis, if in-person attendance becomes feasible.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: March 31, 2020

/Jeffrey W. Guise /  
Jeffrey W. Guise, Reg. No. 34,613  
Counsel for Neurelis

## CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify this paper was served electronically today to the following addresses:

Daniel A. Scola, Jr.	<a href="mailto:dscola@hbiplaw.com">dscola@hbiplaw.com</a>
Michael I. Chakansky	<a href="mailto:mchakansky@hbiplaw.com">mchakansky@hbiplaw.com</a>
James F. Harrington	<a href="mailto:jharrington@hbiplaw.com">jharrington@hbiplaw.com</a>
Matthew J. Solow	<a href="mailto:msolow@hbiplaw.com">msolow@hbiplaw.com</a>
John T. Gallagher	<a href="mailto:jgallagher@hbiplaw.com">jgallagher@hbiplaw.com</a>
HOFFMANN & BARON, LLP	<a href="mailto:876IPR@hbiplaw.com">876IPR@hbiplaw.com</a>

Date: March 31, 2020

/Michelle Lee /  
Michelle Lee